

Stability and Hermitian-Einstein metrics for vector bundles on framed manifolds

Matthias Stemmler

School of Mathematics
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai

Colloquium at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Chennai, March 24, 2011

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Contents

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Contents

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Let (X, g) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and $\mathcal{E}$ a torsion-free coherent sheaf on X .

Definition

- ▶ The g -degree of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_g(\mathcal{E}) &= (c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cup [\omega_g]^{n-1}) \cap [X] \\ &= \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_g^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, the g -slope of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg_g(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Let (X, g) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and $\mathcal{E}$ a torsion-free coherent sheaf on X .

Definition

- ▶ The g -degree of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_g(\mathcal{E}) &= (c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cup [\omega_g]^{n-1}) \cap [X] \\ &= \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_g^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, the g -slope of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg_g(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Let (X, g) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and $\mathcal{E}$ a torsion-free coherent sheaf on X .

Definition

- ▶ The g -degree of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_g(\mathcal{E}) &= (c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cup [\omega_g]^{n-1}) \cap [X] \\ &= \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_g^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, the g -slope of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg_g(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Definition

- $\mathcal{E}$ is called **g -semistable** if

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu_g(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})$.

- If, moreover,

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_g(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is called g -stable.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Definition

- ▶ $\mathcal{E}$ is called g -semistable if

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu_g(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})$.

- ▶ If, moreover,

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_g(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is called **g -stable**.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Definition

$\mathcal{E}$ is called **g -polystable** if $\mathcal{E}$ is g -semistable and $\mathcal{E}$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{E}_m$$

of g -stable coherent subsheaves $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m$ of the same g -slope $\mu_g(\mathcal{E}_i) = \mu_g(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark

These notions are also defined for a holomorphic vector bundle E :

Consider $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Definition

$\mathcal{E}$ is called g -polystable if $\mathcal{E}$ is g -semistable and $\mathcal{E}$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{E}_m$$

of g -stable coherent subsheaves $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m$ of the same g -slope $\mu_g(\mathcal{E}_i) = \mu_g(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark

These notions are also defined for a holomorphic vector bundle E :

Consider $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Lemma

*Every g -stable holomorphic vector bundle E is **simple**, i. e.*

$$\mathrm{End}(E) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{id}_E.$$

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X .

Definition

A Hermitian metric h in E is called a
 g -Hermitian-Einstein metric if

$$i\Lambda_g F_h = \lambda \operatorname{id}_E \quad \text{with } \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

- ▶ $i\Lambda_g$ = contraction with ω_g ,
- ▶ F_h = Chern curvature form of (E, h) .

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Remark

From the Einstein equation

$$i\Lambda_g F_h = \lambda \operatorname{id}_E,$$

it follows by taking the trace and integrating, that we must have

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi\mu_g(E)}{(n-1)!\operatorname{vol}_g(X)}.$$

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle.

Theorem (S. Kobayashi '82, Lübke '83)

If E admits a g -Hermitian-Einstein metric, then E is g -polystable.

In particular, if E is irreducible, then it is g -stable.

Theorem (Donaldson '83-'87, Uhlenbeck/Yau '86)

If E is g -stable, then E admits a unique (up to a constant multiple) g -Hermitian-Einstein metric.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle.

Theorem (S. Kobayashi '82, Lübke '83)

If E admits a g -Hermitian-Einstein metric, then E is g -polystable.

In particular, if E is irreducible, then it is g -stable.

Theorem (Donaldson '83-'87, Uhlenbeck/Yau '86)

If E is g -stable, then E admits a unique (up to a constant multiple) g -Hermitian-Einstein metric.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle.

Theorem (S. Kobayashi '82, Lübke '83)

If E admits a g -Hermitian-Einstein metric, then E is g -polystable.

In particular, if E is irreducible, then it is g -stable.

Theorem (Donaldson '83-'87, Uhlenbeck/Yau '86)

If E is g -stable, then E admits a unique (up to a constant multiple) g -Hermitian-Einstein metric.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Proof.

- ▶ Start with a fixed “background metric” h_0 .
- ▶ Construct a family (h_t) of Hermitian metrics solving the evolution equation

$$h_t^{-1} \dot{h}_t = -(i\Lambda_g F_{h_t} - \lambda \operatorname{id}_E)$$

for all finite values of the time parameter t , where λ is as before.

- ▶ In case of convergence as $t \rightarrow \infty$:
The limit is a Hermitian-Einstein metric.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Proof.

