

New results and open problems about Bergman kernel asymptotics

George Marinescu & Nikhil Savale

Universität zu Köln

August 28, 2018

New trends and open problems in Geometry and Global Analysis, Castle
Rauischholzhausen Marburg

Motivation

- Tian-Yau-Donaldson program
- Berezin-Toeplitz quantization
- Arithmetic geometry (asymptotics of the analytic torsion)
- Quantization of Chern-Simons theory
- Random Kähler geometry, quantum chaos
- Quantum Hall effect

Bergman Projection

- (X, Θ) Hermitian manifold, $\dim X = n$
- volume form $dv_X = \Theta^n/n!$
- $(L, h) \rightarrow X$ holomorphic Hermitian line bundle
- $L^2(X, L) =$ space of L^2 sections
- $(s, s') = \int_X \langle s(x), s'(x) \rangle_h dv_X(x)$, $s, s' \in L^2(X, L)$.
- $H_{(2)}^0(X, L) =$ space of L^2 holomorphic sections
- $P : L^2(X, L) \rightarrow H_{(2)}^0(X, L)$ **Bergman projection**

Bergman Kernel

- $\{s_j : j = 1, \dots, d_p\}$ ONB of $H_{(2)}^0(X, L)$.

- $P(\cdot, \cdot) : X \times X \rightarrow L \boxtimes L^*$

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_p} s_j(x) \otimes s_j(y)^* \text{ Bergman kernel}$$

- $P(x, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_p} |s_j(x)|_h^2 \text{ Bergman density function}$

- $(Ps)(x) = \int_X P(x, y)s(y)dv_X(y), s \in L^2(X, L)$

- Bergman kernel does not depend on the choice of ONB

Example 1

- $X = \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$, (L, h) trivial
- $H_{(2)}^0(X, L) = L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$
- ONB: $\sqrt{\frac{j+1}{\pi}} z^j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots \rightsquigarrow$ Bergman kernel

$$P(z, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1) z^j \bar{w}^j = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^2}$$

Goal

- We are actually interested in a semiclassical limit!
- $L^p = L^{\otimes p}$
- P_p the Bergman projection on $H_{(2)}^0(X, L^p)$
- Asymptotics of $P_p(x, y)$ and $P_p(x, x)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$

Example 2

- $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, Fubini-Study metric:

$$\omega_{FS} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(|z_0|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2)$$

- $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $h_{FS} = (|z_0|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2)^{-1}$
- $H_{(2)}^0(X, L^p) = H^0(X, L^p) =$ space of homogeneous polynomials in $n+1$ variables of degree p

- Basis $s_\alpha \sim z^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$, $|\alpha| = p$, $\|s_\alpha\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{\alpha!}{(n+p)!}$

- $$P_p(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=p} \frac{(n+p)!}{\alpha!} s_\alpha(x) \otimes s_\alpha(y)^*$$

- $$P_p(x, x) = \frac{(n+p)!}{p!} = p^n + b_{n-1}p^{n-1} + \dots + b_n$$

Curvature

- Hermitian holomorphic line bundle $(L, h) \rightarrow X$
- **Curvature form** $c_1(L, h) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} (\nabla^L)^2$, (∇^L Chern connection)
- local holomorphic frame s of L on $U \subset X \rightsquigarrow$

$$|s(x)|_h^2 = e^{-2\varphi(x)}, \quad x \in U$$

where $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, called **local weight**

- $c_1(L, h)|_U = dd^c \varphi = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$
- (L, h) **positive** $\Leftrightarrow c_1(L, h)$ positive $\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right)$ positive definite
- (L, h) **semipositive** $\Leftrightarrow c_1(L, h)$ semipositive $\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right)$ positive semidefinite

Asymptotic expansion

Theorem (Catlin 1998, Zelditch 1999)

(X, ω) compact Kähler, $(L, h) \rightarrow X$, with $c_1(L, h) = \omega$. Then

$$P_p(x, x) = b_0(x)p^n + b_1(x)p^{n-1} + \dots = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x)p^{n-j}, p \rightarrow \infty$$

where $b_0 = 1$.

