Strictly nearly pseudo-Kähler manifolds with large symmetry groups

Henrik Winther

University of Tromsø Joint work with B.Kruglikov.

March 10, 2016



э

ヨッ イヨッ イヨッ

Our goal is to produce examples of highly symmetric almost complex structures J, meaning that the symmetry group G is of higher dimension than the base manifold M, which will be dim M = 6, corresponding to 3D complex space. This is particularly interesting if G also preserves some other structure compatible with J, such as almost symplectic and almost pseudo-Hermitian structures (g, J, ω) .



同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Symmetries of ACM in general

The main invariant of an almost complex structure J is the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N_J(X, Y) = [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY]$$

This is a complete obstruction to local integrability. When $N_J = 0$, the (local) symmetry algebra will be infinite dimensional, consisting of the holomorphic vector fields.



向下 イヨト イヨト

Symmetries of ACM in general

The main invariant of an almost complex structure J is the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N_J(X, Y) = [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY]$$

This is a complete obstruction to local integrability. When $N_J = 0$, the (local) symmetry algebra will be infinite dimensional, consisting of the holomorphic vector fields.

In general, one can take any operator J st. $J^2 = -1$ on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with dim(\mathfrak{g}) = 6, and extend this to a left invariant structure on a Lie group G. However, for generic algebras \mathfrak{g} the symmetry group of such J will be only G itself. Determining which \mathfrak{g} yields more symmetries is an intractable problem.

The space of all almost complex homogeneous spaces is even larger, thus some restrictions are necessary to get good results.



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Non-Degenerate Nijenhuis Tensors

Definition

The Nijenhuis tensor N_J of an almost complex structure J is called non-degenerate (NDG) if

$$N_J: \Lambda^2_{\mathbb{C}} T_x M \to T_x M$$

is an isomorphism of real vector spaces.

R.Bryant and M.Verbitsky separately discovered Hitchin-type volume-functionals in dim 6 relating complex and NDG structures. The critical points are always either complex or NDG.

Theorem

If J has NDG N_J , then it has finite dimensional symmetry algebra.



A (1) × (2) × (2) ×

Nearly Kähler and SN(P)K

An almost Hermitian structure (g, J, ω) is called nearly Kähler if

 $\nabla \omega \in \Omega^3 M$,

and strictly nearly Kähler (SNK) if $d\omega \neq 0$. SNK structures are always NDG. Thomas Friedrich and Ralf Grunewald proved that a 6-dimensional Riemannian manifold admits a Riemannian (real) Killing spinor if and only if it is nearly Kähler.



通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Nearly Kähler and SN(P)K

An almost Hermitian structure (g, J, ω) is called nearly Kähler if

 $\nabla \omega \in \Omega^3 M$,

and strictly nearly Kähler (SNK) if $d\omega \neq 0$. SNK structures are always NDG. Thomas Friedrich and Ralf Grunewald proved that a 6-dimensional Riemannian manifold admits a Riemannian (real) Killing spinor if and only if it is nearly Kähler. J.B. Butruille classified homogeneous SNK structures in dim 6, which also proved a conjecture by Wolf and Gray: All such spaces are 3-symmetric.

S⁶ = G₂^c/SU(3)
ℂP³ = Sp(2)/U(2)
S³ × S³ = SU(2)³/SU(2)_Δ
F(1,2) = SU(3)/T²



向下 イヨト イヨト

ACM with irreducible isotropy

The Homogeneous spaces with irreducible isotropy representation were classified for arbitrary dimension by J.A.Wolf. Irreducible isotropy is a strong condition, and there are not many almost complex examples from their classification in dim 6.

