

## APPENDIX B: PROOFS OF REMARKABLE IDENTITIES

MARIO KASSUBA

In this appendix to Agricola's review article *The Srní lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion*, we compile the proofs of the identities cited in Appendix B as well as of the first Bianchi identity for connections with skew-symmetric and vectorial torsion (Theorem 2.6).

In definition A.1, the 4-form  $\sigma_T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i \lrcorner T) \wedge (e_i \lrcorner T)$  was introduced and said to have the alternative global expression

$$(1) \quad \sigma_T(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(T(X_1, X_2), T(X_3, X_4)) + g(T(X_2, X_3), T(X_1, X_4)) \\ + g(T(X_3, X_1), T(X_2, X_4)).$$

Indeed, if  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  and  $X_4$  are vector fields, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_T(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \cdot (e_i \lrcorner T)(X_{\sigma(1)}, X_{\sigma(2)}) (e_i \lrcorner T)(X_{\sigma(3)}, X_{\sigma(4)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \cdot g(T(e_i, X_{\sigma(1)}), X_{\sigma(2)}) g(T(e_i, X_{\sigma(3)}), X_{\sigma(4)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \cdot g(T(X_{\sigma(1)}, X_{\sigma(2)}), e_i) g(T(X_{\sigma(3)}, X_{\sigma(4)}), e_i), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity holds because of the skew-symmetry of  $T$ . Now, we observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g(T(X_{\sigma(1)}, X_{\sigma(2)}), e_i) g(T(X_{\sigma(3)}, X_{\sigma(4)}), e_i) = g(T(X_{\sigma(1)}, X_{\sigma(2)}), T(X_{\sigma(3)}, X_{\sigma(4)}))$$

and the claim follows with an easy combinatorial argument. As a consequence, we are able to prove Corollary A.1.

*Proof of Corollary A.1.* We want to check this identity in every point  $x \in M$ . Therefore, let  $X, Y, Z, V \in T_x M$  be four tangent vectors in  $x$ . We extend  $X, Y, Z$  and  $V$  to local vector fields by parallel transport along geodesics (with respect to  $\nabla$ ). Without using a new notation for these local vector fields, the torsion form  $T$  is given by  $[X, Y] = -T(X, Y)$ . In particular, the global expression (1) for  $\sigma_T$  is reduced to

$$\sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V) = g([X, Y], [Z, V]) + g([Y, Z], [X, V]) + g([Z, X], [Y, V]).$$

Now, we define the 4-form  $\Omega$  to be the right hand side of the equation we want to prove,

$$\Omega(X, Y, Z, V) := \mathfrak{S}^{X, Y, Z} (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z, V) - (\nabla_V T)(X, Y, Z) + 2\sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V).$$

Writing out the cyclic sum explicitly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(X, Y, Z, V) &= X(T(Y, Z, V)) - Y(T(X, Z, V)) + Z(T(X, Y, V)) - V(T(X, Y, Z)) \\ &\quad + 2\sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V). \end{aligned}$$

Together with the previous expression for  $\sigma_T$ , this yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(X, Y, Z, V) &= X(T(Y, Z, V)) - Y(T(X, Z, V)) + Z(T(X, Y, V)) - V(T(X, Y, Z)) \\ &\quad + 2g([X, Y], [Z, V]) + 2g([Y, Z], [X, V]) + 2g([Z, X], [Y, V]).\end{aligned}$$

This is easily seen to be the exterior derivative  $dT$ , since by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}dT(X, Y, Z, V) &= X(T(Y, Z, V)) - Y(T(X, Z, V)) + Z(T(X, Y, V)) - V(T(X, Y, Z)) \\ &\quad - T([X, Y], Z, V) + T([X, Z], Y, V) - T([X, V], Y, Z) \\ &\quad - T([Y, Z], X, V) + T([Y, V], X, Z) - T([Z, V], X, Y) \\ &= X(T(Y, Z, V)) - Y(T(X, Z, V)) + Z(T(X, Y, V)) - V(T(X, Y, Z)) \\ &\quad + 2g([X, Y], [Z, V]) + 2g([Y, Z], [X, V]) + 2g([Z, X], [Y, V]). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

We turn to the proof of the relation between the curvature tensor of a metric connection with skew-symmetric torsion and the Riemannian curvature tensor in the induced identity between Ricci tensors.

