


Non-integrable geometries, torsion, and holonomy IV: Classification of naturally reductive homogeneous spaces

Prof. Dr. habil. Ilka Agricola
Philipps-Universität Marburg



Torino, Carnival Differential Geometry school

Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces

Traditional approach:

(M, g) a Riemannian manifold, $M = G/H$ s. t. G is a group of isometries acting transitively and effectively

Dfn. $M = G/H$ is *naturally reductive* if \mathfrak{h} admits a reductive complement \mathfrak{m} in \mathfrak{g} s. t.

$$\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle + \langle Y, [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad (*)$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the inner product on \mathfrak{m} induced from g .

The PFB $G \rightarrow G/H$ induces a metric connection ∇ with torsion

$$g(T(X, Y), Z) := T(X, Y, Z) = -\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle,$$

the so-called *canonical connection*. It always satisfies $\nabla T = \nabla \mathcal{R} = 0$.

Observation: condition $(*) \Leftrightarrow T$ is a 3-form, i. e. $T \in \Lambda^3(M)$.

Conversely:

Thm. A Riemannian manifold equipped with a [regular] homogeneous structure, i. e. a metric connection ∇ with torsion T and curvature \mathcal{R} such that $\nabla\mathcal{R} = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$, is locally isometric to a homogeneous space.
[Ambrose-Singer, 1958, Tricerri 1993]

However, a classification in all dimensions is impossible!

Main pb: \mathbb{A} invariant theory for $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under $\text{SO}(n)$ for $n \geq 6$

- Use *torsion* (instead of curvature) as basic geometric quantity, *find a G -structure* inducing the nat. red. structure

In this talk: General strategy, some general results, classification for $n \leq 6$
[joint work with Ana C. Ferreira, Th. Friedrich]

Set-up: (M, g) Riemannian mnfd, ∇ metric conn., ∇^g Levi-Civita conn.

$$T(X, Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y], Z) \in \Lambda^3(M^n)$$

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^g Y + \frac{1}{2}T(X, Y, -)$$

(M, g, T) carries nat. red. homog. structure if $\nabla \mathcal{R} = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$

Obviously:

nat.red.homog.
Riemannian mnfds

⊂

(homogeneous) Riemannian
mnfds with parallel skew torsion

Review of some classical results

- all isotropy irreducible homogeneous manifolds are naturally reductive
- the \pm -connections on any Lie group with a biinvariant metric are naturally reductive (and, by the way, flat) [\[Cartan-Schouten, 1926\]](#)
- construction / classification (under some assumptions) of left-invariant naturally reductive metrics on compact Lie groups [\[D'Atri-Ziller, 1979\]](#)
- All 6-dim. homog. nearly Kähler mnfds (w. r. t. their canonical almost Hermitian structure) are naturally reductive. These are precisely: $S^3 \times S^3$, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$, the flag manifold $F(1, 2) = \mathrm{U}(3)/\mathrm{U}(1)^3$, and $S^6 = G_2/\mathrm{SU}(3)$.
- Known classifications:
 - dimension 3 [\[Tricerri-Vanhecke, 1983\]](#), dimension 4 [\[Kowalski-Vanhecke, 1983\]](#), dimension 5 [\[Kowalski-Vanhecke, 1985\]](#)

These proceed by finding normal forms for the curvature operator, more details to follow later.

An important tool: the 4-form σ_T

Dfn. For any $T \in \Lambda^3(M)$, define (e_1, \dots, e_n) a local ONF)

$$\sigma_T := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i \lrcorner T) \wedge (e_i \lrcorner T) = \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} g(T(X, Y), T(Z, V))$$

- σ_T measures the ‘degeneracy’ of T and, if non degenerate, induces the geometric structure on M

- σ_T appears in many important relations:

- * 1st Bianchi identity: $\mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \mathcal{R}(X, Y, Z, V) = \sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V)$

- * $T^2 = -2\sigma_T + \|T\|^2$ in the Clifford algebra

- * If $\nabla T = 0$: $dT = 2\sigma_T$ and $\nabla^g T = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_T$

either $\sigma_T = 0$ or $\mathfrak{hol}^\nabla \subset \mathfrak{iso}(T)$ is non-trivial