- Tian (1990): $P_p(x, x) = b_0(x)p^n + O(p^{n-1})$
- $b_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi}r(\omega)$, $r(\omega) =$ scalar curvature of ω (Z. Lu)
- $\pi^2 b_2 = -\frac{\Delta r(\omega)}{48} + \frac{1}{96}|R^{TX}|^2 - \frac{1}{24}|\text{ric}_\omega|^2 + \frac{1}{128}r(\omega)^2$

(Z. Lu, X. Wang)

Compatibility with Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch

$$\int_X P_p(x, x) dv_X = \int_X \sum_j |S_j|_h^2 dv_X = \dim H^0(X, L^p)$$

$$\int_X P_p(x, x) dv_X = \int_X (b_0(x)p^n + b_1(x)p^{n-1} + b_2(x)p^{n-2} + O(p^{n-3})) dv_X$$

$$= p^n \int_X \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + p^{n-1} \int_X \frac{r(\omega) \omega^n}{8\pi} \frac{1}{n!} + p^{n-2} \int_X b_2(x) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + O(p^{n-3})$$

$$= p^n \int_X \frac{c_1(L)^n}{n!} + p^{n-1} \int_X \frac{c_1(X)}{2} \frac{c_1(L)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} +$$

$$+ p^{n-2} \int_X \{ \text{Td}(T^{(1,0)} X) \}^{(4)} \frac{c_1(L)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}$$

$$+ O(p^{n-3})$$

Asymptotic expansion variation

Theorem

(X, Θ) compact Hermitian, $(L, h) \rightarrow X$ positive, $\omega = c_1(L, h)$. Then

$$P_p(x, x) = b_0(x)p^n + b_1(x)p^{n-1} + \dots = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x)p^{n-j}, p \rightarrow \infty$$

where $b_0 = c_1(L, h)^n / \Theta^n$ and

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi} b_0 \left[r(\omega) - 2\Delta_\omega \log(\det b_0) \right]$$

$\alpha_1(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x)$ eigenvalues of $c_1(L, h)$ w.r.t. $\Theta \rightsquigarrow$

$$b_0(x) = \alpha_1(x) \dots \alpha_n(x)$$

Proof (local index theorem: Dai/Liu/Ma, Ma/-)

- **Localization** uses **spectral gap** of the Kodaira Laplacian
 $\square_p = \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} : \Omega^{0,0}(X, L^p) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,0}(X, L^p)$

- $\text{Spec}(\square_p) \subset \{0\} \cup [C_0 p - C_1, \infty)$, $C_0 = \inf_{x \in X} \alpha_1(x)$

- $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $F = \hat{f} \rightsquigarrow$

$$|F(\square_p)(x, y) - P_p(x, y)|_{C^l} = O(p^{-\infty})$$

- $F(\square_p)(x, y)$ depends only on geometric data on $B(x, \varepsilon)$
- $\rightsquigarrow P_p(x, y)$ depends only on local data
- Work on $B^{TX}(0, \varepsilon) \equiv B(x, \varepsilon)$ with a **local model Laplacian**
- **Rescale coordinates** and develop the rescaled operator in Taylor series

Interpretation of the first term b_0

- $P_p(x, x) = b_0(x)p^n + O(p^{n-1}) \rightsquigarrow$ holomorphic sections are spread everywhere over X ($b_0(x) \neq 0$).
- They concentrate where the curvature is strong
- $P_p(x, y)$ localizes near each fixed point x and equals approximately a “peak section” which is close to a Gaussian $p^n \exp(-|x - y|^2 / \sqrt{p})$.
- To prove this kind of localization is a key point.
- Can put peak sections near every point and they decay quickly enough that they nearly don't overlap each other.
- Heuristically then, in the limit we can find an L^2 -orthonormal basis of sections parametrized by the points of X , each point x corresponding to a section localized entirely at x .

Interpretation of the second term b_1

- $P_p(x, x) = b_0(x)p^n + b_1(x)p^{n-1} + O(p^{n-2})$
- $b_1(x)$ is essentially the scalar curvature of the metric $\omega = c_1(L, h)$
- Scalar curvature measures the difference in volume of a small geodesic ball compared with the volume of a Euclidean ball of the same radius.
- So the scalar curvature tells us how closely we can push together the peaked sections making up our L^2 -orthonormal basis from above.