The list consists of 6 (pseudo-) Hermitian symmetric spaces (note that these automatically get $N_J = 0$), and also the spaces

- SL(2, ℂ) acting on itself as a real Lie group equipped with its natural structure J = i.
- **3** $S^6 = G_2^c/SU(3)$, the sphere S^6 , and its non-compact version $G_2^*/SU(2,1)$ AKA $S^{(2,4)}$



A (1) × (2) × (2) ×

Wolf had only a few examples in 6D, and with one exception they were complex. We consider an intermediate case between Wolf and the most general setting. The isotropy group of the Calabi sphere S^6 is SU(3), a simple group. Generalizing from this example and with the knowledge that representations of semi-simple groups often preserve interesting geometric objects, we ask:

Problem 1: Alekseevsky, Kruglikov, Winther

What are the (non-flat) 6D almost complex homogeneous spaces (M = G/H, J) with semi-simple isotropy group H? (Aside from the Calabi structure on the sphere $S^6 = G_2^c/SU(3)$, $S^{(2,4)}$, and the Calabi-Eckmann manifolds $S^1 \times S^5$, $S^3 \times S^3$)



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Theorem,

The only 6D homogeneous almost complex structures on M = G/H with semi-simple isotropy group H are (up to covering and quotient by discrete central subgroup):

- (1) Unique up to sign structures on $S^6 = G_2^c/SU(3)$ and $S^{(2,4)} = G_2^*/SU(2,1);$
- (II_1) 4-parametric family on U(3)/SU(2), U(2,1)/SU(2);
- (II_2) 2-parametric family on U(2,1)/SU(1,1), GL(3)/SU(1,1);
- (III) left-invariant almost complex structures on a 6D Lie group with H-invariant group operation.

Theorem

In those cases where N_J is NDG, the symmetry group of J contains only the indicated group.

Corollary

The only compact examples are $S^6, S^1 \times S^5, S^3 \times S^3$.



Observation: The most symmetric model of a type of geometric structure is often unique, and there is a significant gap between the symmetry dimension of the maximal model and the so called sub-maximal model. It is interesting to determine the size of this gap.

The maximal NDG J are $S^6 = G_2^c/SU(3)$ and $S^{(2,4)}$, dim(G) = 14. It is important to note that these are also SNK and SNPK.

Example: The Calabi structure on S^6

Let $S^6 \subset \Im(\mathbb{O})$. The tangent space $T_x S^6$ is invariant under multiplication by x, and $x^2 = -1$. This defines J. There exists a complex basis x_1, x_2, x_3 of $T_x S^6$ such that $N_J(x_1, x_2) = x_3, N_J(x_3, x_1) = x_2, N_J(x_2, x_3) = x_1$, and since N_J is complex anti-linear this means that $Ker(N_J) = 0$ so J is non-degenerate. The Calabi structure is almost-hermitian and in particular nearly Kähler.

Problem: Kruglikov, Winther

What are the sub-maximal models of SNPK, SNK and generally NDG (J, N_J) ?



< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Results Proof

Overview of Results: Local

Theorem

Let J be NDG and not locally G_2 -symmetric. Then dim $\mathfrak{sym}(J) \leq 10$. In the case of equality, the regular orbits of the symmetry algebra $\mathfrak{sym}(J)$ are open (local transitivity) and J is equivalent near regular points to an invariant structure on one of the homogeneous spaces

- *Sp*(2)/*U*(2), which is *SNK*;
- Sp(1,1)/U(2), which is SNPK of signature (4,2);
- $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})/U(1, 1)$, which is SNPK of signature (4,2).

Corollary

The gap between maximal and sub-maximal symmetry dimensions of $\mathfrak{sym}(J)$ for dim M = 6 is the same for non-degenerate almost complex structures as for SNK and SNPK.



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Overview of Results: Global

We investigate the possibility of singular orbits, with the conclusion that there are none. For simplicity we formulate the global version.

Theorem

Let (M, J) be a connected non-degenerate almost complex manifold with dim Aut(J) = 10. Then M is equal to the regular orbit of its automorphism group, and hence it is a global homogeneous space of one of three types indicated in Theorem 1.



通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Results Proof

sub-sub-maximal models of NDG AC

Having found the sub-maximal models to have symmetry dimension 10, we may investigate the sub-sub-maximal models of NDG almost complex structures, which have symmetry dimension 9. As Lie algebras of dimension 3 are either solvable or simple, we investigate whether there are any sub-sub-maximal models with solvable isotropy. It turns out that there are none.

