## Almost compact Clifford-Klein forms

#### Aleksy Tralle (joint work with Maciej Bocheński)

University of Warmia and Mazury

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- *G*/*H* a homogeneous space of a connected semisimple real Lie group *G* with finite center.
- G/H admits an almost compact Clifford-Klein form, if there exists a discrete and not virtually abelian subgroup Γ ⊂ G acting discontinously on G/H.
- G/H admits a compact Clifford-Klein form, if there exists a discrete subgroup Γ ⊂ G acting discontinuosly on G/H and with compact quotient Γ \ G/H.

#### Theorem

If G/H admits compact Clifford-Klein forms, it necessarily admits almost compact ones (but not vice versa).

・ コット (雪) ( 小田) ( コット 日)

Find a way of checking when certain types of homogenous spaces G/H admit or do not admit almost compact Clifford-Klein forms.

#### A result of Benoist, Ann. Math., 1996

A criterion of existence of non virtually abelian  $\Gamma$  expressed in terms of  ${\mathfrak g}$  and  ${\mathfrak h}.$ 

#### Data required for the Benoist criterion

- reductivity of the pair (g,h),
- compatible Cartan decompositions

 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}, \ \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k}_h \oplus \mathfrak{p}_h$ 

- maximal abelian subspaces  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{a}_h \subset \mathfrak{p}_h$ ,
- Weyl chamber a<sup>+</sup> determined by the system of *reduced* roots of g, its Weyl group and a special convex cone b<sup>+</sup> ⊂ a<sup>+</sup>.

Γ exists if and only if

$$\mathfrak{b}^+ \not\subset \cup_{w \in W} W\mathfrak{a}_h.$$

#### Difficulties in checking it

If one tries to check the condition in terms of  $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}$ , one needs to know the embedding of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  expressed in some calculable terms, e.g. in terms of the Satake diagrams. This is not always possible.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Conditions are expressed in terms of Lie algebras g, h,
- They do not depend on the embedding of  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ ,
- They are expressed directly in terms of an invariant  $\tilde{d}(\mathfrak{g})$  ( $\tilde{d}(\mathfrak{h})$ ) called the *a-hyperbolic rank*,
- *d̃*(g) and *d̃*(h) can be read off directly from the Satake diagrams S<sub>g</sub> and S<sub>h</sub>.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• New classes of homogeneous spaces appear.

#### Theorem

Let G be a connected and semisimple Lie group and let H be a reductive subgroup with compact center and finite number of connected components. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  denote the appropriate Lie algebras. Then

- If  $\tilde{d}(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{d}(\mathfrak{h})$  then G/H does not admit almost compact (and, therefore, compact) Clifford-Klein forms.
- 2 If  $rank_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) = rank_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{h})$ , then G/H does not admit almost compact (and, therefore, compact) Clifford-Klein forms.
- If d(g) > rank<sub>R</sub>(h) then G/H admits almost compact Clifford-Klein forms.

Fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}})$ , be the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Consider the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{j} := \{ X \in \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \forall_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha(X) \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

which is a real form of  $\mathfrak{j}^\mathbb{C}.$  Choose a subsystem  $\Delta^+$  of positive roots in  $\Delta.$  Then

$$\mathfrak{j}^+ := \{ X \in \mathfrak{j} \mid \forall_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(X) \ge \mathbf{0} \}$$

is the closed Weyl chamber for the Weyl group  $W_{\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbb{C}}}$  of  $\Delta$ .

Let  $\Pi$  be a simple root system for  $\Delta^+$ . For every  $X \in \mathfrak{j}$  we define

$$\Psi_X: \Pi \to \mathbb{R}, \ \alpha \to \alpha(X).$$

The above map is called the *weighted Dynkin diagram* of  $X \in \mathfrak{j}$ , and the value  $\alpha(X)$  is the weight of the node  $\alpha$ . Since  $\Pi$  is a base of the dual space  $\mathfrak{j}^*$ , the map

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{j} \to Map(\Pi, \mathbb{R}), \ X \to \Psi_X$$

is a linear isomorphism. We see, that

$$\Psi|_{\mathfrak{j}^+}:\mathfrak{j}^+ 
ightarrow Map(\Pi,\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}), \ X 
ightarrow \Psi_X$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

is bijective.

# Definition of $b^+$

Let  $w_0$  be the longest element of  $W_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ . The action of  $w_0$  sends  $\mathfrak{j}^+$  to  $-\mathfrak{j}^+$ ,  $X \to -X$ . Define

$$-w_0: \mathfrak{j} \to \mathfrak{j}, X \to -(wX).$$

This is an involutive automorphism of j, which preserves  $j^+$ . Then  $\Psi$  and -w induce the linear automorphism  $\iota = \Psi \circ (-w) \circ \Psi^{-1}$  of  $Map(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$ .