Tian's approximation

Theorem

$(L, h) \rightarrow X$ positive, Kodaira map:

$\Phi_p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^p)^*)$, $x \mapsto \{S \in H^0(X, L^p) : S(x) = 0\}$ Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} \Phi_p^*(\omega_{FS}) - c_1(L, h) \right|_{C^\ell} \leq \frac{C_\ell}{p}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \Phi_p^*(\omega_{FS}) &= c_1(L, h) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi p} \partial \bar{\partial} \log P_p(x, x) \\ &= c_1(L, h) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi p} \partial \bar{\partial} \log p^n (b_0(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)) \end{aligned}$$



Generalizations

- Semipositive bundles (asymptotics on the positive part)
- Noncompact manifolds (asymptotics on compact sets)
- Orbifolds
- Symplectic manifolds
- Singular metrics

Open questions

- Semipositive bundles (asymptotics near degenerate points)
- Noncompact manifolds (uniform asymptotics near infinity)
- Complex spaces (asymptotics near singularities)
- Manifolds with boundary (asymptotics near the boundary)
- Partial Bergman kernels

Sub-Riemannian (sR) geometry

Sub-Riemannian (sR) geometry is the study of metric distributions $(Y, E \subset TY, g^E)$ inside the tangent space.

Subbundle E is assumed to be *bracket-generating*.

Peculiar phenomena (Hausdorff dimension & abnormal geodesics..) arise.

References:

- R. Montgomery, *A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications*. 2002.
- M. Gromov, *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, 1996, (in Bellaïche & Risler, *Sub-Riemannian geometry*)

Bracket-generating distributions

$E \subset TY$ bracket generating: $C^\infty(E)$ generates $C^\infty(TY)$ under Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Examples:

1. Contact case: $E^{2m} = \ker \alpha \subset TY^{2m+1}$; $\text{rank } d\alpha|_E = 2m$.

Normal form (Darboux): $\alpha = dy_3 - y_2 dy_1$; $E = \mathbb{R}[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3}]$

Generation (1 step): $[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3}] = \partial_{y_3}$

Bracket-generating distributions

$E \subset TY$ bracket generating: $C^\infty(E)$ generates $C^\infty(TY)$ under Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Examples:

1. Contact case: $E^{2m} = \ker \alpha \subset TY^{2m+1}$; $\text{rank } d\alpha|_E = 2m$.

Normal form (Darboux): $\alpha = dy_3 - y_2 dy_1$; $E = \mathbb{R}[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3}]$

Generation (1 step): $[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3}] = \partial_{y_3}$

2. Martinet case: $E^2 = \ker \alpha \subset TY^3$, $\underbrace{Z^2}_{\text{union of hypersurfaces}} = \{\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0\} \subset Y$

with $TY \pitchfork E$.

Normal form: $\alpha = dy_3 - y_2^2 dy_1$; $E = \mathbb{R}[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2^2 \partial_{y_3}]$

Generation (2 step): $[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2^2 \partial_{y_3}] = 2y_2 \partial_{y_3}$, $[\partial_{y_2}, [\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2^2 \partial_{y_3}]] = 2\partial_{y_3}$

Bracket-generating distributions

$E \subset TY$ bracket generating: $C^\infty(E)$ generates $C^\infty(TY)$ under Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Examples:

1. Contact case: $E^{2m} = \ker \alpha \subset TY^{2m+1}$; $\text{rank } d\alpha|_E = 2m$.

Normal form (Darboux): $\alpha = dy_3 - y_2 dy_1$; $E = \mathbb{R}[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3}]$

Generation (1 step): $[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3}] = \partial_{y_3}$

2. Martinet case: $E^2 = \ker \alpha \subset TY^3$, $\underbrace{Z^2}_{\text{union of hypersurfaces}} = \{\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0\} \subset Y$

with $TY \pitchfork E$.