- ▶ Start with a fixed “background metric” h_0 .
- ▶ Construct a family (h_t) of Hermitian metrics solving the evolution equation

$$h_t^{-1} \dot{h}_t = -(i\Lambda_g F_{h_t} - \lambda \operatorname{id}_E)$$

for all finite values of the time parameter t , where λ is as before.

- ▶ In case of convergence as $t \rightarrow \infty$:
The limit is a Hermitian-Einstein metric.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Proof.

- ▶ Start with a fixed “background metric” h_0 .
- ▶ Construct a family (h_t) of Hermitian metrics solving the evolution equation

$$h_t^{-1} \dot{h}_t = -(i\Lambda_g F_{h_t} - \lambda \operatorname{id}_E)$$

for all finite values of the time parameter t , where λ is as before.

- ▶ In case of convergence as $t \rightarrow \infty$:
The limit is a Hermitian-Einstein metric.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Proof.

- ▶ In case of divergence:
 - ▶ Construct a “destabilizing subsheaf” contradicting the stability hypothesis, i. e. a coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ with $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$ and

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mu_g(\mathcal{E}).$$

- ▶ This is done by first constructing a weakly holomorphic subbundle of E , i. e. a section $\pi \in L^2_1(\text{End}(E))$ satisfying

$$\pi = \pi^* = \pi^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{id}_E - \pi) \circ \bar{\partial}\pi = 0,$$

and applying a regularity theorem of Uhlenbeck-Yau.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Proof.

- ▶ In case of divergence:
 - ▶ Construct a “destabilizing subsheaf” contradicting the stability hypothesis, i. e. a coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ with $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$ and

$$\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mu_g(\mathcal{E}).$$

- ▶ This is done by first constructing a **weakly holomorphic subbundle** of E , i. e. a section $\pi \in L^2_1(\text{End}(E))$ satisfying

$$\pi = \pi^* = \pi^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{id}_E - \pi) \circ \bar{\partial}\pi = 0,$$

and applying a regularity theorem of Uhlenbeck-Yau.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Contents

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Definition

- ▶ A **framed manifold** or **logarithmic pair** is a pair (X, D) consisting of
 - ▶ a compact complex manifold X and
 - ▶ a smooth divisor D in X .
- ▶ A framed manifold (X, D) is called canonically polarized if $K_X \otimes [D]$ is ample.

Example

$(\mathbb{P}^n, V)$ is canonically polarized if $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree $\geq n + 2$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Definition

- ▶ A framed manifold or logarithmic pair is a pair (X, D) consisting of
 - ▶ a compact complex manifold X and
 - ▶ a smooth divisor D in X .
- ▶ A framed manifold (X, D) is called **canonically polarized** if $K_X \otimes [D]$ is ample.

Example

$(\mathbb{P}^n, V)$ is canonically polarized if $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree $\geq n + 2$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Definition

- ▶ A framed manifold or logarithmic pair is a pair (X, D) consisting of
 - ▶ a compact complex manifold X and
 - ▶ a smooth divisor D in X .
- ▶ A framed manifold (X, D) is called canonically polarized if $K_X \otimes [D]$ is ample.

Example

$(\mathbb{P}^n, V)$ is canonically polarized if $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree $\geq n + 2$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Classical result:

Theorem (Yau '78)

If K_X is ample, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) Kähler-Einstein metric on X with negative Ricci curvature.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Poincaré-type metric

In the framed situation:

Theorem (R. Kobayashi '84)

If (X, D) is canonically polarized, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) complete Kähler-Einstein metric on $X' := X \setminus D$ with negative Ricci curvature.

Remark

- ▶ We call this metric the Poincaré-type metric on X' .
- ▶ Choose local coordinates $(\sigma, z^2, \dots, z^n)$ such that D is given by $\sigma = 0$. Then in these coordinates, we have

$$\omega_{\text{Poin}} \sim 2i \left(\frac{d\sigma \wedge d\bar{\sigma}}{|\sigma|^2 \log^2(1/|\sigma|^2)} + \sum_{k=2}^n dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^k \right).$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Poincaré-type metric

In the framed situation:

Theorem (R. Kobayashi '84)

If (X, D) is canonically polarized, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) complete Kähler-Einstein metric on $X' := X \setminus D$ with negative Ricci curvature.

Remark

- ▶ We call this metric the **Poincaré-type metric** on X' .
- ▶ Choose local coordinates $(\sigma, z^2, \dots, z^n)$ such that D is given by $\sigma = 0$. Then in these coordinates, we have

$$\omega_{\text{Poin}} \sim 2i \left(\frac{d\sigma \wedge d\bar{\sigma}}{|\sigma|^2 \log^2(1/|\sigma|^2)} + \sum_{k=2}^n dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^k \right).$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Poincaré-type metric

In the framed situation:

Theorem (R. Kobayashi '84)

If (X, D) is canonically polarized, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) complete Kähler-Einstein metric on $X' := X \setminus D$ with negative Ricci curvature.