Theorem

Let (M, J) be a homogeneous non-degenerate almost complex manifold with dim Aut(J) = 9. Then the isotropy subgroup of Aut(J) is simple.



Results Proof

sub-sub-maximal models of SNPK

We observe that in the previous cases, the SNPK examples have been the SNK examples up to changes of real forms of G/H. One might wonder if this is true generally. But it is not:

Theorem

The SNK and SNPK structures with symmetry algebra of dimension 9 are

- $S^3 \times S^3$, which is SNK
- $SU(1,1) \times SU(1,1)$, which is SNPK of signature (4,2)
- Left invariant str. on solvable group related to split-quaternions, which is SNPK of signature (4,2)

The last example does not have a Riemannian analogue.



We begin by constructing extra almost Hermitian structures from the Nijenhuis tensor, and observing that the symmetry will necessarily have to preserve these as well as (J, N_J) .



э

ヨッ イヨッ イヨッ

We begin by constructing extra almost Hermitian structures from the Nijenhuis tensor, and observing that the symmetry will necessarily have to preserve these as well as (J, N_J) .

Then we determine the possible isotropy algebras. It will indeed be smaller than for a general AC str. because more objects need to be stabilized. We show that the sub-maximal model must have open regular orbits, and perform algebraic reconstruction from representation theoretic data. This yields \mathfrak{g} and the local theorem.

Finally, consider geometric structures which exist on submanifolds of NDG almost complex manifolds, and show that the possible lower dimensional orbits of G can not admit such structures. This yields the global theorem.



向下 イヨト イヨト

Theorem

If (J, N_J) is NDG, then $\mathfrak{sym}(J)$ is fully determined by its 1st jets, ie the isotropy representation of $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sym}(J)$ is faithful.



э

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 →

	Results	Proof

Theorem

If (J, N_J) is NDG, then $\mathfrak{sym}(J)$ is fully determined by its 1st jets, ie the isotropy representation of $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sym}(J)$ is faithful.

This means that one approach is to find the maximal linear symmetries of N_J , and attempt to reconstruct the homogeneous space from algebraic data.

Theorem

NDG Nijenhuis tensors can be classified algebraically into 4 types (think of them as normal forms)

•
$$N(X_1, X_2) = X_2, N(X_1, X_3) = \lambda X_3, N(X_2, X_3) = e^{i\phi} X_1$$

2
$$N(X_1, X_2) = X_2, N(X_1, X_3) = X_2 + X_3, N(X_2, X_3) = e^{i\phi}X_1$$

$$N(X_1, X_2) = X_1, N(X_1, X_3) = X_2, N(X_2, X_3) = X_2 + X_3$$

ゆ と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Introduction and History Results Results Proof

When the Nijenhuis tensor is NDG we associate a bilinear (1,1)-form

$$h(v,w) = \operatorname{Tr}[N_J(v,N_J(w,\cdot)) + N_J(w,N_J(v,\cdot))]$$

and a holomorphic 3-form

$$\zeta(u, v, w) = \operatorname{alt}[h(N_J(u, v), w) - i h(N_J(u, v), Jw)]$$

(alt is the total skew-symmetrizer). When both are non-degenerate the symmetries of (J, N_J) must preserve the (pseudo-)Hermitian metric and the holomorphic volume form. This means that the possible isotropy algebras of the symmetry algebras of NDG ACS in 6D of dimension ≥ 3 are special unitary algebras $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, $\mathfrak{su}(1,2)$, or a subalgebra of these.



伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Returning to almost Hermitian geometry

Theorem

The forms h, ζ are non-degenerate except for isolated exceptional parameters for all 4 types of NDG Nijenhuis tensor.

This means that generally we need to consider almost (pseudo-) Hermitian structures whenever we are dealing with NDG N_J .



同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Returning to almost Hermitian geometry

Theorem

The forms h, ζ are non-degenerate except for isolated exceptional parameters for all 4 types of NDG Nijenhuis tensor.