σ_T and the Nomizu construction

Idea: for $M = G/H$, reconstruct \mathfrak{g} from \mathfrak{h} , T , \mathcal{R} and $V \cong T_x M$

Set-up: \mathfrak{h} a real Lie algebra, V a real f.d. \mathfrak{h} -module with \mathfrak{h} -invariant pos. def. scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i. e. $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V) \cong \Lambda^2 V$

$\mathcal{R} : \Lambda^2 V \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ an \mathfrak{h} -equivariant map, $T \in (\Lambda^3 V)^\mathfrak{h}$ an \mathfrak{h} -invariant 3-form,

Define a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus V$ by $(A, B \in \mathfrak{h}, X, Y \in V)$:

$$[A + X, B + Y] := ([A, B]_\mathfrak{h} - \mathcal{R}(X, Y)) + (AY - BX - T(X, Y))$$

Jacobi identity for $\mathfrak{g} \Leftrightarrow$

- $\mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \mathcal{R}(X, Y, Z, V) = \sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V)$ (1st Bianchi condition)
- $\mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \mathcal{R}(T(X, Y), Z) = 0$ (2nd Bianchi condition)

Observation: If (M, g, T) satisfies $\nabla T = 0$, then $\mathcal{R} : \Lambda^2(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(M)$ is symmetric (as in the Riemannian case).

Consider $\mathcal{C}(V) := \mathcal{C}(V, -\langle, \rangle)$: Clifford algebra, (recall: $T^2 = -2\sigma_T + \|T\|^2$)

Thm. If $\mathcal{R} : \Lambda^2 V \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \subset \Lambda^2 V$ is symmetric, the first Bianchi condition is equivalent to $T^2 + \mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{C}(V)$ ($\Leftrightarrow 2\sigma_T = \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}(V)$), and the second Bianchi condition holds automatically.

Exists in the literature in various formulations: based on an algebraic identity (Kostant); crucial step in a formula of Parthasarathy type for the square of the Dirac operator (A, '03); previously used by Schoemann 2007 and Fr. 2007, but without a clear statement nor a proof.

Practical relevance: allows to evaluate the 1st Bianchi identity in one condition!

Splitting theorems

Dfn. For T 3-form, define

[introduced in AFr, 2004]

- kernel: $\ker T = \{X \in TM \mid X \lrcorner T = 0\}$
- Lie algebra generated by its image: $\mathfrak{g}_T := \text{Lie}\langle X \lrcorner T \mid X \in V \rangle$
 \mathfrak{g}_T is *not* related in any obvious way to the isotropy algebra of T !

Thm 1. Let (M, g, T) be a c.s.c. Riemannian mfd with parallel skew torsion T . Then $\ker T$ and $(\ker T)^\perp$ are ∇ -parallel and ∇^g -parallel integrable distributions, M is a Riemannian product s. t.

$$(M, g, T) = (M_1, g_1, T_1 = 0) \times (M_2, g_2, T_2), \quad \ker T_2 = \{0\}$$

Thm 2. Let (M, g, T) be a c.s.c. Riemannian mfd with parallel skew torsion T s. t. $\sigma_T = 0$, $TM = \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{T}_q$ the decomposition of TM in \mathfrak{g}_T -irreducible, ∇ -par. distributions. Then all \mathcal{T}_i are ∇^g -par. and integrable, M is a Riemannian product, and the torsion T splits accordingly

$$(M, g, T) = (M_1, g_1, T_1) \times \dots \times (M_q, g_q, T_q)$$

A structure theorem for vanishing σ_T

Thm. Let (M^n, g) be an *irreducible*, c.s.c. Riemannian mnfld with parallel skew torsion $T \neq 0$ s.t. $\sigma_T = 0$, $n \geq 5$. Then M^n is a simple compact Lie group with biinvariant metric or its dual noncompact symmetric space.