Remark

- ▶ We call this metric the Poincaré-type metric on X' .
- ▶ Choose local coordinates $(\sigma, z^2, \dots, z^n)$ such that D is given by $\sigma = 0$. Then in these coordinates, we have

$$\omega_{\text{Poin}} \sim 2i \left(\frac{d\sigma \wedge d\bar{\sigma}}{|\sigma|^2 \log^2(1/|\sigma|^2)} + \sum_{k=2}^n dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^k \right).$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Poincaré-type metric

In the framed situation:

Theorem (R. Kobayashi '84)

If (X, D) is canonically polarized, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) complete Kähler-Einstein metric on $X' := X \setminus D$ with negative Ricci curvature.

Remark

- ▶ We call this metric the Poincaré-type metric on X' .
- ▶ Choose local coordinates $(\sigma, z^2, \dots, z^n)$ such that D is given by $\sigma = 0$. Then in these coordinates, we have

$$\omega_{\text{Poin}} \sim 2i \left(\frac{d\sigma \wedge d\bar{\sigma}}{|\sigma|^2 \log^2(1/|\sigma|^2)} + \sum_{k=2}^n dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^k \right).$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

(Cheng-Yau '80, R. Kobayashi '84, Tian-Yau '87, ...)

Definition

A **local quasi-coordinate map** is a holomorphic map

$$V \longrightarrow X', \quad V \subset \mathbb{C}^n \text{ open}$$

which is of maximal rank everywhere. In this case, V together with the Euclidean coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$ is called a **local quasi-coordinate system**.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem

X' together with the Poincaré-type metric is *of bounded geometry*, i. e. there is an (infinite) family $\mathcal{V} = \{(V; v^1, \dots, v^n)\}$ of local quasi-coordinate systems such that:

- ▶ X' is covered by the images of the V in $\mathcal{V}$.
- ▶ There is an open neighbourhood U of D such that $X \setminus U$ is covered by the images of finitely many V which are coordinate systems in the ordinary sense.
- ▶ Every V contains an open ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$.
- ▶ The coefficients of the Poincaré-type metric and their derivatives in quasi-coordinates are uniformly bounded.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem

X' together with the Poincaré-type metric is of bounded geometry, i. e. there is an (infinite) family $\mathcal{V} = \{(V; v^1, \dots, v^n)\}$ of local quasi-coordinate systems such that:

- ▶ *X' is covered by the images of the V in $\mathcal{V}$.*
- ▶ *There is an open neighbourhood U of D such that $X \setminus U$ is covered by the images of finitely many V which are coordinate systems in the ordinary sense.*
- ▶ *Every V contains an open ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$.*
- ▶ *The coefficients of the Poincaré-type metric and their derivatives in quasi-coordinates are uniformly bounded.*

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem

X' together with the Poincaré-type metric is of bounded geometry, i. e. there is an (infinite) family $\mathcal{V} = \{(V; v^1, \dots, v^n)\}$ of local quasi-coordinate systems such that:

- ▶ *X' is covered by the images of the V in $\mathcal{V}$.*
- ▶ *There is an open neighbourhood U of D such that $X \setminus U$ is covered by the images of finitely many V which are coordinate systems in the ordinary sense.*
- ▶ *Every V contains an open ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$.*
- ▶ *The coefficients of the Poincaré-type metric and their derivatives in quasi-coordinates are uniformly bounded.*

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem

X' together with the Poincaré-type metric is of bounded geometry, i. e. there is an (infinite) family $\mathcal{V} = \{(V; v^1, \dots, v^n)\}$ of local quasi-coordinate systems such that:

- ▶ *X' is covered by the images of the V in $\mathcal{V}$.*
- ▶ *There is an open neighbourhood U of D such that $X \setminus U$ is covered by the images of finitely many V which are coordinate systems in the ordinary sense.*
- ▶ *Every V contains an open ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$.*
- ▶ *The coefficients of the Poincaré-type metric and their derivatives in quasi-coordinates are uniformly bounded.*

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem

X' together with the Poincaré-type metric is of bounded geometry, i. e. there is an (infinite) family $\mathcal{V} = \{(V; v^1, \dots, v^n)\}$ of local quasi-coordinate systems such that:

- ▶ *X' is covered by the images of the V in $\mathcal{V}$.*
- ▶ *There is an open neighbourhood U of D such that $X \setminus U$ is covered by the images of finitely many V which are coordinate systems in the ordinary sense.*
- ▶ *Every V contains an open ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$.*
- ▶ *The coefficients of the Poincaré-type metric and their derivatives in quasi-coordinates are uniformly bounded.*

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Construction of quasi-coordinates

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Choose local coordinates

$$(\Delta^n; z^1, \dots, z^n) \text{ on } U \subset X$$

such that

$$D \cap U = \{z^1 = 0\}.$$

Then the quasi-coordinates are

$(B_R(0) \times \Delta^{n-1}; v^1, \dots, v^n)$ with $\frac{1}{2} < R < 1$ such that

$$v^1 = \frac{w^1 - a}{1 - aw^1}, \quad \text{where } z^1 = \exp\left(\frac{w^1 + 1}{w^1 - 1}\right),$$

and

$$v^i = w^i = z^i \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n,$$

where a varies over real numbers in Δ close to 1.

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Construction of quasi-coordinates

Choose local coordinates

$$(\Delta^n; z^1, \dots, z^n) \text{ on } U \subset X$$

such that

$$D \cap U = \{z^1 = 0\}.$$

Then the quasi-coordinates are

$(B_R(0) \times \Delta^{n-1}; v^1, \dots, v^n)$ with $\frac{1}{2} < R < 1$ such that

$$v^1 = \frac{w^1 - a}{1 - aw^1}, \quad \text{where } z^1 = \exp\left(\frac{w^1 + 1}{w^1 - 1}\right),$$

and

$$v^i = w^i = z^i \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n,$$

where a varies over real numbers in Δ close to 1.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem (Schumacher '98)

There exists $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, the volume form of the Poincaré-type metric is of the form

$$\frac{2\Omega}{\|\sigma\|^2 \log^2(1/\|\sigma\|^2)} \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\log^\alpha(1/\|\sigma\|^2)} \right),$$

where

- ▶ Ω is a smooth volume form on X ,
- ▶ σ is a canonical section of $[D]$, $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm in $[D]$,
- ▶ ν lies in the Hölder space of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\beta}$ functions in quasi-coordinates.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Observation:

$$K_D = (K_X \otimes [D])|_D \quad \text{is ample,}$$

so there is a unique (up to a constant multiple)
Kähler-Einstein metric on D .

Theorem (Schumacher '98)

ω_{Poin} , when restricted to

$$D_{\sigma_0} := \{\sigma = \sigma_0\},$$

converges to ω_D locally uniformly as $\sigma_0 \rightarrow 0$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Observation:

$$K_D = (K_X \otimes [D])|_D \quad \text{is ample,}$$

so there is a unique (up to a constant multiple)
Kähler-Einstein metric on D .

Theorem (Schumacher '98)

ω_{Poin} , when restricted to

$$D_{\sigma_0} := \{\sigma = \sigma_0\},$$

converges to ω_D locally uniformly as $\sigma_0 \rightarrow 0$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Choose local coordinates $(\sigma, z^2, \dots, z^n)$ near a point of D . Let

- ▶ $g_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}$ etc. be the coefficients of ω_{Poin} and
- ▶ $g^{\bar{\sigma}\sigma}$ etc. be the entries of the inverse matrix.

Theorem (Schumacher '02)

- ▶ $g_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} \sim |\sigma|^2 \log^2(1/|\sigma|^2),$
- ▶ $g^{\bar{\sigma}k}, g^{\bar{l}\sigma} = O(|\sigma| \log^{1-\alpha}(1/|\sigma|^2)), k, l = 2, \dots, n,$
- ▶ $g^{\bar{k}k} \sim 1, k = 2, \dots, n$ and
- ▶ $g^{\bar{l}k} \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0, k, l = 2, \dots, n, k \neq l.$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Choose local coordinates $(\sigma, z^2, \dots, z^n)$ near a point of D . Let

- ▶ $g_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}$ etc. be the coefficients of ω_{Poin} and
- ▶ $g^{\bar{\sigma}\sigma}$ etc. be the entries of the inverse matrix.

Theorem (Schumacher '02)

- ▶ $g_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} \sim |\sigma|^2 \log^2(1/|\sigma|^2),$
- ▶ $g^{\bar{\sigma}k}, g^{\bar{l}\sigma} = O(|\sigma| \log^{1-\alpha}(1/|\sigma|^2)), k, l = 2, \dots, n,$
- ▶ $g^{\bar{k}k} \sim 1, k = 2, \dots, n$ and
- ▶ $g^{\bar{l}k} \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0, k, l = 2, \dots, n, k \neq l.$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Contents

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Problem

Let

- ▶ (X, D) be a canonically polarized framed manifold and
- ▶ E a holomorphic vector bundle on X .