Normal form: $\alpha = dy_3 - y_2^2 dy_1$; $E = \mathbb{R}[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2^2 \partial_{y_3}]$

Generation (2 step): $[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2^2 \partial_{y_3}] = 2y_2 \partial_{y_3}$, $[\partial_{y_2}, [\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2^2 \partial_{y_3}]] = 2\partial_{y_3}$

3. Goursat case: $E^2 \subset TY^n$ (... some general definition ...)

Normal form (eg.): $E = \mathbb{R}[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3} + \dots + y_{n-1} \partial_{y_n}]$

Generation (n-2 step): $[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3} + \dots + y_{n-1} \partial_{y_n}] = \partial_{y_3}$,

$[[\partial_{y_2}, \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3} + \dots + y_{n-1} \partial_{y_n}], \partial_{y_1} + y_2 \partial_{y_3} + \dots + y_{n-1} \partial_{y_n}] = \partial_{y_4} \dots$

Flag, metric & dimension

In general defines canonical flag:

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset \underbrace{E_1}_{=E} \subset \dots \subset E_{r(y)} = TY$$

by $E_{j+1} = [E, E_j]$, $j \geq 1$.

Step = $r(y)$, Growth vector = $k^E(y) = (\dim E_0, \dim E_1, \dots, \dim E_{r(y)})$.

Flag, metric & dimension

In general defines canonical flag:

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset \underbrace{E_1}_{=E} \subset \dots \subset E_{r(y)} = TY$$

by $E_{j+1} = [E, E_j]$, $j \geq 1$.

Step = $r(y)$, Growth vector = $k^E(y) = (\dim E_0, \dim E_1, \dots, \dim E_{r(y)})$.

E called equiregular if $k^E(y)$ is constant.

Flag, metric & dimension

In general defines canonical flag:

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset \underbrace{E_1}_{=E} \subset \dots \subset E_{r(y)} = TY$$

by $E_{j+1} = [E, E_j]$, $j \geq 1$.

Step = $r(y)$, Growth vector = $k^E(y) = (\dim E_0, \dim E_1, \dots, \dim E_{r(y)})$.

E called equiregular if $k^E(y)$ is constant.

(Chow-Rashevsky '37) E bracket-generating \implies any two $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ connected by horizontal path $\gamma \in C^{0,1}([0, 1]_t; Y)$, $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in E_{\gamma(t)}$ a.e.

(Y, d^E) is a metric space with $d^E = \inf_{\gamma \text{ horizontal}} \int_0^1 dt |\dot{\gamma}(t)|$.

Flag, metric & dimension

In general defines canonical flag:

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset \underbrace{E_1}_{=E} \subset \dots \subset E_{r(y)} = TY$$

by $E_{j+1} = [E, E_j]$, $j \geq 1$.

Step = $r(y)$, Growth vector = $k^E(y) = (\dim E_0, \dim E_1, \dots, \dim E_{r(y)})$.

E called equiregular if $k^E(y)$ is constant.

(Chow-Rashevsky '37) E bracket-generating \implies any two $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ connected by horizontal path $\gamma \in C^{0,1}([0, 1]_t; Y)$, $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in E_{\gamma(t)}$ a.e.

(Y, d^E) is a metric space with $d^E = \inf_{\gamma \text{ horizontal}} \int_0^1 dt |\dot{\gamma}(t)|$.

(Ball-Box Thm)

$$\underbrace{Q(y)}_{\text{Hausdorff dimension}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \text{vol} B_\varepsilon(y)}{\ln \varepsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{r(x)} j [k_j(y) - k_{j-1}(y)] > n$$

Hausdorff dimension

Geodesics

A geodesic connecting two points y_1, y_2 is a distance minimizer

Geodesics

A geodesic connecting two points y_1, y_2 is a distance minimizer

(Bismut '84) (Y, d^E) metric complete \implies any two $y_1, y_2 \in X$ connected by geodesic
 $\gamma \in C^{0,1}([0, 1]_t; Y)$

Geodesics

A geodesic connecting two points y_1, y_2 is a distance minimizer

(Bismut '84) (Y, d^E) metric complete \implies any two $y_1, y_2 \in X$ connected by geodesic $\gamma \in C^{0,1}([0, 1]_t; Y)$

Hamiltonian trajectories $H(y, \xi) := \left\| \xi|_{E_y} \right\|^2$ project to minimizers (always horizontal).

Geodesics

A geodesic connecting two points y_1, y_2 is a distance minimizer

(Bismut '84) (Y, d^E) metric complete \implies any two $y_1, y_2 \in X$ connected by geodesic $\gamma \in C^{0,1}([0, 1]_t; Y)$

Hamiltonian trajectories $H(y, \xi) := \left\| \xi|_{E_y} \right\|^2$ project to minimizers (always horizontal).

