# Pluricomplex geometry and quaternionic manifolds

Roger Bielawski University of Leeds

Geometric structures on manifolds and their applications Schloss Rauischholzhausen, 3.7.2012

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

- Many gauge-theoretic moduli spaces have natural hyperkähler metrics: moduli spaces of instantons on hyperkähler 4-manifolds, magnetic monopoles on R<sup>3</sup>, Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces.
- Monopoles exist also on other 3-manifolds, in particular the hyperbolic space *H*<sup>3</sup>. The geometry of the moduli spaces has been a long-standing problem.
- The geometry should be a deformation of the hyperkähler geometry. A natural candidate is a quaternion-Kähler geometry.
- At the beginning of the 90's, Hitchin classified *SO*(3)-invariant self-dual Einstein 4-manifolds, and found a large class corresponding to moduli spaces of *centred* hyperbolic *SU*(2)-monopoles of charge 2.
- These metrics are deformations of the Atiyah-Hitchin metric on the moduli space of *centred* Eulidean *SU*(2)-monopoles of charge 2.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

- Many gauge-theoretic moduli spaces have natural hyperkähler metrics: moduli spaces of instantons on hyperkähler 4-manifolds, magnetic monopoles on R<sup>3</sup>, Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces.
- Monopoles exist also on other 3-manifolds, in particular the hyperbolic space H<sup>3</sup>. The geometry of the moduli spaces has been a long-standing problem.
- The geometry should be a deformation of the hyperkähler geometry. A natural candidate is a quaternion-Kähler geometry.
- At the beginning of the 90's, Hitchin classified *SO*(3)-invariant self-dual Einstein 4-manifolds, and found a large class corresponding to moduli spaces of *centred* hyperbolic *SU*(2)-monopoles of charge 2.
- These metrics are deformations of the Atiyah-Hitchin metric on the moduli space of *centred* Eulidean *SU*(2)-monopoles of charge 2.

- Many gauge-theoretic moduli spaces have natural hyperkähler metrics: moduli spaces of instantons on hyperkähler 4-manifolds, magnetic monopoles on R<sup>3</sup>, Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces.
- Monopoles exist also on other 3-manifolds, in particular the hyperbolic space H<sup>3</sup>. The geometry of the moduli spaces has been a long-standing problem.
- The geometry should be a deformation of the hyperkähler geometry. A natural candidate is a quaternion-Kähler geometry.
- At the beginning of the 90's, Hitchin classified SO(3)-invariant self-dual Einstein 4-manifolds, and found a large class corresponding to moduli spaces of *centred* hyperbolic SU(2)-monopoles of charge 2.
- These metrics are deformations of the Atiyah-Hitchin metric on the moduli space of *centred* Eulidean *SU*(2)-monopoles of charge 2.

- Many gauge-theoretic moduli spaces have natural hyperkähler metrics: moduli spaces of instantons on hyperkähler 4-manifolds, magnetic monopoles on R<sup>3</sup>, Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces.
- Monopoles exist also on other 3-manifolds, in particular the hyperbolic space H<sup>3</sup>. The geometry of the moduli spaces has been a long-standing problem.
- The geometry should be a deformation of the hyperkähler geometry. A natural candidate is a quaternion-Kähler geometry.
- At the beginning of the 90's, Hitchin classified SO(3)-invariant self-dual Einstein 4-manifolds, and found a large class corresponding to moduli spaces of *centred* hyperbolic SU(2)-monopoles of charge 2.
- These metrics are deformations of the Atiyah-Hitchin metric on the moduli space of *centred* Eulidean *SU*(2)-monopoles of charge 2.

- Many gauge-theoretic moduli spaces have natural hyperkähler metrics: moduli spaces of instantons on hyperkähler 4-manifolds, magnetic monopoles on R<sup>3</sup>, Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces.
- Monopoles exist also on other 3-manifolds, in particular the hyperbolic space H<sup>3</sup>. The geometry of the moduli spaces has been a long-standing problem.
- The geometry should be a deformation of the hyperkähler geometry. A natural candidate is a quaternion-Kähler geometry.
- At the beginning of the 90's, Hitchin classified SO(3)-invariant self-dual Einstein 4-manifolds, and found a large class corresponding to moduli spaces of *centred* hyperbolic SU(2)-monopoles of charge 2.
- These metrics are deformations of the Atiyah-Hitchin metric on the moduli space of *centred* Eulidean SU(2)-monopoles of charge 2.

- Around 2009, Hitchin also constructed quaternion-Kähler metrics on moduli spaces of *centred* hyperbolic SU(3)-monopoles with charge 2 and *minimal* symmetry breaking.
- These are 8-manifolds and the metrics are deformations of Dancer's hyperkähler metric on the moduli spaces of *centred* Euclidean SU(3)-monopoles with charge 2 and *minimal* symmetry breaking. Their symmetry group is SO(3) × SU(2).
- I'll argue that neither "centred", nor "charge 2" are accidental, and we should not expect a quaternion-Kähler structure on other moduli spaces of hyperbolic monopoles.

- Around 2009, Hitchin also constructed quaternion-Kähler metrics on moduli spaces of *centred* hyperbolic SU(3)-monopoles with charge 2 and *minimal* symmetry breaking.
- These are 8-manifolds and the metrics are deformations of Dancer's hyperkähler metric on the moduli spaces of *centred* Euclidean SU(3)-monopoles with charge 2 and *minimal* symmetry breaking. Their symmetry group is SO(3) × SU(2).
- I'll argue that neither "centred", nor "charge 2" are accidental, and we should not expect a quaternion-Kähler structure on other moduli spaces of hyperbolic monopoles.

- In 2008, O. Nash showed, via twistor methods, that the complexification of the natural geometry of hyperbolic moduli space is the same as the complexification of a hyperkähler structure.
- This implies that the underlying real geometry is unlikely to be quaternion-Kähler.
- In 2011, Lorenz Schwachhöfer and I identified this real geometry. It's a new type of geometry, which we call "pluricomplex geometry".
- J. Figueroa-O'Farrill has now obtained the same geometry from the supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory.

- In 2008, O. Nash showed, via twistor methods, that the complexification of the natural geometry of hyperbolic moduli space is the same as the complexification of a hyperkähler structure.
- This implies that the underlying real geometry is unlikely to be quaternion-Kähler.
- In 2011, Lorenz Schwachhöfer and I identified this real geometry. It's a new type of geometry, which we call "pluricomplex geometry".
- J. Figueroa-O'Farrill has now obtained the same geometry from the supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory.