Key ideas: $\sigma_T = 0 \Rightarrow$ Nomizu construction yields Lie algebra structure on TM

use \mathfrak{g}_T ; use SHTT to show that G_T is simple and acts on TM by its adjoint rep.

prove that $\mathfrak{g}_T = \text{iso}(T) = \mathfrak{hol}^g$, hence acts irreducibly on TM , hence M is an irred. symmetric space by Berger's Thm

Exa. Fix $T \in \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with constant coefficients s.t. $\sigma_T = 0$. Then the flat space (\mathbb{R}^n, g, T) is a reducible Riemannian mnfld with parallel skew torsion and $\sigma_T = 0 \rightarrow$ assumption ' M irreducible' is crucial! (the Riemannian manifold is decomposable, but the torsion is not)

Classification of nat. red. spaces in $n = 3$

[Tricerri-Vanhecke, 1983]

Then $\sigma_T = 0$, and the Nomizu construction can be applied directly to obtain in a few lines:

Thm. Let $(M^3, g, T \neq 0)$ be a 3-dim. c.s.c. Riemannian mnfld with a naturally reductive structure. Then (M^3, g) is one of the following:

- \mathbb{R}^3, S^3 or \mathbb{H}^3 ;
- isometric to one of the following Lie groups with a suitable left-invariant metric:

$SU(2)$, $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, or the 3-dim. Heisenberg group H^3

N.B. A general classification of mnfds with par. skew torsion is meaningless – any 3-dim. volume form of a metric connection is parallel.

Proof: $T = \lambda e_{123}$; M is either Einstein (\rightarrow space form) or \mathfrak{hol}^∇ is one-dim., i. e. $\mathfrak{hol}^\nabla = \mathbb{R} \cdot \Omega$ and $\mathcal{R} = \alpha \Omega \odot \Omega$.

By the Nomizu construction, e_1, e_2, e_3 , and Ω are a basis of \mathfrak{g} with commutator relations

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= -\alpha\Omega - \lambda e_3 =: \tilde{\Omega}, & [e_1, e_3] &= \lambda e_2, & [e_2, e_3] &= -\lambda e_1, \\ [\Omega, e_1] &= e_2, & [\Omega, e_2] &= -e_1, & [\Omega, e_3] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The 3-dimensional subspace \mathfrak{h} spanned by e_1, e_2 , and $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} that is transversal to the isotropy algebra \mathfrak{k} (since $\lambda \neq 0$). Consequently, M^3 is a Lie group with a left invariant metric. One checks that \mathfrak{h} has the commutator relations

$$[e_1, e_2] = \tilde{\Omega}, \quad [\tilde{\Omega}, e_1] = (\lambda^2 - \alpha)e_2, \quad [e_2, \tilde{\Omega}] = (\lambda^2 - \alpha)e_1.$$

For $\alpha = \lambda^2$, this is the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra, otherwise it is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ depending on the sign of $\lambda^2 - \alpha$.

Classification of nat. red. spaces in $n = 4$

Thm. $(M^4, g, T \neq 0)$ a c. s. c. Riem. 4-mnfld with parallel skew torsion.

1) $V := *T$ is a ∇^g -parallel vector field.

2) $\text{Hol}(\nabla^g) \subset \text{SO}(3)$, hence M^4 is isometric to a product $N^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, where (N^3, g) is a 3-manifold with a parallel 3-form T .

- T has normal form $T = e_{123}$, so $\dim \ker T = 1$ and 2) follows at once from our 1st splitting thm: but the existence of V explains directly & *geometrically* the result in a few lines.

- Thm shows that the next result does not rely on the curvature or the homogeneity

Since a Riemannian product is nat. red. iff both factors are nar. red., we conclude:

Cor. A 4-dim. nat. reductive Riemannian manifold with $T \neq 0$ is locally isometric to a Riemannian product $N^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, where N^3 is a 3-dimensional naturally reductive Riemannian manifold. [Kowalski-Vanhecke, 1983]

Classification of nat. red. spaces in $n = 5$

Assume $(M^5, g, T \neq 0)$ is Riemannian mnfd with parallel skew torsion

- \exists a local frame s. t (for constants $\lambda, \varrho \in \mathbb{R}$)

$$T = -(\varrho e_{125} + \lambda e_{345}), \quad *T = -(\varrho e_{34} + \lambda e_{12}), \quad \sigma_T = \varrho \lambda e_{1234}$$