*Proof of Theorem A.1.* We first discuss curvature tensors. Let  $x \in M$  be a fixed point and  $X, Y, Z, V \in T_x M$  four tangent vectors that we extend to local vector fields by parallel transport along  $\nabla$ -geodesics. Then we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X^g Y, Z) - \frac{1}{2}T(X, Y, Z) = -\frac{1}{2}T(X, Y, Z)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}^g(X, Y, Z, V) = g(\mathcal{R}^g(X, Y)Z, V) = g(\nabla_X^g \nabla_Y^g Z - \nabla_Y^g \nabla_X^g Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^g Z, V) =: A + B + C.$$

We compute the terms  $A, B$  and  $C$  separately. First we have

$$A := g(\nabla_X^g \nabla_Y^g Z, V) = g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y^g Z, V) - \frac{1}{2}T(X, \nabla_Y^g Z, V).$$

Since  $\nabla$  is a metric connection, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}A &= X(g(\nabla_Y^g Z, V)) - g(\nabla_Y^g Z, \nabla_X V) + \frac{1}{2}g(T(X, V), \nabla_Y^g Z) \\ &= X(g(\nabla_Y Z, V)) - \frac{1}{2}X(T(Y, Z, V)) + \frac{1}{2}g(\nabla_Y Z, T(X, V)) - \frac{1}{4}T(Y, Z, T(X, V)) \\ &= g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z, V) - \frac{1}{2}X(g(T(Y, Z), V)) - \frac{1}{4}T(Y, Z, T(X, V)).\end{aligned}$$

$B$  is obtained from  $A$  by interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$ . Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}C &:= -g(\nabla_{[X, Y]}^g Z, V) = -g(\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, V) + \frac{1}{2}T([X, Y], Z, V) \\ &= -g(\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, V) + \frac{1}{2}g(T(Z, V), [X, Y]).\end{aligned}$$

Summing up  $A, B$  and  $C$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A + B + C &= g(\mathcal{R}^\nabla(X, Y)Z, V) - \frac{1}{2}X(T(Y, Z, V)) + \frac{1}{2}Y(T(X, Z, V)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}g(T(Y, Z), T(X, V)) - \frac{1}{4}g(T(Z, X), T(Y, V)) + \frac{1}{2}g(T(Z, V), [X, Y]) \\ &= \mathcal{R}^\nabla(X, Y, Z, V) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X T)(Y, Z, V) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Y T)(X, Z, V) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\sigma^T(X, Y, Z, V) + \frac{1}{4}g(T(X, Y), T(Z, V)) + \frac{1}{2}g(T(Z, V), [X, Y]).\end{aligned}$$

By  $[X, Y] = -T(X, Y)$ , the claim follows. Contracting the respective curvature tensors yields then the relation between Ricci-tensors  $\text{Ric}^g(X, Y) := \sum_i \mathcal{R}^g(e_i, X, Y, e_i)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}^g(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{R}^\nabla(e_i, X, Y, e_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} T)(X, Y, e_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{(\nabla_X T)(e_i, Y, e_i)}_{=0} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} g(T(e_i, X), T(Y, e_i)) \\ &= \text{Ric}^\nabla(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i \lrcorner \nabla_{e_i} T)(X, Y) - \frac{1}{4} g(T(e_i, X), T(Y, e_i)) \\ &= \text{Ric}^\nabla(X, Y) + (\delta^\nabla T)(X, Y) - \frac{1}{4} g(T(e_i, X), T(Y, e_i)). \end{aligned}$$

But on  $T$  the codifferentials  $\delta^g$  and  $\delta^\nabla$  coincide, i. e.

$$\text{Ric}^g(X, Y) = \text{Ric}^\nabla(X, Y) + (\delta^g T)(X, Y) - \frac{1}{4} g(T(e_i, X), T(Y, e_i)). \quad \square$$

We finally prove the first Bianchi identity for vectorial torsion (1) and for skew-symmetric torsion (2).