Fact		
	$\iota(\mathfrak{a}^+)\subset \mathfrak{a}^+.$	
Definition		
	$\mathfrak{b}^+ = (\mathfrak{a}^+)^\iota$	
this is a convex cone.		

We see that  $\iota(\mathfrak{a}^+) = \mathfrak{a}^+$  therefore we can define the convex cone

$$\mathfrak{b}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}^+$$

as the set of all fixed points of  $\iota$  in  $\mathfrak{a}^+$ .

#### Definition

The dimension of  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  is called the real rank  $(rank_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}))$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The dimension of  $\mathfrak{b}^+$  is called the a-hyperbolic rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and is denoted by  $\tilde{d}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Here

```
dim \mathfrak{b}^+ := \dim Span_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{b}^+).
```

# Calculation of $\tilde{d}(\mathfrak{g})$ : Satake diagrams

Complex involution  $\sigma : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Define the involution  $\sigma^*$  on  $(\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$  by the formula

$$(\sigma^*\varphi)(X) = \overline{\varphi(\sigma(X))}, \, \forall X \in \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

If  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $\sigma^* \alpha \in \Delta$ . Let

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{0}} = \{ \alpha \in \Delta \, | \, \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbf{0} \}.$$

Put  $\Delta_1 = \Delta \setminus \Delta_0$ . Then  $\sigma^*(\Delta_0) \subset \Delta_0$ , and  $\sigma^*(\Delta_1) = \Delta_1$ . Put  $\Pi_0 = \Pi \cap \Delta_0$  and  $\Pi_1 = \Pi \cap \Delta_1$ . Recall that the *Satake diagram* for  $\mathfrak{g}$  is defined as follows. One takes the Dynkin diagram for  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and paints vertexes from  $\Pi_0$  in black and vertexes from  $\Pi_1$  in white. Next, one shows that  $\sigma^*$  determines an involution  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $\Pi_1$  defined by the equation

$$\sigma^* \alpha - \beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi_0} \mathbf{k}_{\gamma} \gamma, \ \mathbf{k}_{\gamma} \ge \mathbf{0}.$$

By definition, if the above equality holds for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then  $\tilde{\sigma}\alpha = \beta$ . Now the construction of the Satake diagram is completed by joining by arrows the white vertexes transformed into each other by  $\tilde{\sigma}$ .

# Calculation of $\tilde{d}(\mathfrak{g})$

#### Definition

 $\Psi_X \in Map(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$ -weighted Dynkin,  $S_g$ -Satake.  $\Psi_X$  matches  $S_g$  if all black nodes in  $S_g$  have weights equal 0 in  $\Psi_X$ , and every two nodes joined by an arrow have the same weights.

#### Theorem A

The map  $\Psi : \mathfrak{j} \to Map(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$  yields

$$\Psi|_{\mathfrak{a}}:\mathfrak{a}
ightarrow\{\Psi_X \text{ mathes } \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}\}(\cong)$$

#### Theorem B

$$\psi|_{\mathfrak{b}^+}:\mathfrak{b}^+\to\{\Psi_X \text{ matches } S^\iota_\mathfrak{g}\}(\cong)$$

**Step 1.** We calculate the a-hyperbolic rank separately for every simple part of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and add results.

**Step 2.** We calculate the a-hyperbolic rank for simple  $\mathfrak{g}$  (dim( $\mathfrak{g}$ ) = *n*) by taking the weighted Dynkin diagrams matching  $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and preserved by  $\iota$ . We interpret weights of a given weighted Dynkin diagram as coordinates of a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . All vectors constructed this way give us the convex cone which has dimension equal to  $\tilde{d}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

We postpone the explanations of Theorem A and B and explain the main theorem first.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

#### Hyperbolic elements

 $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is hyperbolic, if X is semisimple (that is,  $ad_X$  is diagonalizable) and all eigenvalues of  $ad_X$  are real.

#### Definition of antypodal hyperbolic orbits

An adjoint orbit  $O_X := Ad(G)X$  is said to be hyperbolic if X (and therefore every element of  $O_X$ ) is hyperbolic. An orbit  $O_Y$  is antipodal if  $-Y \in O_Y$  (and therefore for every  $Z \in O_Y$ ,  $-Z \in O_Y$ ).