- In 2008, O. Nash showed, via twistor methods, that the complexification of the natural geometry of hyperbolic moduli space is the same as the complexification of a hyperkähler structure.
- This implies that the underlying real geometry is unlikely to be quaternion-Kähler.
- In 2011, Lorenz Schwachhöfer and I identified this real geometry. It's a new type of geometry, which we call "pluricomplex geometry".
- J. Figueroa-O'Farrill has now obtained the same geometry from the supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- In 2008, O. Nash showed, via twistor methods, that the complexification of the natural geometry of hyperbolic moduli space is the same as the complexification of a hyperkähler structure.
- This implies that the underlying real geometry is unlikely to be quaternion-Kähler.
- In 2011, Lorenz Schwachhöfer and I identified this real geometry. It's a new type of geometry, which we call "pluricomplex geometry".
- J. Figueroa-O'Farrill has now obtained the same geometry from the supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let *V* be an 2*n*-dimensional real vector space and  $\mathcal{J}(V) \simeq GL(2n, \mathbb{R})/GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  its twistor space, i.e. the space of (isomorphism classes) of complex structures on *V*.

A hypercomplex structure on V may be viewed as a very special  $\mathbb{P}^1$  inside  $\mathcal{J}(V)$ .

We define a linear *pluricomplex structure* on *V* as a much less special  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$ : a holomorphic embedding  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$  such that the subspaces  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  corresponding to different  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1$  form a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphic to  $O(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and the quotient bundle is isomorphic to  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . *n* must be even! Given a pluricomplex structure  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$ , we obtain a second one  $\widehat{K} = -K \circ \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is the antipodal map. It is also a pluricomplex structure and we write  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta} = -J_{\sigma(\zeta)}, \ \widehat{V}_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  for the subspace of vectors of type (1,0) for  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta}$ . Let *V* be an 2*n*-dimensional real vector space and  $\mathcal{J}(V) \simeq GL(2n,\mathbb{R})/GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  its twistor space, i.e. the space of (isomorphism classes) of complex structures on *V*. A hypercomplex structure on *V* may be viewed as a very special  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 

inside  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ .

We define a linear *pluricomplex structure* on *V* as a much less special  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$ : a holomorphic embedding  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$  such that the subspaces  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  corresponding to different  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1$  form a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphic to  $O(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and the quotient bundle is isomorphic to  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . *n* must be even! Given a pluricomplex structure  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$ , we obtain a second one  $\widehat{K} = -K \circ \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is the antipodal map. It is also a pluricomplex structure and we write  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta} = -J_{\sigma(\zeta)}, \ \widehat{V}_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  for the subspace of vectors of type (1,0) for  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta}$ . A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff  $\widehat{K} = K$ . Let V be an 2n-dimensional real vector space and  $\mathcal{J}(V) \simeq GL(2n,\mathbb{R})/GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  its twistor space, i.e. the space of (isomorphism classes) of complex structures on V. A hypercomplex structure on V may be viewed as a very special  $\mathbb{P}^1$ inside  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ . We define a linear *pluricomplex structure* on V as a much less special  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$ : a holomorphic embedding  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$  such that the

Let *V* be an 2*n*-dimensional real vector space and  $\mathcal{J}(V) \simeq GL(2n, \mathbb{R})/GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  its twistor space, i.e. the space of (isomorphism classes) of complex structures on *V*.

A hypercomplex structure on V may be viewed as a very special  $\mathbb{P}^1$  inside  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ .

We define a linear *pluricomplex structure* on *V* as a much less special  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$ : a holomorphic embedding  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$  such that the subspaces  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  corresponding to different  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1$  form a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphic to  $O(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and the quotient bundle is isomorphic to  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . The subspace of vector bundle is the antipodal map. It is also a pluricomplex structure and we write  $J_{\zeta} = -J_{0}(\zeta)$ ,  $V_{\zeta}$  for the subspace of vectors of type (1,0) for  $J_{\zeta}$ .

A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff  $\widehat{K}=K$ .

Let V be an 2n-dimensional real vector space and q(1) = 21(2 - m)/21(2 - q)

 $\mathcal{J}(V) \simeq GL(2n,\mathbb{R})/GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  its twistor space, i.e. the space of (isomorphism classes) of complex structures on V.

A hypercomplex structure on V may be viewed as a very special  $\mathbb{P}^1$  inside  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ .

We define a linear *pluricomplex structure* on *V* as a much less special  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$ : a holomorphic embedding  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$  such that the subspaces  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  corresponding to different  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1$  form a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphic to  $O(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and the quotient bundle is isomorphic to  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . *n* must be even!

Given a pluricomplex structure  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$ , we obtain a second one  $\widehat{K} = -K \circ \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is the antipodal map. It is also a pluricomplex structure and we write  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta} = -J_{\sigma(\zeta)}, \ \widehat{V}_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  for the subspace of vectors of type (1,0) for  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta}$ .

A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff K = K.

Let *V* be an 2*n*-dimensional real vector space and

 $\mathcal{J}(V) \simeq GL(2n,\mathbb{R})/GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  its twistor space, i.e. the space of (isomorphism classes) of complex structures on *V*.

A hypercomplex structure on V may be viewed as a very special  $\mathbb{P}^1$  inside  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ .

We define a linear *pluricomplex structure* on *V* as a much less special  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$ : a holomorphic embedding  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$  such that the subspaces  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  corresponding to different  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1$  form a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphic to  $O(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and the quotient bundle is isomorphic to  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . *n* must be even! Given a pluricomplex structure  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$ , we obtain a second one  $\widehat{K} = -K \circ \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is the antipodal map. It is also a pluricomplex structure and we write  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta} = -J_{\sigma(\zeta)}, \ \widehat{V}_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  for the subspace of vectors of type (1,0) for  $\widehat{J}_{\zeta}$ .

A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff K = K.

Let *V* be an 2*n*-dimensional real vector space and

 $\mathcal{J}(V) \simeq GL(2n,\mathbb{R})/GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  its twistor space, i.e. the space of (isomorphism classes) of complex structures on *V*.

A hypercomplex structure on V may be viewed as a very special  $\mathbb{P}^1$  inside  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ .

We define a linear *pluricomplex structure* on *V* as a much less special  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$ : a holomorphic embedding  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$  such that the subspaces  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  corresponding to different  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1$  form a holomorphic vector bundle isomorphic to  $O(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and the quotient bundle is isomorphic to  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . *n* must be even! Given a pluricomplex structure  $K : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{J}(V)$ , we obtain a second one  $\widehat{K} = -K \circ \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is the antipodal map. It is also a pluricomplex structure and we write  $\widehat{J_{\zeta}} = -J_{\sigma(\zeta)}, \ \widehat{V_{\zeta}}^{1,0}$  for the subspace of vectors of type (1,0) for  $\widehat{J_{\zeta}}$ .

A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff  $\hat{K} = K$ .

Let W be a holomorphic bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the fibre of which at  $(\zeta, \eta)$  is  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ .

We have a natural map

### $W \to O \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}}$

of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which induces an injective map  $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}}$  on the sheaves of sections. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the cokernel of this map, so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

#### Definition

The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is called the *characteristic sheaf* and its support *S* the *characteristic curve* of a pluricomplex structure.