This means that generally we need to consider almost (pseudo-) Hermitian structures whenever we are dealing with NDG N_J . Computing linear symmetry algebras of the types yields

Theorem

- \$\mathcal{sym}(N_1) = \mathcal{su}(1,2)\$ for generic paremeters. For exceptional paremeters, \$\mathcal{sym}(N_1) = \mathcal{u}(1,1)\$
- ③ $\mathfrak{sym}(N_2) \subset \mathfrak{su}(1,2)$, except for one 2D non-unitary algebra.
- \$\mathcal{sym}(N_3) = \mathcal{su}(3)\$ or \$\mathcal{sym}(N_3) = \mathcal{su}(1,2)\$ for generic paremeters. Exceptionals yield subalgebras \$\mathcal{u}(2)\$, \$\mathcal{u}(1,1)\$.
- $\mathfrak{sym}(N_4) \subset \mathfrak{su}(1,2)$



Result Proof

Possible isotropy subalgebras

From the previous computation, it is clear that the isotropy subalgebra will be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ or $\mathfrak{su}(1,2)$. We also know from Butruille's list that $\mathbb{C}P^3 = SP(2)/U(2)$ has an invariant NDG Nijenhuis tensor. Therefore we only need to consider subalgebras which have dimension greater or equal dim $\mathfrak{u}(2) = 4$. The list is as follows:

- $\mathfrak{u}(2) \subset \mathfrak{su}(3)$.
- $\mathfrak{u}(2) \subset \mathfrak{su}(1,2).$
- $\mathfrak{u}(1,1) \subset \mathfrak{su}(1,2).$
- The maximal solvable parabolic subalgebra $P \subset \mathfrak{su}(1,2)$ of dim 5.
- The 4D maximal subalgebras of P.



向下 イヨト イヨト

Result Proof

The regular orbits are open

We must also consider the possibility that the sub-maximal model is not a homogeneous space. However, by our earlier theorem, the isotropy representation still needs to be one of those we listed. Constructing an inhomogeneous symmetry group from such a representation creates rather strong constraints:

Theorem

The isotropy representation \mathfrak{m} must have an invariant submodule \mathfrak{n} with the dimension of the orbit. The quotient representation $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{n}$ must be trivial.



同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Result Proof

The regular orbits are open

We must also consider the possibility that the sub-maximal model is not a homogeneous space. However, by our earlier theorem, the isotropy representation still needs to be one of those we listed. Constructing an inhomogeneous symmetry group from such a representation creates rather strong constraints:

Theorem

The isotropy representation \mathfrak{m} must have an invariant submodule \mathfrak{n} with the dimension of the orbit. The quotient representation $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{n}$ must be trivial.

Parabolic subalgebras are usually defined as stabilizers of flags. In particular, P and its subalgebras preserve a 4D submodule. This could at most yield a 9D symmetry algebra. However, the condition on the quotient means that we have to drop to a smaller algebra, the subalgebra of P which acts trivially on $\mathfrak{m/n}$. This has subalgebra has dimension 3, yielding only dim G = 7 which is too small. Thus the sub-maximal model is locally transitive.

Obstructions to singular orbits

A singular orbit \mathcal{O} is in particular a homogeneous space of G. Thus, it is also a submanifold of M and will inherit some geometry from the almost complex structure and Nijenhuis tensor. Note that the maximal subgroups of greatest dimension are 7D, so dim $\mathcal{O} \geq 3$ unless dim $\mathcal{O} = 0$.

Proof

• dim $\mathcal{O} = 3$: invariant real 2D distribution L with an invariant complex structure, or

Results

- \mathcal{O} is totally real, in which case it has an invariant map \mathfrak{h} -invariant map $\Lambda^2 T \mathcal{O} \to T \mathcal{O}$.
- dim O = 4: Distribution L of dimension 2 or 4 with invariant complex str. (4 is almost complex).
- dim $\mathcal{O} = 5$: Distribution *L* of dimension 4 with invariant complex str., and $T\mathcal{O} = L \oplus \mathbb{R}$ or
- *L*-valued 2-form $\theta \in \Lambda^2 L^* \otimes L$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ・ 同 ト