## Topological implications in n-permutable varieties

## H. Peter Gumm

It is well known that topological groups are Hausdorff whenver their topology is at least a  $T_0$ -space. We find universal algebraic reasons for this in the following two theorems:

THEOREM 1. Let **A** be an algebra in an n-permutable variety. Any compatible  $T_0$ -topology on **A** is in fact  $T_1$ .

THEOREM 2. Let **A** be an algebra in a 3-permutable variety. Any compatible  $T_0$ -topology on **A** is in fact Hausdorff.

The corresponding result for permutable varieties has been proven by W. Taylor [4], thus already capturing the group case. Further let us mention a related unpublished result by J. Hagemann:

THEOREM (Hagemann). Let **A** be an algebra in a permutable variety. Every compatible preuniformity on **A** must already be a uniformity.

The main purpose of this paper is to show how Nonstandard Analysis can be utilized to translate the above theorems into a purely algebraic context. In the end we have to deal with the familiar concepts of compatible relations on universal algebras. Notably, proving theorem 1 then amounts to showing that a compatible quasiorder on an algebra in an *n*-permutable variety must be trivial, a result which is due to S. Bulman-Fleming and W. Taylor [1]. For the concepts of Nonstandard analysis we refer the reader to the excellent introduction by M. Machover and J. Hirschfeld [3].

We write " $\sim$ " for the relation of "closeness". Beware that this relation is neither symmetric nor transitive, however it is compatible with those algebraic terms which are continuous in the topology of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Presented by W. Taylor. Received March 14, 1983. Accepted for publication in final form July 28, 1983.

In this setting  $T_0$  means: If a and b are standard elements of A with  $a \sim b$  and  $b \sim a$  then a = b.

 $T_1$  means: If a and b are standard elements of A with  $a \sim b$  then a = b.

Hausdorff means: If x is a nonstandard element of A and a and b are standard elements of A with  $x \sim a$  and  $x \sim b$  then a = b, compare [3].

An *n*-permutable variety possesses, according to Hagemann and Mitschke [2] ternary terms  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$  satisfying the laws

$$x = p_0(x, y, z)$$

$$p_i(x, x, y) = p_{i+1}(x, y, y) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le i < n,$$

$$p_n(x, y, z) = z$$

**Proof** of Theorem 1. Suppose  $a \sim b$ , we show that  $p_i(b, a, a) = b$  for all  $0 \le i \le n$ , thus a = b by the last equation. The case i = 0 is trivial, so by induction  $p_i(b, a, a) = b$  whence  $b = p_i(b, a, a) \sim p_i(b, b, a) = p_{i+1}(b, a, a)$  and clearly  $p_{i+1}(b, a, a) \sim p_{i+1}(b, b, b) = b$ . Thus, from the fact that **A**'s topology is  $T_0$  we get  $b = p_{i+1}(b, a, a)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** From the above we already know that A must be  $T_1$ . If  $x \sim a$  and  $x \sim b$  then, denoting the nonstandard extensions of the terms  $p_0, \ldots, p_n$  by  $p_0^*, \ldots, p_n^*$  we find:

$$a = p_1^*(a, x, x) \sim p_1^*(a, a, b) = p_2^*(a, b, b) = p_2(a, b, b).$$

From  $T_1$  we get that  $a = p_2(a, b, b)$ , and similarly

$$b = p_2^*(x, x, b) \sim p_2^*(a, b, b) = p_2(a, b, b),$$

hence  $b = p_2(a, b, b)$  by  $T_1$  again, so a = b as required.

The reader who may have translated the proofs into standard topological language will certainly agree that the nonstandard language is indeed more appropriate in this setting. With the nonstandard characterization of preuniform and uniform spaces in mind, Hagemann's theorem too becomes almost obvious:

A compatible preuniformity on  $\mathbf{A}$  induces a reflexive, symmetric and compatible binary relation " $\approx$ " on  $\hat{A}$ , the set of \*-elements of  $\mathbf{A}$ , see [3].  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  is always contained in the variety generated by  $\mathbf{A}$ , so  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  generates a permutable variety.

From well known facts about permutable varieties ([5]) the relation  $\approx$  therefore must be transitive, which translates precisely back into the statement that the preuniformity is indeed a uniformity.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] S. BULMAN-FLEMING and W. TAYLOR, Union-indecomposable varieties. Coll. Math. 35 (1976), 189-199.
- [2] J. HAGEMANN and A. MITSCHKE, On n-permutable congruences. Algebra Universalis 3 (1973), 8–12
- [3] M. MACHOVER and H. HIRSCHFELD, Lectures on Nonstandard Analysis. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 94, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [4] W. TAYLOR, Varieties of topological algebras. J. of the Australian Math. Soc., Series A, 23 (1977), 207-241.
- [5] H. WERNER, A Mal'cev condition for admissible relations. Algebra Universalis 3 (1973), p. 263.

Medis-Institut der gsf, München Neuherberg West Germany