*Proof of Theorem 2.6* (1). The problem is reduced to the first Bianchi identity for the Levi-Civita connection. We pick again three tangent vectors  $X, Y, Z \in T_x M$  and extend them locally by parallel transport along  $\nabla^g$ -geodesics. Recall that the torsion of a metric connection  $\nabla$  with vectorial torsion is given by  $T(X, Y) = g(X, Y)V - g(V, Y)X$ , where  $V$  is the generating vector field. In order to express the curvature of  $\nabla$  by the curvature of  $\nabla^g$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z &= \\ &= \nabla_X (\nabla_Y^g Z + g(Y, Z)V - g(V, Z)Y) - \nabla_Y (\nabla_X^g Z + g(X, Z)V - g(V, Z)X) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^g Z - g([X, Y], Z)V + g(V, Z)[X, Y] \\ &= \nabla_X^g \nabla_Y^g Z + [g(\nabla_X^g Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X^g Z)] V - [g(\nabla_X^g V, Z) + g(V, \nabla_X^g Z)] Y \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z) \nabla_X^g V - g(V, Z) \nabla_X^g Y + [g(X, \nabla_Y^g Z) + g(Y, Z)g(X, V) \\ &\quad - g(V, Z)g(X, Y)] V - [g(V, \nabla_Y^g Z) + g(V, V)g(Y, Z) - g(V, Y)g(V, Z)] X \\ &= \nabla_X^g \nabla_Y^g Z + g(Y, Z) \nabla_X^g V - g(\nabla_X^g V, Z) Y + [g(Y, Z)g(X, V) - g(V, Z)g(X, Y)] V \\ &\quad - [g(V, V)g(Y, Z) - g(V, Y)g(V, Z)] X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z &= \\ &= \mathcal{R}^g(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z) \nabla_X^g V - g(\nabla_X^g V, Z) Y + (g(Y, Z)g(X, V) - g(V, Z)g(X, Y)) V \\ &\quad - [g(V, V)g(Y, Z) - g(V, Y)g(V, Z)] X - g(X, Z) \nabla_Y^g V + g(\nabla_Y^g V, Z) X \\ &\quad - [g(X, Z)g(Y, V) - g(V, Z)g(Y, X)] V + [g(V, V)g(X, Z) - g(V, X)g(V, Z)] Y. \end{aligned}$$

Cyclic permutation of  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  yields similar expressions for  $\mathcal{R}(Y, Z)X$  and  $\mathcal{R}(Z, X)Y$ . Together with the first Bianchi identity for the Levi-Civita connection (and observing that most of the terms above cancel out), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}^{X, Y, Z} \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z &= g(\nabla_X^g V, Y)Z - g(\nabla_Y^g V, X)Z + g(\nabla_Y^g V, Z)X - g(\nabla_Z^g V, Y)X \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_Z^g V, X)Y - g(\nabla_X^g V, Z)Y. \end{aligned}$$

But the exterior derivative of  $V$  can be expressed by  $\nabla^g$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} dV(X, Y) &= X(V(Y)) - Y(V(X)) - V([X, Y]) \\ &= \langle \nabla_X^g V, Y \rangle + \langle V, \nabla_X^g Y \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y^g V, X \rangle - \langle V, \nabla_Y^g X \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_X^g V, Y \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y^g V, X \rangle + \langle V, [X, Y] \rangle = \langle \nabla_X^g V, Y \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y^g V, X \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.6 (2).* First we set  $K := \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \mathcal{R}^\nabla(X, Y, Z, V)$ . Using Theorem A.1, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \mathcal{R}^g(X, Y, Z, V) + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z, V) - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_Y T)(X, Z, V) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} g(T(X, Y), T(Z, V)) + \frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V). \end{aligned}$$

The first Bianchi identity for the Levi-Civita connection  $\mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \mathcal{R}^g(X, Y, Z, V) = 0$  together with Corollary A.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} K &= dT(X, Y, Z, V) - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z, V) + (\nabla_V T)(X, Y, Z) - 2\sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_Y T)(X, Z, V) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} g(T(X, Y), T(Z, V))}_{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_T(X,Y,Z,V)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} \sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V)}_{3\sigma_T(X,Y,Z,V)} \\ &= dT(X, Y, Z, V) + (\nabla_V T)(X, Y, Z) - \sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z, V) + \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_Y T)(X, Z, V) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we set

$$S_1 := \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z, V), \quad S_2 := \mathfrak{S}^{X,Y,Z} (\nabla_Y T)(X, Z, V)$$

and show that  $S_1 + S_2 = 0$ . Taking the covariant derivative of  $T$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y T)(X, Z, V) &= Y(T(X, Z, V)) - T(\nabla_Y X, Z, V) - T(X, \nabla_Y Z, V) - T(X, Z, \nabla_Y V) \\ &= g(\nabla_Y T(X, Z), V) + g(T(X, Z), \nabla_Y V) = g(\nabla_Y T(X, Z), V). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$S_1 = g(\nabla_Y T(X, Z), V) + g(\nabla_Z T(Y, X), V) + g(\nabla_X T(Z, Y), V).$$

Similarly,  $S_2 := (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z, V) + (\nabla_Y T)(Z, X, V) + (\nabla_Z T)(X, Y, V)$  can be expressed as

$$S_2 = g(\nabla_X T(Y, Z), V) + g(\nabla_Y T(Z, X), V) + g(\nabla_Z T(X, Y), V).$$

The skew-symmetry of  $T$  then implies  $S_1 = -S_2$ .  $\square$