Questions

1. How can we define a notion of “framed stability” of E ?
2. What should a “framed Hermitian-Einstein metric” in E be?
3. Do we obtain existence and uniqueness of framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics in the case of framed stability?

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Problem

Let

- ▶ (X, D) be a canonically polarized framed manifold and
- ▶ E a holomorphic vector bundle on X .

Questions

1. How can we define a notion of “framed stability” of E ?
2. What should a “framed Hermitian-Einstein metric” in E be?
3. Do we obtain existence and uniqueness of framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics in the case of framed stability?

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Problem

Let

- ▶ (X, D) be a canonically polarized framed manifold and
- ▶ E a holomorphic vector bundle on X .

Questions

1. How can we define a notion of “framed stability” of E ?
2. What should a “framed Hermitian-Einstein metric” in E be?
3. Do we obtain existence and uniqueness of framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics in the case of framed stability?

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Let

- ▶ (X, D) be a canonically polarized framed manifold and
- ▶ E a holomorphic vector bundle on X .

Questions

1. How can we define a notion of “framed stability” of E ?
2. What should a “framed Hermitian-Einstein metric” in E be?
3. Do we obtain existence and uniqueness of framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics in the case of framed stability?

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Problem

Let

- ▶ (X, D) be a canonically polarized framed manifold and
- ▶ E a holomorphic vector bundle on X .

Questions

1. How can we define a notion of “**framed stability**” of E ?
2. What should a “**framed Hermitian-Einstein metric**” in E be?
3. Do we obtain **existence and uniqueness** of framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics in the case of framed stability?

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability

Two notions of degree for a torsion-free coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$:

- ▶ On X : The degree with respect to the polarization $K_X \otimes [D]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) &= (c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cup c_1(K_X \otimes [D])^{n-1}) \cap [X] \\ &= \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega^{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = \frac{i}{2\pi} \cdot \text{curvature form of a positive Hermitian metric in } K_X \otimes [D]$.

- ▶ On X' : The degree with respect to the Poincaré-type metric:

$$\deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) = \int_{X'} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_{\text{Poin}}^{n-1}.$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability

Two notions of degree for a torsion-free coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$:

- ▶ On X : The degree with respect to the polarization $K_X \otimes [D]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) &= (c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cup c_1(K_X \otimes [D])^{n-1}) \cap [X] \\ &= \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega^{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = \frac{i}{2\pi} \cdot \text{curvature form of a positive Hermitian metric in } K_X \otimes [D]$.

- ▶ On X' : The degree with respect to the Poincaré-type metric:

$$\deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) = \int_{X'} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_{\text{Poin}}^{n-1}.$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem (S. '09)

For every torsion-free coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on X , the number $\deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E})$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$\deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) = \deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Framed stability

Proof.

Use the results on the asymptotics of the Poincaré-type metric. More precisely:

- ▶ For convenience only $n = 2$.
- ▶ Exhaustion

$$X' = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} X_\varepsilon \quad \text{with } X_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : \|\sigma(x)\| > \varepsilon\}.$$

- ▶ We have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega, \\ \deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_{\text{Poin}}. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability

Proof.

Use the results on the asymptotics of the Poincaré-type metric. More precisely:

- ▶ For convenience only $n = 2$.
- ▶ Exhaustion

$$X' = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} X_\varepsilon \quad \text{with } X_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : \|\sigma(x)\| > \varepsilon\}.$$

- ▶ We have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega, \\ \deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_{\text{Poin}}. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability

Proof.

Use the results on the asymptotics of the Poincaré-type metric. More precisely:

- For convenience only $n = 2$.
- Exhaustion

$$X' = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} X_\varepsilon \quad \text{with } X_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : \|\sigma(x)\| > \varepsilon\}.$$

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega, \\ \deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_{\text{Poin}}. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability

Proof.

Use the results on the asymptotics of the Poincaré-type metric. More precisely:

- For convenience only $n = 2$.
- Exhaustion

$$X' = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} X_\varepsilon \quad \text{with } X_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : \|\sigma(x)\| > \varepsilon\}.$$

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega, \\ \deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \omega_{\text{Poin}}. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability

Proof.

- ▶ Use asymptotic results to compare ω and ω_{Poin} .
- ▶ We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) &= \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) \\ &\quad - 2i \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} \log \log(1/\|\sigma\|^2) \\ &\quad + i \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\log^\alpha(1/\|\sigma\|^2)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Show the vanishing of the integrals for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ using
 - ▶ Stokes' theorem and
 - ▶ that ν is $\mathcal{C}^{k,\beta}$ with $k \geq 2$ in quasi-coordinates.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Proof.