*S* (as a set) is the set of  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \cap \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0} \neq 0$ . In particular *S* does not intersect the anti-diagonal  $\overline{\Delta} = \{(\zeta, \sigma(\zeta))\}$ . Also, *S* is invariant under  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma(\zeta, \eta) = (-1/\overline{\eta}, -1/\overline{\zeta})$  (and so is  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

Let W be a holomorphic bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the fibre of which at  $(\zeta, \eta)$  is  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We have a natural map

 $W \to O \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}}$ 

of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which induces an injective map  $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$  on the sheaves of sections. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the cokernel of this map, so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

#### Definition

The sheaf  $\mathcal F$  is called the *characteristic sheaf* and its support *S* the *characteristic curve* of a pluricomplex structure.

*S* (as a set) is the set of  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \cap \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0} \neq 0$ . In particular *S* does not intersect the anti-diagonal  $\overline{\Delta} = \{(\zeta, \sigma(\zeta))\}$ . Also, *S* is invariant under  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma(\zeta, \eta) = (-1/\overline{\eta}, -1/\overline{\zeta})$  (and so is  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

Let W be a holomorphic bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the fibre of which at  $(\zeta, \eta)$  is  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We have a natural map

 $W \to O \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}}$ 

of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which induces an injective map  $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$  on the sheaves of sections. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the cokernel of this map, so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

### Definition

The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is called the *characteristic sheaf* and its support S the *characteristic curve* of a pluricomplex structure.

S (as a set) is the set of  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \cap \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0} \neq 0$ .

Also, *S* is invariant under  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma(\zeta, \eta) = (-1/\bar{\eta}, -1/\bar{\zeta})$  (and so is  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

Let W be a holomorphic bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the fibre of which at  $(\zeta, \eta)$  is  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We have a natural map

 $W \to O \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}}$ 

of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which induces an injective map  $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$  on the sheaves of sections. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the cokernel of this map, so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

#### Definition

The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is called the *characteristic sheaf* and its support *S* the *characteristic curve* of a pluricomplex structure.

*S* (as a set) is the set of  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \cap \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0} \neq 0$ . In particular *S* does not intersect the anti-diagonal  $\overline{\Delta} = \{(\zeta, \sigma(\zeta))\}$ . Also, *S* is invariant under  $\sigma, \sigma(\zeta, \eta) = (-1/\eta, -1/\zeta)$  (and so is 7).

Let W be a holomorphic bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , the fibre of which at  $(\zeta, \eta)$  is  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We have a natural map

 $W \to O \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}}$ 

of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which induces an injective map  $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$  on the sheaves of sections. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the cokernel of this map, so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{O} \otimes V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

#### Definition

The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is called the *characteristic sheaf* and its support *S* the *characteristic curve* of a pluricomplex structure.

*S* (as a set) is the set of  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0} \cap \widehat{V}_{\eta}^{1,0} \neq 0$ . In particular *S* does not intersect the anti-diagonal  $\overline{\Delta} = \{(\zeta, \sigma(\zeta))\}$ . Also, *S* is invariant under  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma(\zeta, \eta) = (-1/\overline{\eta}, -1/\overline{\zeta})$  (and so is  $\mathcal{F}$ ). The short exact sequence defining  $\mathcal F$  can be written as follows:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1,0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathcal{O}(0,-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

It follows that  ${\mathcal F}$  satisfies also the following cohomological conditions:

 $h^0(\mathcal{F})=2n, \ h^1(\mathcal{F})=0,$ 

 $H^*(\mathcal{F}(-1,-1)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(0,-2)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(-2,0)) = 0.$ 

There is a 1-1 correspondence between such sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^{+} \times \mathbb{P}^{+}$  and linear pluricomplex structures on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . *V* is the space  $\sigma$ -invariant sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_{S}$ , and  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  consists of sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_{S}$  vanishing on  $\{\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\} \cap S$ .

**Remark:** A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff the characteristic curve *S* is the diagonal in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (and the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\bigoplus O(1)$ ).

The short exact sequence defining  $\mathcal{F}$  can be written as follows:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1,0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathcal{O}(0,-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies also the following cohomological conditions:

 $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = 2n, h^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0,$ 

 $H^*(\mathcal{F}(-1,-1)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(0,-2)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(-2,0)) = 0.$ 

There is a 1-1 correspondence between such sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^+ \times \mathbb{P}^+$  and linear pluricomplex structures on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . *V* is the space  $\sigma$ -invariant sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_S$ , and  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  consists of sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_S$  vanishing on  $\{\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^1\} \cap S$ .

**Remark:** A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff the characteristic curve *S* is the diagonal in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (and the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\bigoplus O(1)$ ).

The short exact sequence defining  $\mathcal{F}$  can be written as follows:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1,0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathcal{O}(0,-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal F$  satisfies also the following cohomological conditions:

 $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = 2n, h^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0,$ 

 $H^*(\mathcal{F}(-1,-1)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(0,-2)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(-2,0)) = 0.$ 

There is a 1-1 correspondence between such sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and linear pluricomplex structures on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . *V* is the space  $\sigma$ -invariant sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_S$ , and  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  consists of sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_S$  vanishing on  $\{\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^1\} \cap S$ .

**Remark:** A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff the characteristic curve *S* is the diagonal in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (and the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\bigoplus O(1)$ ).

The short exact sequence defining  $\mathcal{F}$  can be written as follows:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1,0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathcal{O}(0,-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal F$  satisfies also the following cohomological conditions:

 $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = 2n, h^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0,$ 

 $H^*(\mathcal{F}(-1,-1)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(0,-2)) = H^*(\mathcal{F}(-2,0)) = 0.$ 

There is a 1-1 correspondence between such sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and linear pluricomplex structures on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . *V* is the space  $\sigma$ -invariant sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_S$ , and  $V_{\zeta}^{1,0}$  consists of sections of  $\mathcal{F}|_S$  vanishing on  $\{\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^1\} \cap S$ .

**Remark:** A pluricomplex structure is hypercomplex iff the characteristic curve *S* is the diagonal in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (and the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\bigoplus O(1)$ ).

### • We define almost pluricomplex manifolds in the usual way.

- A pluricomplex structure on *M* is said to be *integrable* if every  $J_{\zeta} = K(\zeta)$  is integrable. Pluricomplex manifolds = manifolds with an integrable pluricomplex structure.
- Obtain a complexified hypercomplex structure on  $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Projecting the Obata connection from  $T^{1,0}M^{\mathbb{C}}$  onto TM gives a canonical torsion-free connection.
- Hopefully relevant to dynamics of hyperbolic monopoles.
- The above integrability condition is too weak to have a good twistor theory: cannot recover *M* as a parameter space of curves in a complex manifold.

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

- We define almost pluricomplex manifolds in the usual way.
- A pluricomplex structure on *M* is said to be *integrable* if every  $J_{\zeta} = K(\zeta)$  is integrable. Pluricomplex manifolds = manifolds with an integrable pluricomplex structure.
- Obtain a complexified hypercomplex structure on M<sup>C</sup>. Projecting the Obata connection from T<sup>1,0</sup>M<sup>C</sup> onto TM gives a canonical torsion-free connection.
- Hopefully relevant to dynamics of hyperbolic monopoles.
- The above integrability condition is too weak to have a good twistor theory: cannot recover *M* as a parameter space of curves in a complex manifold.