#### Theorem 1

There is a bijective correspondence between vectors X in  $b^+$  and hyperbolic antipodal orbits  $O_X$ 

#### Theorem 2

Any antipodal  $O_X$  intersects  $\mathfrak{a}$  as a single W-orbit.

#### **Benoist criterion**

 $\Gamma$  exists if and only if  $\mathfrak{b}^+ \not\subset \cup_{w \in W} w\mathfrak{a}_h$ .

#### Theorem 3

If  $X \in \mathfrak{b}_h^+$ , then Ad(G)(X) is still antipodal and hyperbolic.

## Proof of the main theorem

Choose  $X \in \mathfrak{b}_h^+ \implies Ad(G)(X)$  is antipodal and hyperbolic  $\implies$  there exists  $Y \in \mathfrak{b}^+$  such that  $Ad(G)(X) = Ad(G)(Y) \implies$  (by Theorem 2)

$$X = wY, w \in W.$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{b}_h^+ \subset \mathit{W} \mathit{Span}(\mathfrak{b}^+)$$

these are convex cones:

$$\mathfrak{b}_h^+ \subset w \cdot Span(\mathfrak{b}^+).$$

By assumption, dim  $Span(\mathfrak{b}_h^+) = \dim Span(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ , hence

$$Span(\mathfrak{b}_h^+) = w \cdot Span(\mathfrak{b}^+)$$

thus

$$w \cdot Span(\mathfrak{b}^+) = Span(\mathfrak{b}_h^+) \subset \mathfrak{a}_h$$

# New examples

Let *G* be a semisimple Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $H \subset G$  a closed subgroup.

The following examples are obtained by calculating the a-hyperbolic ranks of the corresponding G and H (according to Table 1).

#### Examples of non-existence

The following homogeneous spaces do not admit compact Clifford-Klein forms:

$$SL(4k+2l,\mathbb{R})/SO(2k,2k) imes Sp(l,\mathbb{R});$$

 $SL(2k+2l,\mathbb{R})/Sp(k,\mathbb{R}) \times Sp(l,\mathbb{R});$ 

 $SL(4k+4l,\mathbb{R})/SO(2k,2k) \times SO(2l,2l);$ 

 $SL(4k+2l+1,\mathbb{R})/SO(2k,2k) \times SO(l,l+1);$ 

 $SU^{*}(4k+2)/U(s, r-s) \times Sp(t, 2k+1-r-t)$ , for s+t = k+1,  $1 \le r \le 2k+1$ 

 $SU^{*}(4k)/U(s, r-s) \times Sp(t, 2k+1-r-t), \text{ for } s+t=k, \ 1 \leq r \leq 2k.$ 

The following homogeneous spaces admit almost compact Clifford-Klein forms:

New examples

 $SL(2k + 2l + 2, \mathbb{R})/SO(k, k + 1) \times SO(l, l + 1);$  $SL(2k + 2l + 2, \mathbb{R})/SO(k, k) \times SO(l, l);$  $E_6^l/{SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \times SU(2, 1)}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ 

#### Theorem

Assume that  $G = E_6^{IV}$ ,  $SO^*(6)$ ,  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$  and H is a non-compact subgroup of reductive type. Then G/H does not admit compact Clifford-Klein forms.



# Example: Okuda's results on symmetric spaces, J. Different. Geom., 2013

#### Symmetric spaces

$$(G, H), G_0^{\sigma} \subset H \subset G^{\sigma}, \sigma \in Aut(G), \sigma^2 = id.$$

#### Okuda's Theorem

There is a complete classification of all pairs (G, H) which admit almost compact Clifford-Klein forms.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Example: 3-symmetric spaces, B-T., 2014

#### 3-symmetric spaces

$$(G, H), G_0^{\sigma} \subset H \subset G^{\sigma}, \sigma \in Aut(G), \sigma^3 = id.$$

### Classification theorem, B-T

There is a classification of 3-symmetric (G, H) with simple *G* admitting almost compact Clifford-Klein forms.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

 the correspondence between a<sup>+</sup> and the set of hyperbolic orbits is "more or less" clear from the definition of a,

2 It is sufficient to prove that  $A \in OX$  if and only if  $(-w_0)X = X$ .

To prove (2) observe: if  $A \in O_X$  (hyperbolic and antipodal)  $\implies$  $-X \in -\mathfrak{a}^+$ , but both  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  and  $-\mathfrak{a}^+$  are the Weyl chambers. The Weyl group acts simply transitively on Weyl chambers  $\implies$ 

$$-X = wX \implies w = w_0$$

Hence

$$w_0\mathfrak{a}^+ = -\mathfrak{a}^+ \implies -w_0X = X \implies X \in \mathfrak{b}^+.$$