- ▶ Use asymptotic results to compare ω and ω_{Poin} .
- ▶ We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) &= \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) \\ &\quad - 2i \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} \log \log(1/\|\sigma\|^2) \\ &\quad + i \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\log^\alpha(1/\|\sigma\|^2)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Show the vanishing of the integrals for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ using
 - ▶ Stokes' theorem and
 - ▶ that ν is $\mathcal{C}^{k,\beta}$ with $k \geq 2$ in quasi-coordinates.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Proof.

- ▶ Use asymptotic results to compare ω and ω_{Poin} .
- ▶ We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) &= \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) \\ &\quad - 2i \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} \log \log(1/\|\sigma\|^2) \\ &\quad + i \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\log^\alpha(1/\|\sigma\|^2)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Show the vanishing of the integrals for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ using
 - ▶ Stokes' theorem and
 - ▶ that ν is $\mathcal{C}^{k,\beta}$ with $k \geq 2$ in quasi-coordinates.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a torsion-free coherent sheaf on X .

Definition

We call

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E}) &:= \deg_{K_X \otimes [D]}(\mathcal{E}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Th.}}{=} \deg_{X'}(\mathcal{E}) \end{aligned}$$

the **framed degree** of $\mathcal{E}$.

Definition

- If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, we define the **framed slope** of $\mathcal{E}$ as

$$\mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

- We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is stable in the framed sense if

$$\mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with
 $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark

Note that we only consider subsheaves $\mathcal{F}$ over X rather than X' .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Definition

- ▶ If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, we define the framed slope of $\mathcal{E}$ as

$$\mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

- ▶ We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is **stable in the framed sense** if

$$\mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with
 $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark

Note that we only consider subsheaves $\mathcal{F}$ over X rather than X' .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Definition

- ▶ If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, we define the framed slope of $\mathcal{E}$ as

$$\mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

- ▶ We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is stable in the framed sense if

$$\mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_{(X,D)}(\mathcal{E})$$

holds for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with
 $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark

Note that we only consider subsheaves $\mathcal{F}$ over X rather than X' .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Corollary

Every holomorphic vector bundle E which is stable in the framed sense is simple, i. e.

$$\mathrm{End}(E) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{id}_E.$$

Remark

The simplicity seems to hold only over X and not over X' .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Corollary

Every holomorphic vector bundle E which is stable in the framed sense is simple, i. e.

$$\mathrm{End}(E) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{id}_E.$$

Remark

The simplicity seems to hold only over X and not over X' .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Consider Hermitian-Einstein metrics in E over X' with respect to the Poincaré-type metric.

Difficulty

For a uniqueness statement we need the simplicity of E over X' , which is not given in the case of framed stability.

Solution

We impose additional conditions.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Consider Hermitian-Einstein metrics in E over X' with respect to the Poincaré-type metric.

Difficulty

For a uniqueness statement we need the simplicity of E over X' , which is not given in the case of framed stability.

Solution

We impose additional conditions.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Consider Hermitian-Einstein metrics in E over X' with respect to the Poincaré-type metric.

Difficulty

For a uniqueness statement we need the simplicity of E over X' , which is not given in the case of framed stability.

Solution

We impose additional conditions.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Set

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ h \text{ Hermitian metric in } E \text{ over } X' \right. \\ \left. \text{with } \int_{X'} |\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_h|_h dV_{\text{Poin}} < \infty \right\}.$$

We know (Simpson '88): $\mathcal{P}$ decomposes into components which are covered by charts

$$s \longmapsto he^s,$$

where s is a positive definite self-adjoint section of $\text{End}(E)$ over X' with respect to h satisfying

$$\sup_{X'} |s|_h + \|\nabla'' s\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta' s\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Set

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ h \text{ Hermitian metric in } E \text{ over } X' \right. \\ \left. \text{with } \int_{X'} |\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_h|_h dV_{\text{Poin}} < \infty \right\}.$$

We know (Simpson '88): $\mathcal{P}$ decomposes into components which are covered by charts

$$s \longmapsto he^s,$$

where s is a positive definite self-adjoint section of $\text{End}(E)$ over X' with respect to h satisfying

$$\sup_{X'} |s|_h + \|\nabla'' s\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta' s\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

One of these components contains all the metrics which extend to X . We denote this component by $\mathcal{P}_0$.

Definition

By a framed Hermitian-Einstein metric in E we mean a Hermitian metric h in E over X' which satisfies

- ▶ $h \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and
- ▶ $i\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_h = \lambda \text{id}_E$ over X' with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

One of these components contains all the metrics which extend to X . We denote this component by $\mathcal{P}_0$.