Not all minimizers obtained this way!

Abnormal geodesics

R. Montgomery '94 found an *abnormal* minimizer (not a Hamiltonian projection).

Abnormal geodesics

R. Montgomery '94 found an *abnormal* minimizer (not a Hamiltonian projection).

Example. $Y = \mathbb{R}^3$, $E = \ker [dy_3 - y_2^2 dy_1]$, has vanishing hypersurface
 $Z = \{\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0\} = \{y_2 = 0\}$.

Consider $\gamma(t) = (t, 0, 0)$ along y_1 -axis.

Minimizes regardless of metric (i.e. topological minimizer)!

C^1 -isolated among horizontal curves.

Abnormal geodesics

R. Montgomery '94 found an *abnormal* minimizer (not a Hamiltonian projection).

Example. $Y = \mathbb{R}^3$, $E = \ker [dy_3 - y_2^2 dy_1]$, has vanishing hypersurface
 $Z = \{\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0\} = \{y_2 = 0\}$.

Consider $\gamma(t) = (t, 0, 0)$ along y_1 -axis.

Minimizes regardless of metric (i.e. topological minimizer)!

C^1 -isolated among horizontal curves.

Lack understanding of abnormal in general

Open question: Are abnormal minimizers smooth?

Abnormal geodesics

R. Montgomery '94 found an *abnormal* minimizer (not a Hamiltonian projection).

Example. $Y = \mathbb{R}^3$, $E = \ker [dy_3 - y_2^2 dy_1]$, has vanishing hypersurface
 $Z = \{\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0\} = \{y_2 = 0\}$.

Consider $\gamma(t) = (t, 0, 0)$ along y_1 -axis.

Minimizes regardless of metric (i.e. topological minimizer)!

C^1 -isolated among horizontal curves.

Lack understanding of abnormal in general

Open question: Are abnormal minimizers smooth?

Well understood in cases:

- Contact case: none.
- Martinet case: Integral curves of $\ker \alpha|_Z =: L^E \rightarrow Z$ (topological)

sR Laplacian

Let $(Y, E \subset TY, g^E)$ sR manifold.

Choose an auxiliary density μ to define

$$\text{sR Laplacian : } \Delta_{g^E, \mu} := \left(\nabla^{g^E} \right)_\mu^* \circ \nabla^{g^E}$$

where $g^E \left(\nabla^{g^E} f, U \right) = U(f)$, $\forall U \in C^\infty(E)$ is sR-gradient.

Changing the density: $\Delta_{g^E, h\mu} = h^{-1/2} \Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} + h^{-1/2} \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} \right)$

Characteristic variety: $\Sigma = \left\{ \sigma \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} \right) = H^E = 0 \right\} = E^\perp$.

sR Laplacian

Let $(Y, E \subset TY, g^E)$ sR manifold.

Choose an auxiliary density μ to define

$$\text{sR Laplacian : } \Delta_{g^E, \mu} := \left(\nabla^{g^E} \right)_\mu^* \circ \nabla^{g^E}$$

where $g^E \left(\nabla^{g^E} f, U \right) = U(f)$, $\forall U \in C^\infty(E)$ is sR-gradient.

Changing the density: $\Delta_{g^E, h\mu} = h^{-1/2} \Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} + h^{-1/2} \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} \right)$

Characteristic variety: $\Sigma = \left\{ \sigma \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} \right) = H^E = 0 \right\} = E^\perp$.

(Hormander '67) E bracket generating $\implies \Delta_{g^E, \mu}$ is hypoelliptic

(Rothschild & Stein '76) $\|f\|_{H^{1/r}}^2 \lesssim \left\langle \Delta_{g^E, \mu} f, f \right\rangle + \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ where $r = \max_{x \in X} r(x)$.

sR Laplacian

Let $(Y, E \subset TY, g^E)$ sR manifold.

Choose an auxiliary density μ to define

$$\text{sR Laplacian : } \Delta_{g^E, \mu} := \left(\nabla^{g^E} \right)_\mu^* \circ \nabla^{g^E}$$

where $g^E \left(\nabla^{g^E} f, U \right) = U(f)$, $\forall U \in C^\infty(E)$ is sR-gradient.

