# \* \* \* \* \* \*

# Quaternionic Contact Hypersurfaces of Hyper-Kähler manifolds

Ivan Minchev

joint work with S.Ivanov and D.Vassilev

#### **Quaternionic Manifolds**

**Definition.** A pair (K, Q) of a smooth 4n-manifold K and a three dimensional subbundle  $Q \subset \text{End}(TK)$  is called Quaternionic Manifold if

i) 
$$\mathcal{Q} = \operatorname{span}\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$$

$$(J_1)^2 = (J_2)^2 = (J_3)^2 = -Id, \qquad J_1 J_2 = -J_2 J_1 = J_3;$$

ii) There exists a torsion-free connection  $\hat{\nabla}$  on TK with  $\hat{\nabla}_X Q \subset Q$ ,  $X \in TK$ .

• The above definition resembles the definition of a complex manifold.

• Unlike the complex manifolds, quaternionic manifolds can be distinguished locally by a curvature tensor.

# Hyper-Surfaces of Quaternionic Manifolds

Let  $M \subset K$  be any hyper-surface of the quaternionic manifold (K, Q).

**Dfn.** We define  $H \subset TM$  to be the maximal Q-invariant distribution on M.

• If f is any defining function for M, i.e.  $M = f^{-1}(0)$  and  $df|_M \neq 0$ , then

 $H = \{ X \in TM : df(J_1X) = df(J_2X) = df(J_3X) = 0 \}.$ 

• Thus H is always a smooth codimension 3 distribution on M.

#### **Quaternionic Contact Hyper-Surfaces of Quaternionic Manifolds**

**Definition.** We say that a hyper-surface M of a quaternionic manifold  $(K, Q = \{J_1, J_2, J_3\})$  is a QC-hyper-surface if

i)  $\hat{\nabla} df(X,X) \neq 0$ ,  $X \in H$ , unless X = 0,

ii)  $\hat{\nabla}df(J_sX, J_sY) = \hat{\nabla}df(X, Y), \qquad X, Y \in H, \qquad s = 1, 2, 3,$ 

where  $H \subset TM$  is the maximal Q-invariant distribution on M,  $\hat{\nabla}$  is any torsion-free quaternionic connection of (K, Q), and f is any defining function for M.

# **Examples of QC-Hyper-Surfaces**

• Consider the field of the quaternions

$$\mathbb{H} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{1, i, j, k\},$$

where  $i^{2} = j^{2} = -k^{2} = -1$  and  $i \cdot j = -j \cdot i = k$ .

• Consider the flat quaternionic manifold  $K := \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  with its standard quaternionic structure  $\mathcal{Q} = \operatorname{span}\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ .

$$J_1(x) := -x \cdot i, \qquad J_2(x) := -x \cdot j, \qquad J_3(x) := -x \cdot k.$$

• As a torsion free quaternionic connection  $\hat{\nabla}$  we take the flat connection here. It clearly holds  $\hat{\nabla}_X Q \subset Q$ .

Let 
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ \cdots \\ q_n \\ p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}.$$

We have the following three basic examples of QC hyper-surfaces of  $\mathbb{H}^n\times\mathbb{H}$ 

• 
$$M_1$$
:  $\sum_{a=1}^n |q_a|^2 + \mathbb{R}e(p) = 0$ 

• 
$$M_2$$
:  $\sum_{a=1}^n |q_a|^2 - |p|^2 = -1$ 

•  $M_3: \sum_{a=1}^n |q_a|^2 + |p|^2 = 1$  (the Sphere).

• Let  $Sp(1) := \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| = 1\}.$ 

• The quaternionic affine group  $GL(n+1,\mathbb{H})\times Sp(1)\rtimes \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  acts on the vector space  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  by

$$\phi(x) = A \cdot x \cdot \bar{z} + y,$$

where  $\phi = (A, z, y) \in GL(n + 1, \mathbb{H}) \times Sp(1) \rtimes \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .

- If M is a QC-hyper-surface, then  $\phi(M)$  is a QC-hyper-surface as well.
- Thus the three examples  $M_1, M_2$  and  $M_3$  determine three orbits of QC-hyper-surfaces of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .

**Theorem.** If M is a connected QC-hyper-surface of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  then there exists a transformation  $\phi \in GL(n+1,\mathbb{H}) \times Sp(1) \rtimes \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  such that  $\phi(M)$  is an open set of one of the hyper-surfaces

• 
$$M_1: \quad \sum_{a=1}^n |q_a|^2 + \mathbb{R}e(p) = 0$$

• 
$$M_2$$
:  $\sum_{a=1}^n |q_a|^2 - |p|^2 = -1$ 

• 
$$M_3$$
:  $\sum_{a=1}^n |q_a|^2 + |p|^2 = 1.$ 

Let  $(K, Q = \{J_1, J_2, J_3\})$  be a quaternionic manifold and  $M \subset K$  be a QC-hyper-surface, i.e. we have

- $\hat{\nabla} df(J_s X, J_s X) + \hat{\nabla} df(X, Y) = 0, \quad X, Y \in H$
- $\hat{\nabla} df|_H$  is positive or negative definite on H.

If we define:

- Metric  $g := \hat{\nabla} df|_H$  on H.
- Three 1-forms  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$  on M given by

$$\eta_s(u) := -df(J_s u), \qquad u \in TM.$$

Then it holds:  $g(J_sX, J_sY) = g(X, Y)$  and  $d\eta_s(X, Y) = g(J_sX, Y)$  for any  $X, Y \in H$ .

#### **Abstract Quaternionic Contact Manifolds**

**Definition.** A pair (M, H) of a (4n + 3)-manifold M and a 4n-distribution H on M is called Quaternionic Contact Manifold if locally there exists a smooth field  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, I_1, I_2, I_3, g)$ , where

- $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$  are 1-forms on M with common kernel H
- $I_1, I_2, I_3 \in \mathsf{End}(H)$  satisfy

$$(I_1)^2 = (I_2)^2 = (I_3)^2 = -Id, \qquad I_1I_2 = -I_2I_1 = I_3$$

•  $g \in H^* \otimes H^*$  is symmetric and positive definite,

and all these satisfy the equations

$$d\eta_s(X,Y) = g(J_sX,Y) \qquad X,Y \in H.$$

#### **Conformal Infinity**

Let (M, H) be a QC manifold.

If  $(\hat{\eta}_1, \hat{\eta}_2, \hat{\eta}_3, \hat{I}_1, \hat{I}_2, \hat{I}_3, \hat{g})$  and  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, I_1, I_2, I_3, g)$  are two admissible sets in an open neighborhood  $U \subset M$  then

 $(\hat{I}_1, \hat{I}_2, \hat{I}_3) = (I_1, I_2, I_3)\Psi, \quad (\hat{\eta}_1, \hat{\eta}_2, \hat{\eta}_3) = \mathcal{F}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)\Psi, \quad \hat{g} = \mathcal{F}g,$ 

where  $\mathcal{F}: U \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\Psi: U \to SO(3)$ .

**Dfn.** We say that a Riemannian metric G defined on  $M \times (0, \epsilon)$  with coordinates  $(x, \rho)$  has as conformal infinity the QC-manifold (M, H) if there exists an admissible set  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, I_1, I_2, I_3, g)$  such that

$$G \sim \frac{1}{\rho^2} ((\eta_1)^2 + (\eta_2)^2 + (\eta_3)^2 + d\rho^2) + \frac{1}{\rho}g,$$

when  $\rho$  tends to zero.

**Theorem.** [O.Biquard, 2000] Each real analytic QC-manifold (M, H) is the conformal infinity of a unique quaternionic Kähler metric G defined on a neighborhood of M.

**Example.** The quaternionic hyperbolic metric on the unit-ball in  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  has as conformal infinity the unit-sphere  $S^{4n+3}$  with the QC distribution H being induced by the imbedding of  $S^{4n+3}$  into the quaternionic manifold  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .

**Theorem.** [D.Duchemin, 2006] Each real analytic QC-manifold (M, H) can be imbedded as a QC-hyper-surface in an appropriate quaternionic manifold (K, Q).

**Typical examples** of QC-manifolds are provided by the 3-Sasakian geometry.

**Recall:** A Riemannian (4n + 3)-manifold (M, h) is called 3-Sasaki if there exist 3-Killing vector fields  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  such that

- $h(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}, \ i, j = 1, 2, 3$
- $[\xi_i, \xi_j] = -2\xi_k$ , for any cyclic permutation (i, j, k) of (1, 2, 3)

•  $(D_X \tilde{I}_i)Y = h(\xi_i, Y)X - h(X, Y)\xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, X, Y \in TM$ , where  $\tilde{I}_i(X) := D_X\xi_i$  and D denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric h.

We construct a **QC**-structure on M out of the 3-Sasakian one by setting  $H = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}^{\perp}$ .

# **QC-Hyper-Surfaces of Hyper-Kähler Manifolds**

Let (K, Q) be a quaternionic manifold and G be any Q-compatible Riemannian metric on K.

**Dfn.** (K, Q, G) is called a hyper-Kähler manifold if there exists a frame  $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  of Q which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of G.

• A trivial example of a hyper-Kähler manifold is provided by  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  with its flat metric.

From now on we will assume: (M, H) is a QC-hyper-surface of a hyper-Kähler manifold  $(K, J_1, J_2, J_3, G)$ .

# **Furthermore:**

- Let D be the Levi-Civita connection of G
- Let N be the unit-normal vector field of the imbedding

•  $II(X,Y) := -G(D_XN,Y)$ ,  $X,Y \in TM$  is the second fundamental form.

# Then it holds:

- $II|_H$  is symmetric and negative definite
- $II(J_sX, J_sY) = II(X, Y), \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \quad X, Y \in H.$

Note that we make no assumption about  $II(J_sN, X)$ , s = 1, 2, 3,  $X \in H$ .

• The key point in our method is proving that for each QC-hyper-surface (M, H) there exists a function  $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$  for which it holds

$$II(J_sN, J_sX) = -f^{-1}df(X), \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \quad X \in H.$$

• The function f is obtained by performing a certain volume normalization on M by comparing  $II|_{H}$  with the hyper-Kähler metric  $G|_{H}$ . For this purpose we use the following lemma

**Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{H}^{4n}$  be a real vector space with a prescribed hyper-complex structure  $(J_1, J_2, J_3)$ . Assume that we are given two positive definite inner products  $\hat{g}$  and g on  $\mathcal{H}^{4n}$  compatible with  $(J_1, J_2, J_3)$ .

If we set  $\hat{\gamma}_i(X,Y) := \hat{g}(I_jX,Y) + \sqrt{-1} \hat{g}(I_kX,Y)$  and  $\gamma_i(X,Y) := g(I_jX,Y) + \sqrt{-1} g(I_kX,Y)$ , then there exists a positive constant  $\mu$  such that  $(\hat{\gamma}_s)^n = \mu(\gamma_s)^n$ , s = 1, 2, 3. **Note** that the Levi-Civita connection of the hyper-Kähler metric G induces a connection in the bundle  $TK|_M \to M$ .

**Theorem.** If (M, H) is a QC-hyper-surface of a hyper-Kähler manifold (K, Q) then it holds:

• The second fundamental form II extends in an unique way to a symmetric  $J_s$ -invariant section  $\Delta$  of the bundle  $(T^*K \otimes T^*K)|_M \to M$ .

• The section  $f\Delta$  is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the hyper-Kähler metric G.

Let (K, Q, G) be a hyper-Kähler manifold with Riemannian curvature tensor R.

**Theorem.** If  $M \subset K$  is a QC-hyper-surface with normal vector N, then at each point of M it holds

$$R(X,Y)N = 0, \qquad X,Y \in TK.$$

Thank You for Your Attention!