Definition

By a **framed Hermitian-Einstein metric** in E we mean a Hermitian metric h in E over X' which satisfies

- ▶ $h \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and
- ▶ $i\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_h = \lambda \text{id}_E$ over X' with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Theorem (S. '09)

If E is simple and h and $\tilde{h}$ are framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics in E , we have

$$\tilde{h} = c \cdot h$$

with a positive constant c .

Proof.

- ▶ $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ guarantees that the framed degree of E can be computed using h or $\tilde{h}$.
- ▶ Therefore, we have

$$i\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_h = \lambda \text{id}_E = i\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_{\tilde{h}}$$

$$\text{with } \lambda = \frac{2\pi\mu_{(X,D)}(E)}{(n-1)! \text{vol}_{\text{Poin}}(X')}.$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Proof.

- ▶ $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ guarantees that the framed degree of E can be computed using h or $\tilde{h}$.
- ▶ Therefore, we have

$$i\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_h = \lambda \text{id}_E = i\Lambda_{\text{Poin}} F_{\tilde{h}}$$

$$\text{with } \lambda = \frac{2\pi\mu_{(X,D)}(E)}{(n-1)! \text{vol}_{\text{Poin}}(X')}.$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Uniqueness

Proof.

- ▶ Write $\tilde{h} = he^s$, join h and $\tilde{h}$ by the path $h_t = he^{ts}$ and use Donaldson's functional

$$L(t) = \int_{X'} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr} \left(s(i\Lambda_{\operatorname{Poin}} F_{h_u} - \lambda \operatorname{id}_E) \right) du \frac{\omega_{\operatorname{Poin}}^n}{n!}.$$

- ▶ $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ guarantees
 - ▶ well-definedness of $L(t)$,
 - ▶ $L''(t) = \|\bar{\partial}s\|_{L^2}^2$,
 - ▶ simplicity of E over X is sufficient to conclude that s is a multiple of id_E .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Uniqueness

Proof.

- ▶ Write $\tilde{h} = he^s$, join h and $\tilde{h}$ by the path $h_t = he^{ts}$ and use Donaldson's functional

$$L(t) = \int_{X'} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr} \left(s(i\Lambda_{\operatorname{Poin}} F_{h_u} - \lambda \operatorname{id}_E) \right) du \frac{\omega_{\operatorname{Poin}}^n}{n!}.$$

- ▶ $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ guarantees
 - ▶ well-definedness of $L(t)$,
 - ▶ $L''(t) = \|\bar{\partial}s\|_{L^2}^2$,
 - ▶ simplicity of E over X is sufficient to conclude that s is a multiple of id_E .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Uniqueness

Proof.

- ▶ Write $\tilde{h} = he^s$, join h and $\tilde{h}$ by the path $h_t = he^{ts}$ and use Donaldson's functional

$$L(t) = \int_{X'} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr} \left(s(i\Lambda_{\operatorname{Poin}} F_{h_u} - \lambda \operatorname{id}_E) \right) du \frac{\omega_{\operatorname{Poin}}^n}{n!}.$$

- ▶ $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ guarantees
 - ▶ well-definedness of $L(t)$,
 - ▶ $L''(t) = \|\bar{\partial}s\|_{L^2}^2$,
 - ▶ simplicity of E over X is sufficient to conclude that s is a multiple of id_E .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Uniqueness

Proof.

- ▶ Write $\tilde{h} = he^s$, join h and $\tilde{h}$ by the path $h_t = he^{ts}$ and use Donaldson's functional

$$L(t) = \int_{X'} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr} \left(s(i\Lambda_{\operatorname{Poin}} F_{h_u} - \lambda \operatorname{id}_E) \right) du \frac{\omega_{\operatorname{Poin}}^n}{n!}.$$

- ▶ $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ guarantees
 - ▶ well-definedness of $L(t)$,
 - ▶ $L''(t) = \|\bar{\partial}s\|_{L^2}^2$,
 - ▶ simplicity of E over X is sufficient to conclude that s is a multiple of id_E .

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Existence in the case of framed stability

Theorem (S. '09)

If E is stable in the framed sense, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) framed Hermitian-Einstein metric in E .

Proof.

- ▶ Carry over the arguments from the classical case.
- ▶ Critical point: In the case of framed stability, one only considers subsheaves of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ over X and not over X' .
- ▶ However: In the classical proof, the destabilizing subsheaf is produced from an L_1^2 section of $\text{End}(E)$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Existence in the case of framed stability

Theorem (S. '09)

If E is stable in the framed sense, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) framed Hermitian-Einstein metric in E .