- **Case A:** $\sigma_T = 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow \varrho \lambda = 0$): apply 2nd splitting thm, M^5 is then loc. a product $N^3 \times N^2$ (if nat. red., N^2 has constant Gaussian curvature)

- **Case B:** $\sigma_T \neq 0$, two subcases:

- * Case B.1: $\lambda \neq \varrho$, $\text{Iso}(T) = \text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(2)$

- * Case B.2: $\lambda = \varrho$, $\text{Iso}(T) = \text{U}(2)$

Recall: Given a G -structure on (M, g) , a *characteristic connection* is a metric connection with skew torsion preserving the G -structure (if existent, it's unique)

$n = 5$: The induced contact structure

Case B: $\sigma_T \neq 0$

Dfn. A metric almost contact structure (φ, η) on (M^{2n+1}, g) is called
(N : Nijenhuis tensor, $F(X, Y) := g(X, \varphi Y)$)

- quasi-Sasakian if $N = 0$ and $dF = 0$
- α -Sasakian if $N = 0$ and $d\eta = \alpha F$ (Sasaki: $\alpha = 2$)

Thm. Let (M^5, g, T) be a Riemannian 5-mnfld with parallel skew torsion T such that $\sigma_T \neq 0$. Then M is a quasi-Sasakian manifold and ∇ is its characteristic connection.

The structure is α -Sasakian iff $\lambda = \varrho$ (case B.2), and it is Sasakian if $\lambda = \varrho = 2$.

Construction: $V := *\sigma_T \neq 0$ is a ∇ -parallel Killing vector field of constant length
 \equiv contact direction $\eta = e_5$ (up to normalisation)

Check: $T = \eta \wedge d\eta$, define $F = -(e_{12} + e_{34})$, then prove that this

works.

$n = 5$: Classification I

For $\lambda = \varrho$ (case B.2), no classification for parallel skew torsion is possible (many non-homogeneous Sasakian mnfds are known). But for

Case B.1: $\lambda \neq \varrho$

Thm. Let (M^5, g, T) be Riemannian 5-manifold with parallel skew torsion s. t. T has the normal form

$$T = -(\varrho e_{125} + \lambda e_{345}), \quad \varrho\lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \varrho \neq \lambda.$$

Then $\nabla\mathcal{R} = 0$, i.e. M is locally naturally reductive, and the family of admissible torsion forms and curvature operators depends on 4 parameters.

[Use Clifford criterion to relate \mathcal{R} and σ_T]

Now one can apply the Nomizu construction to obtain the classification:

$n = 5$: Classification II

Thm. A c. s. c. Riemannian 5-mnfld (M^5, g, T) with parallel skew torsion $T = -(\varrho e_{125} + \lambda e_{345})$ with $\varrho\lambda \neq 0$ is isometric to one of the following naturally reductive homogeneous spaces:

If $\lambda \neq \varrho$ (B.1):

a) The 5-dimensional Heisenberg group H^5 with a two-parameter family of left-invariant metrics,

b) A manifold of type $(G_1 \times G_2)/\text{SO}(2)$ where G_1 and G_2 are either $\text{SU}(2)$, $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, or H^3 , but not both equal to H^3 with one parameter $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ classifying the embedding of $\text{SO}(2)$ and a two-parameter family of homogeneous metrics.

If $\lambda = \varrho$ (B.2): One of the spaces above or $\text{SU}(3)/\text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SU}(2, 1)/\text{SU}(2)$ (the family of metrics depends on two parameters).

[Kowalski-Vanhecke, 1985]

Example: The $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group

$$H^{2n+1} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x^t & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \text{ local coordinates } x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z$$

- Metric: parameters $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, all $\lambda_i > 0$

$$g_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} (dx_i^2 + dy_i^2) + \left[dz - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j dy_j \right]^2$$

- Contact str.: $\eta = dz - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i dy_i$, $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[dx_i \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) - dy_i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right]$

- Characteristic connection ∇ : torsion: $T = \eta \wedge d\eta = - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \eta \wedge \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i$

Curvature: $\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i \leq j}^n \lambda_i \lambda_j (\alpha_i \wedge \beta_j)^2$ [read: [symm. tensor product of 2-forms](#)]

Nice property: For $n \geq 2$, H^{2n+1} admits Killing spinors with torsion, i. e. solutions of $\nabla_X \psi = \alpha \psi$ (but no Riemannian Killing spinors, i. e. no sol. for $\nabla = \nabla^g$ / \nexists Einstein metric) [A-Becker-Bender, 2012]

The case $n = 6$ I

Assume $\ker T = 0$ from beginning. Distinction $\sigma_T =, \neq 0$ is too crude.