Changing the density: $\Delta_{g^E, h\mu} = h^{-1/2} \Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} + h^{-1/2} \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} \right)$

Characteristic variety: $\Sigma = \left\{ \sigma \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} \right) = H^E = 0 \right\} = E^\perp$.

(Hormander '67) E bracket generating $\implies \Delta_{g^E, \mu}$ is hypoelliptic

(Rothschild & Stein '76) $\|f\|_{H^{1/r}}^2 \lesssim \left\langle \Delta_{g^E, \mu} f, f \right\rangle + \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ where $r = \max_{x \in X} r(x)$.

Discrete spectrum (φ_j, λ_j) ; $\Delta_{g^E, \mu} \varphi_j = \lambda_j \varphi_j$, on a compact manifold.

Spectral asymptotics questions: Weyl law, trace formula, propagation, ergodicity ...
 (mostly open)

sR Laplacian

Let $(Y, E \subset TY, g^E)$ sR manifold.

Choose an auxiliary density μ to define

$$\text{sR Laplacian : } \Delta_{g^E, \mu} := \left(\nabla^{g^E} \right)_\mu^* \circ \nabla^{g^E}$$

where $g^E \left(\nabla^{g^E} f, U \right) = U(f)$, $\forall U \in C^\infty(E)$ is sR-gradient.

Changing the density: $\Delta_{g^E, h\mu} = h^{-1/2} \Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} + h^{-1/2} \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} h^{1/2} \right)$

Characteristic variety: $\Sigma = \left\{ \sigma \left(\Delta_{g^E, \mu} \right) = H^E = 0 \right\} = E^\perp$.

(Hormander '67) E bracket generating $\implies \Delta_{g^E, \mu}$ is hypoelliptic

(Rothschild & Stein '76) $\|f\|_{H^{1/r}}^2 \lesssim \left\langle \Delta_{g^E, \mu} f, f \right\rangle + \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ where $r = \max_{x \in X} r(x)$.

Discrete spectrum (φ_j, λ_j) ; $\Delta_{g^E, \mu} \varphi_j = \lambda_j \varphi_j$, on a compact manifold.

Spectral asymptotics questions: Weyl law, trace formula, propagation, ergodicity ...
 (mostly open)

Do Hausdorff dimension, abnormal geodesics play a role?

sR heat trace

Does the spectrum see the Hausdorff dimension?

sR heat trace

Does the spectrum see the Hausdorff dimension?

Theorem (Ben Arous 1989, Léandre 1992... Barilari 2011, Colin de Verdière-Hillairet-Trélat)

There exist $a_j(y) \in C^\infty(Y)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$e^{-t\Delta_{g^E, \mu}}(y, y) \sim t^{-Q(y)/2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(y) t^j \right].$$

The expansion is in general not uniform in $x \in X$. Does not yield trace asymptotics.

sR heat trace

Does the spectrum see the Hausdorff dimension?

Theorem (Ben Arous 1989, Léandre 1992... Barilari 2011, Colin de Verdiere-Hillairet-Trélat)

There exist $a_j(y) \in C^\infty(Y)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$e^{-t\Delta_{g^E, \mu}}(y, y) \sim t^{-Q(y)/2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(y) t^j \right].$$

The expansion is in general not uniform in $x \in X$. Does not yield trace asymptotics.

Theorem (Métivier 1976, Colin De Verdiere-Hillairet-Trélat)

If E is equiregular

$$N(\lambda) \sim \underbrace{\frac{\lambda^{Q/2}}{\Gamma(Q/2 + 1)} \int_X a_0}_{= \text{vol}\{H^E \leq \lambda\}}.$$

Spectrum and dynamics

Does the spectrum see the abnormal?

Theorem (Melrose 1984)

(X^3, E^2) 3D contact. Then

$$\text{sing spt} \left(\text{tr} e^{it\sqrt{\Delta_{g^{E,\mu}}}} \right) \subset \{0\} \cup \{\text{lengths of (normal) geodesics}\}$$

$$N(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^2 + O(\lambda^{3/2}).$$

Spectrum and dynamics

Does the spectrum see the abnormal?