Proof.

- ▶ Carry over the arguments from the classical case.
- ▶ Critical point: In the case of framed stability, one only considers subsheaves of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ over X and not over X' .
- ▶ However: In the classical proof, the destabilizing subsheaf is produced from an L_1^2 section of $\text{End}(E)$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Existence in the case of framed stability

Theorem (S. '09)

If E is stable in the framed sense, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) framed Hermitian-Einstein metric in E .

Proof.

- ▶ Carry over the arguments from the classical case.
- ▶ Critical point: In the case of framed stability, one only considers subsheaves of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ over X and not over X' .
- ▶ However: In the classical proof, the destabilizing subsheaf is produced from an L_1^2 section of $\text{End}(E)$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Existence in the case of framed stability

Theorem (S. '09)

If E is stable in the framed sense, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) framed Hermitian-Einstein metric in E .

Proof.

- ▶ Carry over the arguments from the classical case.
- ▶ Critical point: In the case of framed stability, one only considers subsheaves of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ over X and not over X' .
- ▶ However: In the classical proof, the destabilizing subsheaf is produced from an L_1^2 section of $\text{End}(E)$.

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Existence in the case of framed stability

Therefore, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma (S. '09)

We have

$$L_1^2(X, \text{End}(E), \text{Poincaré}) \subset L_1^2(X, \text{End}(E)).$$

Proof.

using the results on the asymptotics of the Poincaré-type metric

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Existence in the case of framed stability

Therefore, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma (S. '09)

We have

$$L_1^2(X, \text{End}(E), \text{Poincaré}) \subset L_1^2(X, \text{End}(E)).$$

Proof.

using the results on the asymptotics of the Poincaré-type metric

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Contents

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Framed stability and
Hermitian-Einstein
metrics

Matthias Stemmler

Introduction

Stability

Hermitian-Einstein metrics

K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition

Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem

Framed stability

Framed H-E metrics

Relationship

Outlook

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Let (X, D) be a canonically polarized framed manifold.

Observation

- ▶ For large m , (X, D) is **m -framed** in the sense that the $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor

$$K_X + \frac{m-1}{m} D$$

is ample.

Observation

- (Tian-Yau '87) For such m , there exist (incomplete) Kähler-Einstein metrics g_m on X' constructed from an initial metric of the form

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\log\left(\frac{2\Omega}{m^2\|\sigma\|^{2(1-1/m)}(1-\|\sigma\|^{2/m})^2}\right),$$

- whereas the Poincaré-type Kähler-Einstein metric g_{Poin} on X' is constructed from

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\log\left(\frac{2\Omega}{\|\sigma\|^2\log^2(1/\|\sigma\|^2)}\right).$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Observation

- (Tian-Yau '87) For such m , there exist (incomplete) Kähler-Einstein metrics g_m on X' constructed from an initial metric of the form

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\log\left(\frac{2\Omega}{m^2\|\sigma\|^{2(1-1/m)}(1-\|\sigma\|^{2/m})^2}\right),$$

- whereas the Poincaré-type Kähler-Einstein metric g_{Poin} on X' is constructed from

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\log\left(\frac{2\Omega}{\|\sigma\|^2\log^2(1/\|\sigma\|^2)}\right).$$

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Question

Can the framed situation be seen as a “limit” of the m -framed situation as $m \rightarrow \infty$?

Problems

- ▶ Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence in the m -framed case
- ▶ Convergence of g_m to g_{Poin}
- ▶ Convergence of the corresponding Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Question

Can the framed situation be seen as a “limit” of the m -framed situation as $m \rightarrow \infty$?

Problems

- ▶ Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence in the m -framed case
- ▶ Convergence of g_m to g_{Poin}
- ▶ Convergence of the corresponding Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Question

Can the framed situation be seen as a “limit” of the m -framed situation as $m \rightarrow \infty$?

Problems

- ▶ Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence in the m -framed case
- ▶ Convergence of g_m to g_{Poin}
- ▶ Convergence of the corresponding Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Question

Can the framed situation be seen as a “limit” of the m -framed situation as $m \rightarrow \infty$?

Problems

- ▶ Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence in the m -framed case
- ▶ Convergence of g_m to g_{Poin}
- ▶ Convergence of the corresponding Hermitian-Einstein metrics

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Introduction

Stability
Hermitian-Einstein metrics
K-H correspondence

Framed manifolds

Definition
Poincaré-type metric

Adapting the notions

Problem
Framed stability
Framed H-E metrics
Relationship

Outlook

Thank you.