- We define almost pluricomplex manifolds in the usual way.
- A pluricomplex structure on *M* is said to be *integrable* if every  $J_{\zeta} = K(\zeta)$  is integrable. Pluricomplex manifolds = manifolds with an integrable pluricomplex structure.
- Obtain a complexified hypercomplex structure on  $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Projecting the Obata connection from  $T^{1,0}M^{\mathbb{C}}$  onto TM gives a canonical torsion-free connection.
- Hopefully relevant to dynamics of hyperbolic monopoles.
- The above integrability condition is too weak to have a good twistor theory: cannot recover *M* as a parameter space of curves in a complex manifold.

- We define almost pluricomplex manifolds in the usual way.
- A pluricomplex structure on *M* is said to be *integrable* if every  $J_{\zeta} = K(\zeta)$  is integrable. Pluricomplex manifolds = manifolds with an integrable pluricomplex structure.
- Obtain a complexified hypercomplex structure on  $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Projecting the Obata connection from  $T^{1,0}M^{\mathbb{C}}$  onto TM gives a canonical torsion-free connection.
- Hopefully relevant to dynamics of hyperbolic monopoles.
- The above integrability condition is too weak to have a good twistor theory: cannot recover *M* as a parameter space of curves in a complex manifold.

- We define almost pluricomplex manifolds in the usual way.
- A pluricomplex structure on *M* is said to be *integrable* if every  $J_{\zeta} = K(\zeta)$  is integrable. Pluricomplex manifolds = manifolds with an integrable pluricomplex structure.
- Obtain a complexified hypercomplex structure on  $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Projecting the Obata connection from  $T^{1,0}M^{\mathbb{C}}$  onto TM gives a canonical torsion-free connection.
- Hopefully relevant to dynamics of hyperbolic monopoles.
- The above integrability condition is too weak to have a good twistor theory: cannot recover *M* as a parameter space of curves in a complex manifold.

### Strongly integrable pluricomplex structures

• For a pluricomplex structure on a manifold *M*, consider the pointwise sequence defining the characteristic curve, i.e.

 $0 \to \mathcal{W}_m \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{T}_m^{\mathbb{C}} M \to \mathcal{F}_m \to 0,$ 

for every  $m \in M$ , where  $W_m|_{(\zeta,\eta)} = T_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{T}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We denote the support of  $\mathcal{F}_m$  by  $S_m$ .

• Consider the following fibration over M

 $Y = \{ (m, \zeta, \eta) \in M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; \ (\zeta, \eta) \in S_m \} \stackrel{v}{\longrightarrow} M,$ 

and the corresponding map  $p: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

• We say that the pluricomplex structure is *strongly integrable* if this fibration can be extended to a double fibration

$$Z \xleftarrow{\mu} Y \xrightarrow{\nu} M,$$

so that *M* is then the parameter space of real curves  $\tilde{S}_m \simeq S_m$  in *Z*; the normal sheaf of each  $\tilde{S}_m$  in *Z* is  $\mathcal{F}_m$ . *Z* is also equipped with a map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , such that  $\rho = \rho \circ \mu$ .

### Strongly integrable pluricomplex structures

• For a pluricomplex structure on a manifold *M*, consider the pointwise sequence defining the characteristic curve, i.e.

 $0 \to \mathcal{W}_m \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{T}_m^{\mathbb{C}} M \to \mathcal{F}_m \to 0,$ 

for every  $m \in M$ , where  $W_m|_{(\zeta,\eta)} = T_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{T}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We denote the support of  $\mathcal{F}_m$  by  $S_m$ .

• Consider the following fibration over M

 $Y = \{ (m, \zeta, \eta) \in M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; \ (\zeta, \eta) \in S_m \} \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} M,$ 

and the corresponding map  $p: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

 We say that the pluricomplex structure is strongly integrable if this fibration can be extended to a double fibration

$$Z \xleftarrow{\mu} Y \xrightarrow{\nu} M,$$

so that *M* is then the parameter space of real curves  $\tilde{S}_m \simeq S_m$  in *Z*; the normal sheaf of each  $\tilde{S}_m$  in *Z* is  $\mathcal{F}_m$ . *Z* is also equipped with a map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , such that  $\rho = \rho \circ \mu$ .

### Strongly integrable pluricomplex structures

• For a pluricomplex structure on a manifold *M*, consider the pointwise sequence defining the characteristic curve, i.e.

 $0 \to \mathcal{W}_m \to \mathcal{O} \otimes T_m^{\mathbb{C}} M \to \mathcal{F}_m \to 0,$ 

for every  $m \in M$ , where  $W_m|_{(\zeta,\eta)} = T_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{T}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We denote the support of  $\mathcal{F}_m$  by  $S_m$ .

Consider the following fibration over M

 $Y = \{ (m, \zeta, \eta) \in M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; \ (\zeta, \eta) \in S_m \} \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} M,$ 

and the corresponding map  $p: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

• We say that the pluricomplex structure is *strongly integrable* if this fibration can be extended to a double fibration

 $Z \xleftarrow{\mu} Y \xrightarrow{\nu} M,$ 

so that *M* is then the parameter space of real curves  $\tilde{S}_m \simeq S_m$  in *Z*; the normal sheaf of each  $\tilde{S}_m$  in *Z* is  $\mathcal{F}_m$ . *Z* is also equipped with a map  $\rho: Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \Delta$ , such that  $\rho = \rho \circ \mu$ .

## Strongly integrable pluricomplex structures

• For a pluricomplex structure on a manifold *M*, consider the pointwise sequence defining the characteristic curve, i.e.

 $0 \to \mathcal{W}_m \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{T}_m^{\mathbb{C}} M \to \mathcal{F}_m \to 0,$ 

for every  $m \in M$ , where  $W_m|_{(\zeta,\eta)} = T_{\zeta}^{1,0} \oplus \widehat{T}_{\eta}^{1,0}$ . We denote the support of  $\mathcal{F}_m$  by  $S_m$ .

• Consider the following fibration over M

 $Y = \{ (m, \zeta, \eta) \in M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; \ (\zeta, \eta) \in S_m \} \stackrel{v}{\longrightarrow} M,$ 

and the corresponding map  $p: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

• We say that the pluricomplex structure is *strongly integrable* if this fibration can be extended to a double fibration

 $Z \xleftarrow{\mu} Y \xrightarrow{\nu} M,$ 

so that *M* is then the parameter space of real curves  $\tilde{S}_m \simeq S_m$  in *Z*; the normal sheaf of each  $\tilde{S}_m$  in *Z* is  $\mathcal{F}_m$ . *Z* is also equipped with a map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , such that  $p = \rho \circ \mu$ .

The characteristic curve  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  has bidegree (k, k). We call k the degree of a pluricomplex structure.

Let *M* be equipped with a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure of degree 1. Then *M* is the parameter space of  $\sigma$ -invariant rational curves with normal bundle isomorphic to a direct sum of O(1)'s. Therefore *M* is a quaternionic manifold. At every point  $m \in M$  we have a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of almost complex structures, which behave algebraically as the  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of unit imaginary quaternions. The twistor spaces of the pluricomplex structure and of the quaternionic structure, then *Z* is equipped with a projection onto *M*, the fibres of which are the  $\sigma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -s.