$*\sigma_T$: a 2-form \equiv skew-symm. endomorphism, classify by its **rank!** ($=0,2,4,6$
/ Case A, B, C, D)

Geometry: Can $*\sigma_T$ be interpreted as an almost complex structure?

Exa. Recall: $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^6) \stackrel{\mathfrak{so}(n)}{=} W_1^{(2)} \oplus W_3^{(12)} \oplus W_4^{(6)}$:

types of almost complex structures with characteristic connection

On $S^3 \times S^3$, there exist 3-forms with the following subcases:

Type	$W_1 \oplus W_3$	W_1	$W_3 \oplus W_4$	---
$\text{rk}(*\sigma_T)$	6	6	2	0
$\text{iso}(T)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	T^2	$\mathfrak{so}(3) \times \mathfrak{so}(3)$

$W_1 \oplus W_3$: torsion $T = \alpha e_{135} + \alpha' e_{246} + \beta (e_{245} + e_{236} + e_{146})$.

$W_3 \oplus W_4$: torsion $T = (e_{12} - e_{34}) \wedge (\sigma e_5 + \nu e_6) + \tau (e_{12} - e_{34}) \wedge e_5$.

Case A: $\sigma_T = 0$

This covers, for example, torsions of form $\mu e_{123} + \nu e_{456}$. This is basically all by our 2nd splitting thm:

Thm. A c. s. c. Riemannian 6-mnfld with parallel skew torsion T s. t. $\sigma_T = 0$ and $\ker T = 0$ splits into two 3-dimensional manifolds with parallel skew torsion,

$$(M^6, g, T) = (N_1^3, g_1, T_1) \times (N_2^3, g_2, T_2)$$

Cor. Any 6-dim. nat. red. homog. space with $\sigma_T = 0$ and $\ker T = 0$ is locally isometric to a product of two 3-dimensional nat. red. homog. spaces.

The case $n = 6$ II

Case B: $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 2$

A priori, it is not possible to define an almost complex structure.

Thm. Let (M^6, g, T) be a 6-mnfd with parallel skew torsion s. t. $\ker T = 0$, $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 2$. Then $\nabla\mathcal{R} = 0$, i. e. M is nat. red., and there exist constants $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ s. t.

$$T = \alpha(e_{12} + e_{34}) \wedge e_5 + \beta(e_{12} - e_{34}) \wedge e_6$$

$$\mathcal{R} = a(e_{12} + e_{34})^2 + c(e_{12} + e_{34}) \odot (e_{12} - e_{34}) + b(e_{12} - e_{34})^2$$

with the relation $a + b = -(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)$.

Now perform Nomizu construction to conclude:

Thm. A c.s.c. Riemannian 6-mnfd with parallel skew torsion T and $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 2$ is the product $G_1 \times G_2$ of two Lie groups equipped with a family of left invariant metrics. G_1 and G_2 are either $S^3 = \text{SU}(2)$, $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$, or H^3 .

The case $n = 6$ III

Case B: $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 4$

Thm. For the torsion form of a metric connection with **parallel** skew torsion ($\ker T = 0$), the case $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 4$ **cannot** occur.

[but: such forms exist if $\nabla T \neq 0$! – these results explain why a classification is possible without knowing the orbit class. of $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^6)$ under $\text{SO}(6)$]

The case $n = 6$ IV

Case C: $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 6$

Thm. Such a 6-mnfd with parallel skew torsion admits an almost complex structure J of Gray-Hervella class $W_1 \oplus W_3$.

All three eigenvalues of $*\sigma_T$ are equal, hence $*\sigma_T$ is proportional to Ω , the fundamental form of J . It's either nearly Kähler (W_1), or it is naturally reductive and $\mathfrak{hol}^\nabla = \mathfrak{so}(3)$.