Theorem (Melrose 1984)

(X^3, E^2) 3D contact. Then

$$\text{sing spt} \left(\text{tr} e^{it\sqrt{\Delta_{g^{E,\mu}}}} \right) \subset \{0\} \cup \{\text{lengths of (normal) geodesics}\}$$
$$N(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^2 + O(\lambda^{3/2}).$$

Theorem (Colin De Verdiere-Hillairet-Trélat 2018)

(X^3, E^2) 3D contact. Suppose Reeb flow is ergodic. Then one has quantum ergodicity (QE): \exists density one subsequence $\{j_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of \mathbb{N} s.t. $|\varphi_{j_k}| \rightarrow \frac{1}{\text{vol}(X)}$.

Spectrum and dynamics

Does the spectrum see the abnormals?

Theorem (Melrose 1984)

(X^3, E^2) 3D contact. Then

$$\text{sing spt} \left(\text{tr} e^{it\sqrt{\Delta_{g^{E,\mu}}}} \right) \subset \{0\} \cup \{\text{lengths of (normal) geodesics}\}$$
$$N(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^2 + O(\lambda^{3/2}).$$

Theorem (Colin De Verdiere-Hillairet-Trélat 2018)

(X^3, E^2) 3D contact. Suppose Reeb flow is ergodic. Then one has quantum ergodicity (QE): \exists density one subsequence $\{j_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of \mathbb{N} s.t. $|\varphi_{j_k}| \rightarrow \frac{1}{\text{vol}(X)}$.

Theorem (S.)

(X^4, E^3) 4D quasi-contact. Suppose any invariant subset of characteristic foliation $L^E \subset TX$ is of zero or full measure. Then one has quantum ergodicity (QE).

Circle bundles

Natural place for sR-structures: $\left(\underbrace{Y^n}_{S^1 L}, \underbrace{E^{n-1}}_{HX \text{ horizontal}}, \underbrace{g^E}_{\pi^* g^{TX}} \right)$ with

$(L, h^L, \nabla^L) \rightarrow (X^{n-1}, g^{TX})$ is a Hermitian line bundle with connection.
 Equivalently consider sR structure invariant by a free and transversal S^1 action.

Circle bundles

Natural place for sR-structures: $\left(\underbrace{Y^n}_{S^1 L}, \underbrace{E^{n-1}}_{HX \text{ horizontal}}, \underbrace{g^E}_{\pi^* g^{TX}} \right)$ with

$(L, h^L, \nabla^L) \rightarrow (X^{n-1}, g^{TX})$ is a Hermitian line bundle with connection.
 Equivalently consider sR structure invariant by a free and transversal S^1 action.

Proposition: $\underbrace{r(y)}_{\text{step of } E} - 2 = \text{ord} \left(R_{\pi(y)}^L \right)$

Circle bundles

Natural place for sR-structures: $\left(\underbrace{Y^n}_{S^1 L}, \underbrace{E^{n-1}}_{HX \text{ horizontal}}, \underbrace{g^E}_{\pi^* g^{TX}} \right)$ with

$(L, h^L, \nabla^L) \rightarrow (X^{n-1}, g^{TX})$ is a Hermitian line bundle with connection.
 Equivalently consider sR structure invariant by a free and transversal S^1 action.

Proposition: $\underbrace{r(y)}_{\text{step of } E} - 2 = \text{ord} \left(R_{\pi(y)}^L \right)$

E bracket generating $\iff R^L$ vanishes to finite order (i.e. $r = \max_{x \in X} r_x < \infty$)

Circle bundles

Natural place for sR-structures: $\left(\underbrace{Y^n}_{S^1 L}, \underbrace{E^{n-1}}_{HX \text{ horizontal}}, \underbrace{g^E}_{\pi^* g^{TX}} \right)$ with

$(L, h^L, \nabla^L) \rightarrow (X^{n-1}, g^{TX})$ is a Hermitian line bundle with connection.
 Equivalently consider sR structure invariant by a free and transversal S^1 action.