Having a pluricomplex structure as well means that this fibration is trivial,  $Z \simeq M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and the projection  $\pi : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is holomorphic (but  $\pi \circ \sigma \neq \sigma \circ \pi$ , unless *M* is hypercomplex). The map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$  is given by  $\rho(z) = (\pi(z), \sigma \circ \pi \circ \sigma(z))$ . **Example**  $H^3 \times S^1$  (a.k.a. moduli space of hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1).

The characteristic curve  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  has bidegree (k, k). We call k the degree of a pluricomplex structure.

Let *M* be equipped with a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure of degree 1. Then *M* is the parameter space of  $\sigma$ -invariant rational curves with normal bundle isomorphic to a direct sum of *O*(1)'s. Therefore *M* is a quaternionic manifold.

At every point  $m \in M$  we have a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of almost complex structures, which behave algebraically as the  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of unit imaginary quaternions. The twistor spaces of the pluricomplex structure and of the quaternionic structure coincide. If we view *Z* as the twistor space of the quaternionic structure, then *Z* is equipped with a projection onto *M*, the fibres of which are the  $\sigma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -s.

Having a pluricomplex structure as well means that this fibration is trivial,  $Z \simeq M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and the projection  $\pi : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is holomorphic (but  $\pi \circ \sigma \neq \sigma \circ \pi$ , unless *M* is hypercomplex). The map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$  is given by  $\rho(z) = (\pi(z), \sigma \circ \pi \circ \sigma(z))$ . **Example**  $H^3 \times S^1$  (a.k.a. moduli space of hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1).

The characteristic curve  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  has bidegree (k, k). We call k the degree of a pluricomplex structure.

Let *M* be equipped with a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure of degree 1. Then *M* is the parameter space of  $\sigma$ -invariant rational curves with normal bundle isomorphic to a direct sum of *O*(1)'s. Therefore *M* is a quaternionic manifold.

At every point  $m \in M$  we have a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of almost complex structures, which behave algebraically as the  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of unit imaginary quaternions. The twistor spaces of the pluricomplex structure and of the quaternionic structure coincide. If we view *Z* as the twistor space of the quaternionic structure, then *Z* is equipped with a projection onto *M*, the fibres of which are the  $\sigma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -s.

Having a pluricomplex structure as well means that this fibration is trivial,  $Z \simeq M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and the projection  $\pi : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is holomorphic (but  $\pi \circ \sigma \neq \sigma \circ \pi$ , unless *M* is hypercomplex). The map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$  is given by  $\rho(z) = (\pi(z), \sigma \circ \pi \circ \sigma(z))$ . **Example**  $H^3 \times S^1$  (a.k.a. moduli space of hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1).

The characteristic curve  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  has bidegree (k, k). We call k the degree of a pluricomplex structure.

Let *M* be equipped with a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure of degree 1. Then *M* is the parameter space of  $\sigma$ -invariant rational curves with normal bundle isomorphic to a direct sum of *O*(1)'s. Therefore *M* is a quaternionic manifold.

At every point  $m \in M$  we have a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of almost complex structures, which behave algebraically as the  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of unit imaginary quaternions. The twistor spaces of the pluricomplex structure and of the quaternionic structure coincide. If we view *Z* as the twistor space of the quaternionic structure, then *Z* is equipped with a projection onto *M*, the fibres of which are the  $\sigma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -s.

Having a pluricomplex structure as well means that this fibration is trivial,  $Z \simeq M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and the projection  $\pi : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is holomorphic (but  $\pi \circ \sigma \neq \sigma \circ \pi$ , unless *M* is hypercomplex). The map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$  is given by  $\rho(z) = (\pi(z), \sigma \circ \pi \circ \sigma(z))$ . Example  $H^0 \times S^1$  (a.k.a. moduli space of hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1)

The characteristic curve  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  has bidegree (k, k). We call k the degree of a pluricomplex structure.

Let *M* be equipped with a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure of degree 1. Then *M* is the parameter space of  $\sigma$ -invariant rational curves with normal bundle isomorphic to a direct sum of *O*(1)'s. Therefore *M* is a quaternionic manifold.

At every point  $m \in M$  we have a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of almost complex structures, which behave algebraically as the  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of unit imaginary quaternions. The twistor spaces of the pluricomplex structure and of the quaternionic structure coincide. If we view *Z* as the twistor space of the quaternionic structure, then *Z* is equipped with a projection onto *M*, the fibres of which are the  $\sigma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -s.

Having a pluricomplex structure as well means that this fibration is trivial,  $Z \simeq M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and the projection  $\pi : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is holomorphic (but  $\pi \circ \sigma \neq \sigma \circ \pi$ , unless *M* is hypercomplex). The map  $\rho : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$  is given by  $\rho(z) = (\pi(z), \sigma \circ \pi \circ \sigma(z))$ . **Example**  $H^3 \times S^1$  (a.k.a. moduli space of hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1).

#### Pluricomplex structure of hyperbolic monopoles

- The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{k,m}$  of hyperbolic SU(2)-monopoles of charge *k* and mass *m* has a natural strongly integrable pluricomplex structure (at least on an open dense subset; vanishing of  $H^*(S, \mathcal{F}(-2, 0))$  everywhere needed).
- Its twistor space Z is the total space of the line bundle O(2m+k, -2m-k) over ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup> − Δ with the zero section removed (a ℂ\*-principal bundle).
- Similarly, moduli spaces of hyperbolic *SU*(3)-monopoles with minimal symmetry breaking have a natural strongly integrable pluricomplex structure. This time Z is the total space of an *GL*(2, ℂ)-principal bundle over ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup> − Δ.

#### Pluricomplex structure of hyperbolic monopoles

- The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{k,m}$  of hyperbolic SU(2)-monopoles of charge *k* and mass *m* has a natural strongly integrable pluricomplex structure (at least on an open dense subset; vanishing of  $H^*(S, \mathcal{F}(-2, 0))$  everywhere needed).
- Its twistor space Z is the total space of the line bundle O(2m+k, -2m-k) over ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup> − Δ with the zero section removed (a ℂ\*-principal bundle).
- Similarly, moduli spaces of hyperbolic *SU*(3)-monopoles with minimal symmetry breaking have a natural strongly integrable pluricomplex structure. This time Z is the total space of an *GL*(2, ℂ)-principal bundle over ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup> − Δ.

#### Pluricomplex structure of hyperbolic monopoles

- The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{k,m}$  of hyperbolic SU(2)-monopoles of charge k and mass m has a natural strongly integrable pluricomplex structure (at least on an open dense subset; vanishing of  $H^*(S, \mathcal{F}(-2, 0))$  everywhere needed).
- Its twistor space Z is the total space of the line bundle O(2m+k, -2m-k) over ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup> − Δ with the zero section removed (a ℂ\*-principal bundle).
- Similarly, moduli spaces of hyperbolic *SU*(3)-monopoles with minimal symmetry breaking have a natural strongly integrable pluricomplex structure. This time Z is the total space of an *GL*(2, ℂ)-principal bundle over ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup> − Δ.