Why no W_4 part? if $\sigma_T = *\Omega$, then $d\sigma_T = d*\Omega$; but $d\sigma_T = (ddT)/2 = 0$, hence $\delta\Omega = 0$.

N.B. If class W_1 (M^6 nearly Kähler mnfd): the only homogeneous ones are $S^6, S^3 \times S^3, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3, F(1, 2)$. [Butruille, 2005]

It is not known whether there exist non-homogeneous nearly Kähler mnfds.

Again, we have an explicit formula for torsion and curvature, then perform the Nomizu construction (. . . and survive).

Example: $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ viewed as a 6-dimensional real mnfd

- Write $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus i \mathfrak{su}(2)$;

Killing form $\beta(X, Y)$ is neg. def. on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, pos. def. on $i \mathfrak{su}(2)$

- $M^6 = G/H = SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SU(2)/SU(2)$ with $H = SU(2)$ embedded diag (recall that $\mathfrak{hol}^\nabla = \mathfrak{so}(3)$; want that isotropy rep. = holonomy rep.)

- \mathfrak{m}_α red. compl. of \mathfrak{h} inside $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ depending on $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{1\}$,

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{(B, B) : B \in \mathfrak{su}(2)\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_\alpha := \{(A + \alpha B, B) : A \in i \mathfrak{su}(2), B \in \mathfrak{su}(2)\}.$$

- Riemannian metric:

$$g_\lambda((A_1 + \alpha B_1, B_1), (A_2 + \alpha B_2, B_2)) := \beta(A_1, A_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \beta(B_1, B_2), \quad \lambda > 0$$

- In suitable ONB: almost hermitian str.: $\Omega := x_{12} + x_{34} + x_{56}$ with torsion

$$T = N + d\Omega \circ J = \left[2\lambda(1 - \alpha) + \frac{4}{\lambda(1 - \alpha)} \right] x_{135} + \frac{2}{\lambda(1 - \alpha)} [x_{146} + x_{236} + x_{245}].$$

- Curvature: has to be a map $\mathcal{R} : \Lambda^2(M^6) \rightarrow \mathfrak{hol}^\nabla \subset \mathfrak{so}(6)$, here: mainly projection on $\mathfrak{hol}^\nabla = \mathfrak{so}(3)$.

- $\nabla T = \nabla \mathcal{R} = 0$, i. e. naturally reductive for all α, λ ; type $W_1 \oplus W_3$ or W_3

The case $n = 6$ V

Final result of Nomizu construction:

Thm. A c. s. c. Riemannian 6-mnfd with parallel skew torsion T , $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 6$ and $\ker T = 0$ that is *not* isometric to a nearly Kähler manifold is one of the following Lie groups with a suitable family of left-invariant metrics:

- The nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with commutator $[(v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2)] = (0, v_1 \times v_2)$,
- the direct or the semidirect product of S^3 with \mathbb{R}^3 ,
- the product $S^3 \times S^3$,
- the Lie group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ viewed as a 6-dimensional real mnfd.

- prove that manifold is indeed a Lie group,

- identify its abstract Lie algebra by degeneracy / EV of its Killing form,

- find 3-dim. subalgebra defining a 3-dim. quotient and prove that the 6-dim. Lie alg. is its isometry algebra;

for example, $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ appears because it's the isometry group of hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3

Literature

I. Agricola, A. C. Ferreira, Th. Friedrich, Classification of naturally reductive homogeneous spaces in dimensions $n \leq 6$, preprint

B. Kostant, A cubic Dirac operator and the emergence of Euler number multiplets of representations for equal rank subgroups, *Duke Math. J.* 100 (1999), 447-501.

O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke, Four-dimensional naturally reductive homogeneous spaces, *Differential geometry on homogeneous spaces*, **Conf. Torino/Italy 1983, Rend. Semin. Mat., Torino, Fasc. Spec., 223-232 (1983)**.

O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke, Classification of five-dimensional naturally reductive spaces, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 97 (1985), 445-463.

F. Tricerri, Locally homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, **Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 50 (1993), 411-426, Differential Geometry (Turin, 1992)**.