Proposition: $\underbrace{r(y)}_{\text{step of } E} - 2 = \text{ord} \left(R_{\pi(y)}^L \right)$

E bracket generating $\iff R^L$ vanishes to finite order (i.e. $r = \max_{x \in X} r_x < \infty$)

Decomposition: $X = \cup_{j=2}^r X_j$; $X_j = \{x | r_x = j\}$

Bochner Laplacian

$$\text{Fourier: } C^\infty(Y) = \bigoplus_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} C^\infty(X, L^p); \quad \underbrace{\Delta_{g^E, \mu_Y}}_{\text{sR Laplacian}} = \bigoplus_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{\Delta_p}_{=(\nabla^{L^p})^* \nabla^{L^p} \text{ Bochner}}$$

Bochner Laplacian

$$\text{Fourier: } C^\infty(Y) = \bigoplus_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} C^\infty(X, L^p); \quad \underbrace{\Delta_{g^E, \mu_Y}}_{\text{sR Laplacian}} = \bigoplus_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{\Delta_p}_{=(\nabla^{L^p})^* \nabla^{L^p} \text{ Bochner}}$$

Theorem (Marinescu-S.)

The first eigenfunction/eigenvalue (ψ_0^p, λ_0^p) of the Bochner Laplacian Δ_p satisfy

$$\lambda_0^p \sim c_0 p^{2/r}$$

$$|\psi_0^p(x)| = O(p^{-\infty}), \quad x \notin X_r.$$

Bochner Laplacian

$$\text{Fourier: } C^\infty(Y) = \bigoplus_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} C^\infty(X, L^p); \quad \underbrace{\Delta_{g^E, \mu_Y}}_{\text{sR Laplacian}} = \bigoplus_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{\Delta_p}_{=(\nabla^{L^p})^* \nabla^{L^p} \text{ Bochner}}$$

Theorem (Marinescu-S.)

The first eigenfunction/eigenvalue (ψ_0^p, λ_0^p) of the Bochner Laplacian Δ_p satisfy

$$\lambda_0^p \sim c_0 p^{2/r}$$

$$|\psi_0^p(x)| = O(p^{-\infty}), \quad x \notin X_r.$$

Theorem (Marinescu-S.)

Assume X_r submanifold with R^L non-degenerate

$$\lambda_0^p \sim p^{2/r} \left[c_0 + c_1 p^{-1/r} + c_2 p^{-2/r} + \dots \right]$$

$$N \left(a p^{2/r}, b p^{2/r} \right) \sim p^{\dim(X_r)} C_{a,b}$$

R. Montgomery '95 ($\dim Y = 2, r = 3$), Helffer-Mohamed '96, Helffer-Kordyukov '09 (Y_r hypersurface of transverse vanishing).

Bergman kernel

If X cpx. and L holomorphic one has Kodaira Laplacian

$$\square_p : \Omega^{0,*}(X; L^p) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,*}(X; L^p).$$

2D Weitzenbock formula:

$$2\square_p = \Delta_p + k \left[R^L(w, \bar{w}) \right], \quad \text{on } \Omega^{0,1}.$$

Bergman kernel

If X cpx. and L holomorphic one has Kodaira Laplacian

$$\square_p : \Omega^{0,*}(X; L^p) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,*}(X; L^p).$$

2D Weitzenbock formula:

$$2\square_p = \Delta_p + k \left[R^L(w, \bar{w}) \right], \quad \text{on } \Omega^{0,1}.$$

Mckean-Singer and hypoelliptic estimate: $\text{Spec}(\square_k) \subset \{0\} \cup [c_1 p^{2/r} - c_2, \infty)$ for semipositive R^L .

Bergman kernel

If X cpx. and L holomorphic one has Kodaira Laplacian

$$\square_p : \Omega^{0,*}(X; L^p) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,*}(X; L^p).$$

2D Weitzenbock formula:

$$2\square_p = \Delta_p + k \left[R^L(w, \bar{w}) \right], \quad \text{on } \Omega^{0,1}.$$

Mckean-Singer and hypoelliptic estimate: $\text{Spec}(\square_k) \subset \{0\} \cup [c_1 p^{2/r} - c_2, \infty)$ for semipositive R^L .

Local index theory technique of Bismut-Lebeau '91, Dai-Liu-Ma '06 gives

Theorem (Marinescu-S.)

For $\dim X = 2$ & R^L semi-positive of finite order

$$P_p(x, x) \sim p^{2/r_x} \left[\sum_{j=0}^N b_j(x) p^{-j/r_x} \right]$$

where $r_x - 2 = \text{ord}(R_x^L)$.

R. Berman 2009 (on positive part away from base locus), Hsiao-Marinescu 2014 (on positive part when twisted by canonical).

Thank you.