Let V be a vector space equipped with a pluricomplex structure of degree 2, i.e. its characteristic curve is elliptic. This is the case for hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. We have V be a vector solution of the state of the second sec

 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1,-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2) \otimes H^0(S,\mathcal{O}(1/2,1/2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$ 

yields a canonical isomorphism

 $H^0(S,\mathcal{F})\simeq H^0(S,\mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2))\otimes H^0(S,\mathcal{O}_S(1/2,1/2)),$ 

i.e.  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . Both factors have a quaternionic involution compatible with the real involution on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

If *M* is a pluricomplex manifold, so that each  $S_m$  is elliptic and  $O_{S_m}(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq O_{S_m}$ , we obtain an almost quaternionic structure on *M*.

Let *V* be a vector space equipped with a pluricomplex structure of degree 2, i.e. its characteristic curve is elliptic. This is the case for hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. We have  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{F})$ . Suppose that  $O_S(1/2, 1/2) \cong O_S$  so that  $H^0(O_S(1/2, 1/2)) \cong O_S$ . Then the exact sequence

 $0 \to \mathcal{F}(-1,-1) \to \mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2) \otimes H^0(S,\mathcal{O}(1/2,1/2)) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0,$ 

yields a canonical isomorphism

 $H^0(S,\mathcal{F})\simeq H^0(S,\mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2))\otimes H^0(S,O_S(1/2,1/2)),$ 

i.e.  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . Both factors have a quaternionic involution compatible with the real involution on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

If *M* is a pluricomplex manifold, so that each  $S_m$  is elliptic and  $O_{S_m}(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq O_{S_m}$ , we obtain an almost quaternionic structure or *M*.

Let *V* be a vector space equipped with a pluricomplex structure of degree 2, i.e. its characteristic curve is elliptic. This is the case for hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. We have  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{F})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_S(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_S$ , so that  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(1/2, 1/2)) = 2$ . Then the exact sequence

 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1,-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2) \otimes H^0(S,\mathcal{O}(1/2,1/2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$ 

yields a canonical isomorphism

 $H^0(S, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{F}(-1/2, -1/2)) \otimes H^0(S, O_S(1/2, 1/2)),$ 

i.e.  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . Both factors have a quaternionic involution compatible with the real involution on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

If *M* is a pluricomplex manifold, so that each  $S_m$  is elliptic and  $O_{S_m}(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq O_{S_m}$ , we obtain an almost quaternionic structure on *M*.

Let *V* be a vector space equipped with a pluricomplex structure of degree 2, i.e. its characteristic curve is elliptic. This is the case for hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. We have  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{F})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_S(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_S$ , so that  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(1/2, 1/2)) = 2$ . Then the exact sequence

 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1,-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{O}(1/2,1/2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$ 

yields a canonical isomorphism

 $H^0\big(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F}\big)\simeq H^0\big(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2)\big)\otimes H^0(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(1/2,1/2)),$ 

i.e.  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . Both factors have a quaternionic involution compatible with the real involution on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

If *M* is a pluricomplex manifold, so that each  $S_m$  is elliptic and  $O_{S_m}(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq O_{S_m}$ , we obtain an almost quaternionic structure on *M*.

Let *V* be a vector space equipped with a pluricomplex structure of degree 2, i.e. its characteristic curve is elliptic. This is the case for hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. We have  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{F})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_S(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_S$ , so that  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(1/2, 1/2)) = 2$ . Then the exact sequence

 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1,-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{O}(1/2,1/2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$ 

yields a canonical isomorphism

 $H^0(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F})\simeq H^0\big(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{F}(-1/2,-1/2)\big)\otimes H^0(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(1/2,1/2)),$ 

i.e.  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . Both factors have a quaternionic involution compatible with the real involution on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ . If *M* is a pluricomplex manifold, so that each  $S_m$  is elliptic and  $O_{S_m}(1/2, -1/2) \not\simeq O_{S_m}$ , we obtain an almost quaternionic structure on *M*.

I'll show that this quaternionic structure is integrable: it arises from a twistor space  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a complex manifold, equipped with a fixed-point-free antiholomorphic involution  $\sigma$ , such that  $M^{\tau}$  is the parameter space of a family of  $\sigma$ -invariant holomorphic  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with normal bundle  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . If, additionaly, we want a quaternion-Kähler metric, then we need a complex contact structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a section  $\theta$  of  $K^{1/(n+1)}$  such that  $\theta \wedge d\theta^n$  is nonzero on each twistor line (plus positivity).

Let *M* be a strongly pluricomplex manifold *M* with twistor space *Z*,  $\rho: Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , with each *S<sub>m</sub>* an elliptic curve. The quotient of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  by  $\tau$  is  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and we have a double covering

 $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2.$ 

We can define the "direct image"  $\pi_*Z$  of Z.

I'll show that this quaternionic structure is integrable: it arises from a twistor space  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a complex manifold, equipped with a fixed-point-free antiholomorphic involution  $\sigma$ , such that  $M^{\tau}$  is the parameter space of a family of  $\sigma$ -invariant holomorphic  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with normal bundle  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . If, additionally, we want a quaternion-Kähler metric, then we need a complex contact structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a section 9 of  $K^{1/(n-1)}$  such that  $\theta \wedge d\theta^n$  is nonzero on each twistor line (plus positivity).

Let *M* be a strongly pluricomplex manifold *M* with twistor space *Z*,  $\rho: Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , with each *S<sub>m</sub>* an elliptic curve. The quotient of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  by  $\tau$  is  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and we have a double covering

 $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2.$ 

We can define the "direct image"  $\pi_*Z$  of Z.

I'll show that this quaternionic structure is integrable: it arises from a twistor space  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a complex manifold, equipped with a fixed-point-free antiholomorphic involution  $\sigma$ , such that  $M^{\tau}$  is the parameter space of a family of  $\sigma$ -invariant holomorphic  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with normal bundle  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . If, additionaly, we want a quaternion-Kähler metric, then we need a complex contact structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a section  $\theta$  of  $K^{1/(n+1)}$  such that  $\theta \wedge d\theta^n$  is nonzero on each twistor line (plus positivity).

Let *M* be a strongly pluricomplex manifold *M* with twistor space *Z*,  $\rho: Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , with each *S<sub>m</sub>* an elliptic curve. The quotient of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  by  $\tau$  is  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and we have a double covering

 $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2.$ 

We can define the "direct image"  $\pi_* Z$  of Z.

I'll show that this quaternionic structure is integrable: it arises from a twistor space  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a complex manifold, equipped with a fixed-point-free antiholomorphic involution  $\sigma$ , such that  $M^{\tau}$  is the parameter space of a family of  $\sigma$ -invariant holomorphic  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with normal bundle  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . If, additionaly, we want a quaternion-Kähler metric, then we need a complex contact structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a section  $\theta$  of  $K^{1/(n+1)}$  such that  $\theta \wedge d\theta^n$  is nonzero on each twistor line (plus positivity).

Let *M* be a strongly pluricomplex manifold *M* with twistor space *Z*,  $\rho: Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , with each *S<sub>m</sub>* an elliptic curve. The quotient of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  by  $\tau$  is  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and we have a double covering

 $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2.$ 

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

We can define the "direct image"  $\pi_*Z$  of Z.

I'll show that this quaternionic structure is integrable: it arises from a twistor space  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a complex manifold, equipped with a fixed-point-free antiholomorphic involution  $\sigma$ , such that  $M^{\tau}$  is the parameter space of a family of  $\sigma$ -invariant holomorphic  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with normal bundle  $O(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . If, additionaly, we want a quaternion-Kähler metric, then we need a complex contact structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e. a section  $\theta$  of  $K^{1/(n+1)}$  such that  $\theta \wedge d\theta^n$  is nonzero on each twistor line (plus positivity).

Let *M* be a strongly pluricomplex manifold *M* with twistor space *Z*,  $\rho: Z \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \overline{\Delta}$ , with each *S<sub>m</sub>* an elliptic curve. The quotient of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  by  $\tau$  is  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and we have a double covering

 $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2.$ 

We can define the "direct image"  $\pi_* Z$  of Z.

Let *X* be the quotient of a complex manifold *Y* by a holomorphic involution  $\tau$ , and let  $p : Z \to Y$  be a holomorphic submersion. Consider the fibred product

$$Z^2_{\pi} = Z \times_{\rho} \tau^* Z = \{(z, w) \in Z \times Z; \ \rho(z) = \tau(\rho(w))\},\$$

with the induced submersion  $\bar{p} : Z_{\pi}^2 \to Y$ ,  $\bar{p}(z, w) = p(z)$ . We have a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on  $Z_{\pi}^2$  given by  $t : (z, w) \mapsto (w, z)$ . Let  $Z_{\pi}^{[2]}$  denote the manifold obtained by blowing up the fixed point set of t and quotienting the result by the induced  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and let  $\tilde{C} \subset Z_{\pi}^{[2]}$  be the proper transform of  $C = \bar{p}^{-1}(Y^{\tau})$ . Then

$$\pi_*Z=Z_\pi^{[2]}-\tilde{C}.$$

Observe that  $\pi_* Z$  is precisely the subset of  $Z_{\pi}^{[2]}$  where the induced projection  $Z_{\pi}^{[2]} \to X$  is a submersion.

Let us go back to the case of  $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$  and Z the twistor space of a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure of degree 2.

We obtain the direct image  $\pi_* Z$ . If the involution  $\tau$  can be lifted to Z, we obtain a holomorphic involution  $\tilde{\tau}$  on  $\pi_* Z$ .

Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be the  $\tilde{\tau}$ -invariant part of  $\pi_*Z$ . A  $\tau$ -invariant  $S_m$  in Z will descend to a rational curve in  $\tilde{Z}$ , and its normal bundle is a sum of O(1)'s. Moreover, if the lift of  $\tau$  is compatible with the real structure  $\sigma$  on Z, then we obtain a real structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{Z}$  is the twistor space of an integrable quaternionic structure on  $M^{r}$ . To obtain a qK metric, we need a contact structure  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ . I do not know (yet) what one needs on Z to get  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

What I just described is precisely the situation when *M* is a moduli space of charge 2 hyperbolic monopoles (SU(2) or SU(3) with minimal symmetry breaking).  $M^{r}$  is then the corresponding moduli space of centred monopoles, and the resulting qK metrics are the ones due to Hitchin.

Let Z be the  $\tilde{\tau}$ -invariant part of  $\pi_*Z$ . A  $\tau$ -invariant  $S_m$  in Z will descend to a rational curve in  $\tilde{Z}$ , and its normal bundle is a sum of O(1)'s. Moreover, if the lift of  $\tau$  is compatible with the real structure  $\sigma$  on Z, then we obtain a real structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{Z}$  is the twistor space of an integrable quaternionic structure on  $M^{r}$ . To obtain a qK metric, we need a contact structure  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ . I do not know (yet) what one needs on Z to get  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

What I just described is precisely the situation when *M* is a moduli space of charge 2 hyperbolic monopoles (SU(2) or SU(3) with minimal symmetry breaking).  $M^{t}$  is then the corresponding moduli space of centred monopoles, and the resulting qK metrics are the ones due to Hitchin.

Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be the  $\tilde{\tau}$ -invariant part of  $\pi_*Z$ . A  $\tau$ -invariant  $S_m$  in Z will descend to a rational curve in  $\tilde{Z}$ , and its normal bundle is a sum of O(1)'s. Moreover, if the lift of  $\tau$  is compatible with the real structure  $\sigma$  on Z, then we obtain a real structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{Z}$  is the twistor space of an integrable quaternionic structure on  $M^{\mathfrak{r}}$ . To obtain a qK metric, we need a contact structure 0 on Z. I do not know (yet) what one needs on Z to get 0 on Z.

What I just described is precisely the situation when M is a moduli space of charge 2 hyperbolic monopoles (SU(2) or SU(3) with minimal symmetry breaking).  $M^{t}$  is then the corresponding moduli space of centred monopoles, and the resulting qK metrics are the ones due to Hitchin.

Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be the  $\tilde{\tau}$ -invariant part of  $\pi_*Z$ . A  $\tau$ -invariant  $S_m$  in Z will descend to a rational curve in  $\tilde{Z}$ , and its normal bundle is a sum of O(1)'s. Moreover, if the lift of  $\tau$  is compatible with the real structure  $\sigma$  on Z, then we obtain a real structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{Z}$  is the twistor space of an integrable quaternionic structure on  $M^{\tau}$ . To obtain a qK metric, we need a contact structure  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ . I do not know (yet) what one needs on Z to get  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

What I just described is precisely the situation when M is a moduli space of charge 2 hyperbolic monopoles (SU(2) or SU(3) with minimal symmetry breaking).  $M^{t}$  is then the corresponding moduli space of centred monopoles, and the resulting qK metrics are the ones due to Hitchin.

Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be the  $\tilde{\tau}$ -invariant part of  $\pi_*Z$ . A  $\tau$ -invariant  $S_m$  in Z will descend to a rational curve in  $\tilde{Z}$ , and its normal bundle is a sum of O(1)'s. Moreover, if the lift of  $\tau$  is compatible with the real structure  $\sigma$  on Z, then we obtain a real structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{Z}$  is the twistor space of an integrable quaternionic structure on  $M^{t}$ . To obtain a qK metric, we need a contact structure  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ . I do not know (yet) what one needs on Z to get  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

What I just described is precisely the situation when *M* is a moduli space of charge 2 hyperbolic monopoles (SU(2) or SU(3) with minimal symmetry breaking).  $M^{\tau}$  is then the corresponding moduli space of centred monopoles, and the resulting qK metrics are the ones due to Hitchin.

Let  $\tilde{Z}$  be the  $\tilde{\tau}$ -invariant part of  $\pi_*Z$ . A  $\tau$ -invariant  $S_m$  in Z will descend to a rational curve in  $\tilde{Z}$ , and its normal bundle is a sum of O(1)'s. Moreover, if the lift of  $\tau$  is compatible with the real structure  $\sigma$  on Z, then we obtain a real structure on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{Z}$  is the twistor space of an integrable quaternionic structure on  $M^{t}$ . To obtain a qK metric, we need a contact structure  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ . I do not know (yet) what one needs on Z to get  $\theta$  on  $\tilde{Z}$ .

What I just described is precisely the situation when *M* is a moduli space of charge 2 hyperbolic monopoles (SU(2) or SU(3) with minimal symmetry breaking).  $M^{\tau}$  is then the corresponding moduli space of centred monopoles, and the resulting qK metrics are the ones due to Hitchin.

There are complete ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , first constructed by Cherkis and Kapustin (1999) (k = 2 is due to Hitchin, 1983); also Cherkis & Hitchin (2005).

The next example of the construction I just described (pluricomplex  $\implies$  quaternionic) produces self-dual deformations of  $D_k$  ALF instantons.

Essentially, we consider singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. The location of singularities is given by *k* points in  $H^3$ , which corresponds to *k* sections  $q_i$  of O(1,1) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $\psi = \prod q_i$  - a section of O(k,k), and let  $L^m$  be the line bundle O(m,-m) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ 

There are complete ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , first constructed by Cherkis and Kapustin (1999) (k = 2 is due to Hitchin, 1983); also Cherkis & Hitchin (2005).

The next example of the construction I just described (pluricomplex  $\implies$  quaternionic) produces self-dual deformations of  $D_k$  ALF instantons.

Essentially, we consider singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. The location of singularities is given by *k* points in  $H^3$ , which corresponds to *k* sections  $q_i$  of O(1,1) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $\psi = \prod q_i$  - a section of O(k,k), and let  $L^m$  be the line bundle O(m, -m) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ 

There are complete ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , first constructed by Cherkis and Kapustin (1999) (k = 2 is due to Hitchin, 1983); also Cherkis & Hitchin (2005).

The next example of the construction I just described (pluricomplex  $\implies$  quaternionic) produces self-dual deformations of  $D_k$  ALF instantons.

Essentially, we consider singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. The location of singularities is given by k points in  $H^3$ , which corresponds to k sections  $q_i$  of O(1,1) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $\psi = \prod q_i$  - a section of O(k,k), and let  $L^m$  be the line bundle O(m, -m) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ 

There are complete ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , first constructed by Cherkis and Kapustin (1999) (k = 2 is due to Hitchin, 1983); also Cherkis & Hitchin (2005).

The next example of the construction I just described (pluricomplex  $\implies$  quaternionic) produces self-dual deformations of  $D_k$  ALF instantons.

Essentially, we consider singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 2. The location of singularities is given by *k* points in  $H^3$ , which corresponds to *k* sections  $q_i$  of O(1,1) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $\psi = \prod q_i - a$ section of O(k,k), and let  $L^m$  be the line bundle O(m, -m) on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

# $Z_{m,k} = \left\{ (u,v) \in L^m(k,0) \oplus L^{-m}(0,k); \, uv = \psi \right\}.$

# After resolving singularities, we obtain a twistor space of a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure in dimension 8.

If  $\psi$  is invariant w.r.t. the involution  $\tau$ , we can apply the above construction and obtain a family of 4-dimensional conformal self-dual metrics.

These converge to the ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Perhaps these are not Einstein, after all, by analogy with what happens for singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1. As observed by Nash (2008, also Atiyah & LeBrun, 2012), singular monopoles of charge 1 produce a 1-dimensional family of self-dual deformations of ALF gravitational instantons of type  $A_k$  (multi-Taub-NUT). These are LeBrun's self-dual metrics on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{P}^2 \# \ldots \# \mathbb{P}^2$ , and so not Einstein.

## $Z_{m,k} = \{(u,v) \in L^{m}(k,0) \oplus L^{-m}(0,k); uv = \psi\}.$

After resolving singularities, we obtain a twistor space of a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure in dimension 8.

If  $\psi$  is invariant w.r.t. the involution  $\tau,$  we can apply the above construction and obtain a family of 4-dimensional conformal self-dual metrics.

These converge to the ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Perhaps these are not Einstein, after all, by analogy with what happens for singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1. As observed by Nash (2008, also Atiyah & LeBrun, 2012), singular monopoles of charge 1 produce a 1-dimensional family of self-dual deformations of ALF gravitational instantons of type  $A_k$  (multi-Taub-NUT). These are LeBrun's self-dual metrics on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{P}^2 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}^2$ , and so not Einstein.

# $Z_{m,k} = \{(u,v) \in L^m(k,0) \oplus L^{-m}(0,k); uv = \psi\}.$

After resolving singularities, we obtain a twistor space of a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure in dimension 8.

If  $\psi$  is invariant w.r.t. the involution  $\tau$ , we can apply the above construction and obtain a family of 4-dimensional conformal self-dual metrics.

These converge to the ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Perhaps these are not Einstein, after all, by analogy with what happens for singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1. As observed by Nash (2008, also Atiyah & LeBrun, 2012), singular monopoles of charge 1 produce a 1-dimensional family of self-dual deformations of ALF gravitational instantons of type  $A_k$  (multi-Taub-NUT). These are LeBrun's self-dual metrics on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{P}^2 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}^2$ , and so not Einstein.

## $Z_{m,k} = \{(u,v) \in L^m(k,0) \oplus L^{-m}(0,k); uv = \psi\}.$

After resolving singularities, we obtain a twistor space of a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure in dimension 8.

If  $\psi$  is invariant w.r.t. the involution  $\tau$ , we can apply the above construction and obtain a family of 4-dimensional conformal self-dual metrics.

These converge to the ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Perhaps these are not Einstein, after all, by analogy with what happens for singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1.

As observed by Nash (2008, also Atiyah & LeBrun, 2012), singular monopoles of charge 1 produce a 1-dimensional family of self-dual deformations of ALF gravitational instantons of type  $A_k$  (multi-Taub-NUT). These are LeBrun's self-dual metrics on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{P}^2 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}^2$ , and so not Einstein.

# $Z_{m,k} = \{(u,v) \in L^m(k,0) \oplus L^{-m}(0,k); \, uv = \psi\}.$

After resolving singularities, we obtain a twistor space of a strongly integrable pluricomplex structure in dimension 8.

If  $\psi$  is invariant w.r.t. the involution  $\tau$ , we can apply the above construction and obtain a family of 4-dimensional conformal self-dual metrics.

These converge to the ALF gravitational instantons of type  $D_k$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Perhaps these are not Einstein, after all, by analogy with what happens for singular hyperbolic monopoles of charge 1. As observed by Nash (2008, also Atiyah & LeBrun, 2012), singular monopoles of charge 1 produce a 1-dimensional family of self-dual deformations of ALF gravitational instantons of type  $A_k$  (multi-Taub-NUT). These are LeBrun's self-dual metrics on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{P}^2 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}^2$ , and so not Einstein.